

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Desmond, Jim](#); [Supervisor Joel Anderson District 2](#); [MontgomerySteppe, Monica](#); [BOS, District1Community](#); [Lawson-Remer, Terra](#)
Cc: [FGG, Public Comment](#)
Subject: [External] ADOPT THE REVISED LANTERMAN-PETRIS-SHORT GUIDELINES (please include with documents for agenda #3)
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2026 12:42:49 PM

Good Afternoon Supervisors,

I'm glad that the first guideline reads "involuntary detention under the LPS Act constitutes a significant deprivation of civil liberties that is supported under limited circumstances described in law and regulation. Involuntary detention and treatment are deemed necessary when required to protect the safety of certain individuals and the community in circumstances permitted by law." It is important for many people in government (no names mentioned) to realize that they can't put someone away just because they don't like the message.

Not sure that I like 10. "CSU's can be either locked and/or staff-secured with delayed egress." that Crisis Stabilization units don't need to be locked, which suggests that some dangerous people could actually escape and cause further problems.

Review under item 21 needs to be more than every 3 years. I suggest that half of the facilities be reviewed every 6 months, although I am glad that the Patients' Rights Advocates can access staff and patients at any time..

Transport and escort of patients (item 25) from the facility to Court should be a joint responsibility between the County and the LPS facility.

And bluntly, in section IV (Periodic Review,) I like the idea of unannounced reviews giving no time to hide stuff that might not be proper.

In section V (Revoke Facility Approval,) Item 9 is arbitrary and not at all defined ("When, in the judgment of the Director of BHS, withdrawal of designation is required by community needs") and should be removed from the guidelines.

Rest looks good, and I'm glad this comprehensive document was drawn up.

Regards,

Paul Henkin