



ANDREW POTTER, CCB
EXECUTIVE OFFICER/CLERK

CLERK OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
1600 PACIFIC HIGHWAY, ROOM 402, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101-2422
(619) 531-5600

RYAN SHARP
ASSISTANT CLERK

ANN MOORE
ASSISTANT CLERK

April 7, 2026

TO: Supervisor Terra Lawson-Remer, Chair
Supervisor Monica Montgomery Stepe, Vice Chair
Supervisor Paloma Aguirre, Chair Pro Term
Supervisor Joel Anderson
Supervisor Jim Desmond

FROM: Andrew Potter
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

REFERRAL

Attached, for your information, is communication from Craig A. Sherman, regarding alleged mismanagement of Permanent Road Division Zone 13A in Pala Mesa.

Respectfully,


ANDREW POTTER

Attachment

cc: Ebony N. Shelton, Chief Administrative Officer
Damon Brown, County Counsel
Dahvia Lynch., Deputy Chief Administrative Officer, Land Use and Environment
Group Board of Supervisors Communications Received

AJP: jse



COSED CLERK OF THE BOARD
2026 APR 6 AM 11:32

COSED BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
2026 APR 6 AM 11:33

TELEPHONE
(619) 702-7892

FACSIMILE
(619) 702-9291

March 31, 2026

Via Email and U.S. Mail

Board of Supervisors
COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
c/o Andrew Potter Executive Officer
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
1600 Pacific Highway, Fourth Fl, Rm. 402
San Diego, California 92101

*Re: Demand and Issues Letter for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief, and Restitution
Permanent Road Division Zone 13A*

To the County of San Diego Board of Supervisors (“County”):

A. Introduction

This issues and demand letter arises from County’s mismanagement of PRD 13A, including failures to budget, report, assess, manage, maintain and repair PRD 13A, including but not limited to violations of Proposition 218 (Cal. Const., Art. XIII D), due process under the California and U.S. Constitutions, and related breaches of mandatory duties under Streets and Highways Code section 1160 et seq., and other applicable laws. These actions and omissions have resulted in underfunded road maintenance, unfair and disproportionate assessments, hidden and undisclosed liabilities for property owners, deteriorating roadway conditions, and wasteful expenditure of public funds.

Citizens for Sustainable PRDs and Roads (“CSPRD”) include members who are interested and taxpaying citizens, each of whom are property owners within Permanent Road Division (“PRD”) Zone 13A in Pala Mesa, including similarly situated assessed and affected residents and property owners within permanent road division 13A (“PRD 13A”). By this letter, CSPRD raises these demands and issues, and seeks resolution and adjudication of this dispute on behalf of its members.

CSPRD demands immediate corrective action, including restitution and refund of improperly collected assessments. The estimated PRD 13A funding deficit and reserve shortfall, for which CSPRD seeks restitution into the PRD 13A fund, well-exceeding \$10,000 and the Unlimited Civil Jurisdiction of the Superior Court. CSPRD further seeks credits and refunds to the extent CSPRD and its members have paid amounts that exceeded the reasonable cost of their proportional special benefit, with the exact figures to be determined through further investigation, review, and accounting.

This demand for resolution and restitution is also being protectively made pursuant to the California Government Code even though formal claim presentation is not required. (*Minsky v. City of Los Angeles*, (1974) 11 Cal.3d 113, 121.) The restitution and tax monies involved here are not money damages as prescribed by the Revenue and Taxation Code and related statutes, and is therefore exempt. (Government Code, § 905, subd. (a); Rev. & Tax. Code, § 5096.)

Page Two
March 31, 2026
COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

Nonetheless, and without conceding that Government Code section 905 et seq. applies to any portion of the relief sought, CSPRD submits this claim and demand voluntarily and protectively to provide notice and an opportunity to cure and resolve these matters without litigation. CSPRD expressly reserves all rights to pursue these issues in a court of law.

B. Information Pursuant to Government Code Section 910

1. **Claimant Information:** CSPRD includes qualified members who are interested as being taxpaying citizens, each of whom are property owners within Permanent Road Division (“PRD”) Zone 13A in Pala Mesa. All correspondence is to be sent to CSPRD’ counsel: Craig A. Sherman, APC, 1901 First Avenue, Suite 219, San Diego, CA 92101.
2. **Date and Time of Occurrence:** The occurrences are a continuing harm and arise from a continuing course of conduct and ongoing omissions by County, including but not limited to noticing, fiscal year assessment, budgeting, and administration failures, and County’s ongoing failure to provide adequate maintenance and reserve planning for PRD 13A.
3. **Location:** The occurrences and injuries arise within PRD Zone 13A (Pala Mesa) in San Diego County, including the roads and related drainage and roadway improvements designated within PRD 13A and the parcels assessed within PRD 13A, including CSPRD members’ parcels.
4. **Occurrence, Events, Acts, and Omissions:** As detailed below, County, through the Board of Supervisors, Department of Public Works (“DPW”), and other County departments and agents responsible for PRD administration, has engaged in a pattern of unlawful and noncompliant PRD administration and assessment practices, including failures to levy and budget consistently, failures to correctly allocate maintenance and repair, failure to collect, shifting collected costs away from assessed beneficiaries for the windfall benefit to other public agencies and new developers and properties, failure to fund and collect monies from third-parties who have knowingly caused damage to PRD 13A roads, failure to provide adequate notice and transparency of the budget and need for funds collection, status, and liabilities of PRD 13A. The resulting injuries and losses include, among other things, unlawful assessments paid and disproportionate and inequitable burdens are now assessed as a sole responsibility and liability of CSPRD.

Fault: The specific names of individual County employees responsible for the acts and omissions are not fully known at this time. However, the responsible County entities include at least the San Diego County Board of Supervisors and County Department of Public Works, prior county fiduciaries, including County personnel involved in PRD 13A budgeting, assessment administration, road maintenance planning and contracting,

Page Three
March 31, 2026
COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

reimbursement practices, and communications with owners within PRD 13A such as CSPRD. County officials and records should identify the personnel involved, including but not limited to Planning and Development Services.

5. **Injury and Loss:** The restitution sought by CSPRD is well in excess of \$10,000 and subject to an unlimited civil case in a court of law. The amount claimed includes restitution and refund of unlawfully collected assessments, costs attributable to County's unlawful administration, and related losses.

C. Factual Background

PRD 13A originated as County Service Area ("CSA") No. 13A, formed in 1970 for road repair and maintenance in the Pala Mesa area. In 2000, pursuant to Senate Bill 614 and Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO) proceedings, County dissolved CSA 13A and established PRD No. 1000, and the particular subject Zone 13A under Streets and Highways Code section 1160 et seq., transferring ad valorem property taxes and existing benefit fees without increasing charges beyond the pre-existing \$50 per equivalent benefit unit (EBU) maximum. (County Ordinance No. 9171; S&H Code § 1162.6.)

Despite the availability of funding over the years, County has failed to properly administer PRD 13A. Historical records show nonexistent or inconsistent levies of the authorized \$50/EBU charge, leading to chronic underfunding.

County has designated roads within PRD 13A as public by extended public use, yet County treats them as private for maintenance purposes, shifting burdens to property owners without adequate resources or governance. (S & H Code § 1160, subd. (c).) There are no bylaws, formalized committees with enforceable duties, or access to engineers and advisors for the purpose of repair and maintenance of the roads within PRD 13A. Regardless, and most significantly, County is responsible for PRD 13A and its administration, including collecting, budgeting, and determining the repairs and maintenance of the roads. (*Anaheim Sugar Co. v. County of Orange*, (1919) 181 Cal. 212, 218-220 [road divisions lack separate corporate existence].) Volunteer committees serve as liaisons without authority, while County controls and usurps significant PRD 13A funds for administration meanwhile abdicating its duties and responsibilities to collect, budget, and implement for current and future needed maintenance and repairs.

In 2021, County conducted a Proposition 218 ballot for a \$50/EBU assessment, which was approved via the majority protest procedure (61% approval). (County Statement of Proceedings, June 30, 2021.) However, this assessment fails to proportionally allocate benefits, including by exempting or omitting public agencies and other beneficiaries, such as Rainbow Municipal Water District ("RMWD"), despite their contribution to road degradation and benefit from PRD 13A road availability. For example, RMWD, San Diego County Water Authority ("SDCWA") and Metropolitan Water District activities have caused documented damage, with DPW

Page Four
March 31, 2026
COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

spending approximately over \$17,000 of PRD money on repairs without reimbursement, shifting costs to PRD assessed owners. New developments and other public entities also receive a “free ride,” violating proportionality requirements. (Cal. Const., art. XIII D, § 4.) Assessments have not been updated to reflect changing land uses – with parcels that are not being properly assessed. Reasonable forecasting is needed, with meaningful disclosure of reserve shortfalls and liabilities, and review of fair allocations.

Property owners do not receive any reasonable notice of the status of property within PRD 13A and subsequent exposure, creating hidden liabilities and impairing informed home purchaser and owner decisions. County has failed to disclose ongoing assessment risks or County’s long-standing underfunding and management failures. Persons buying property within PRD 13A are not informed at purchase, and owners do not receive clear, timely, and accurate information about the PRD’s status, liabilities, and assessments, constituting due process violations. (U.S. Const., 14th Am.; Cal. Const., Art. I, § 7.)

D. Legal Claims and Bases of Liability

1. Breach of Mandatory Duties

County has violated mandatory duties imposed by Article XIII D of the California Constitution and the Permanent Road Division Law. Article XIII D requires the levying agency to identify all parcels that will receive a special benefit and upon which an assessment will be imposed. (Cal. Const., Art. XIII D, Sec. 4(a).) Public-agency parcels shall not be exempt from assessment absent clear and convincing evidence that such parcels receive no special benefit. (Cal. Const., Art. XIII D, Sec. 4(a).) Article XIII D further requires proportionality and prohibits any assessment that exceeds the reasonable cost of the proportional special benefit conferred on the parcel, thereby barring cost shifting to assessed owners for benefits conferred on omitted, exempted, or non-assessed beneficiaries. (Cal. Const., Art. XIII D, Sec. 4(a); *Silicon Valley Taxpayers Assn. v. Santa Clara County Open Space Authority*, (2008) 44 Cal.4th 431, 438.)

County has administered PRD 13A in a manner that violates these mandatory constitutional requirements by creating and continuing an assessment structure and allocation methodology that fail to capture and proportionally assess materially benefited parcels and uses, including parcels owned or used by public agencies and intensified property uses through approved developments and subdivisions, thereby shifting resulting costs and liabilities onto the assessed property owners CSPRD.

Separately, County has violated mandatory duties governing PRD funds. Streets and Highways Code section 1193 provides that PRD 13A monies “shall remain” in the PRD 13A fund and “be expended solely in maintaining the highways of that division.” (S&H Code, § 1193.) County has a mandatory duty to ensure that funds are not improperly diverted or expended outside of PRD 13A or on improper or excessive administrative costs, or expenditures that divert resources away from the maintenance of the roads within PRD 13A.

Page Five
March 31, 2026
COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

County cannot avoid or dilute these duties by informal delegation to a committee or “board” lacking independent statutory authority, structure, or oversight and County remains the responsible public entity obligated to perform the required constitutional and statutory acts in administering PRD 13A. (*Anaheim Sugar Co. v. County of Orange*, *supra*, 181 Cal. at pp. 218-220.)

2. Violation of Proposition 218

The current assessments and benefits of PRD 13A are not fairly allocated among parcels, new developments, and public agencies. California Constitution, Article XIII D requires County, as the levying agency, to identify all parcels receiving a special benefit and to impose the assessment only in proportion to each parcel’s proportional special benefit, separating general benefits from special benefits and prohibiting any assessment exceeding the reasonable cost of the proportional special benefit conferred. (Cal. Const., Art. XIII D, § 4(a).) Publicly owned or used parcels within the district are not exempt absent clear and convincing evidence that they receive no special benefit, yet County’s current assessment allocation omits or effectively exempts materially benefited public-agency parcels and newly benefited parcels and uses created or intensified through development, thereby shifting costs onto the currently assessed the PRD 13A owners and rendering the assessment unconstitutional. (Cal. Const., Art. XIII D, § 4(a).)

3. Due Process Violations

Lack of notice of assessments for PRD 13A and the current status and shortfall of funding deprives owners of due process. Standard title searches do not reveal contingent and continuing liabilities in a manner reasonably calculated to inform typical purchasers, and tax bills omit meaningful disclosure.

4. Taxpayer Waste and Illegal Expenditure

County’s use of PRD funds for uses other than road repair and maintenance within PRD 13A, including unrelated or excessive administrative costs, and County’s allowed and promoted third-party and other agency uses of PRD 13A roads are illegal wastes of taxpayer funds. (Code of Civil Procedure § 526a.) Additionally, County’s failure to collect contributions from third-party-caused road degradation, such as calculated damages known to be caused by RMWD, further constitutes waste and illegal expenditure. (Id.) Additionally, SDCWA, who do not own any of the parcels on Sumac Road, has conducted a Pipeline 5 relining project in 2024-2025, bringing massive, heavy engineering equipment for which the country roads were not built to convey and many truckloads of building materials. Pala Mesa Drive, Brodea Lane, Sumac Road and Sage Road have been damaged as a result and County DPW has made no effort to obtain contribution and restitution.

Page Six
March 31, 2026
COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

E. Demands and Restitution Relief Sought

CSPRD demands that County immediately:

1. Restore and maintain PRD 13A's funding and reserve position by replenishing the PRD 13A fund, as required to cure the current budget deficit and shortfall, with the exact amount to be confirmed through accounting. This demand is for restoration into the PRD 13A fund (and lawful prospective funding going forward), not for a retroactive levy imposed on existing owners. County must also reallocate the assessments and burdens to all benefitting parcels and uses (including public-agency parcels), inclusion of parcels and uses created and/or intensified through increases, and approved new developments and subdivisions, cost recovery/reimbursement from third parties, and reduction and restoration of excessive and ineffective overhead charges and uses of PRD 13A money for County's general services and administrative departments and personnel;
2. Provide refunds and/or credits to CSPRD via its members and assessed properties that have amounts exceeding the reasonable cost of their proportional special benefit due to omission or underassessment of other specially benefited parcels and uses;
3. Pursue cost recovery from third parties, including, but not limited to approximately \$17,000 from RMWD, Metropolitan Water District, and San Diego County Water Authority;
4. Implement a plan for repair and maintenance within agreed time limits;
5. Confirm County is the responsible agency for collecting, budgeting, and determining the repairs and maintenance of the roads within PRD 13A, and eliminate improper administration and delegation of any of those duties,

F. County Response Demanded

County must act on this demand within a reasonable time and/or claim statutory period, or CSPRD will deem it to be denied by operation of law, and CSPRD will proceed to litigation without further notice. If County and/or its claims administrator or legal counsel contend that any supplemental information is required, CSPRD requests that County identify the specific alleged deficiency immediately so it can be addressed without prejudicing CSPRD's rights. CSPRD expressly states that harm and injury are ongoing and continuing and that this claim encompasses continuing harm and continuing wrongful conduct described above.

Page Seven
March 31, 2026
COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

My client and office look forward to working with County staff and elected official to address and reach an agreeable solution to the current status of PRD 13a and its management and funding predicaments.

Sincerely,



Craig A. Sherman

cc: Jim Desmond, Supervisor, District 5 (via email: jim.desmond@sdcounty.ca.gov)
Marisa Barrie, Director of DPW (via email: Marisa.Barrie@sdcounty.ca.gov)



ANDREW POTTER, CCB
EXECUTIVE OFFICER/CLERK

CLERK OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
1600 PACIFIC HIGHWAY, ROOM 402, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101-2422
(619) 531-5600

RYAN SHARP
ASSISTANT CLERK

ANN MOORE
ASSISTANT CLERK

April 15, 2026

TO: Supervisor Terra Lawson-Remer, Chair
Supervisor Monica Montgomery Steppe, Vice-Chair
Supervisor Paloma Aguirre, Chair Pro Tem
Supervisor Joel Anderson
Supervisor Jim Desmond

FROM: Andrew Potter
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

REFERRAL

Attached, for your information, is correspondence from Dave Patterson, regard complaints alleging that Federal Immigration agents are kidnapping in California.

Respectfully,


ANDREW POTTER

Attachment

cc: Ebony N. Shelton, Chief Administrative Officer
Andrew Strong, Deputy Chief Administrative Officer, Public Safety Group
Board of Supervisors Communications Received

AJP: jse

From: [dave patterson](#)
To: [FGG, Public Comment](#)
Subject: [External] Letter to the SD County Supervisors
Date: Tuesday, April 14, 2026 2:26:15 PM

Please forward to all Supervisors.
Thank you.

David Patterson

April 14, 2026

To: San Diego County Board of Supervisors
From: David Patterson, [REDACTED]
Re: ICE breaking state law, kidnapping our citizens.

Dear supervisors

We have come to the point that we are now fearful of the people we pay to protect us. I write regarding federal immigration agents freely violating California penal code 207, Kidnapping. Which can be defined as: "Kidnapping in California is a serious felony involving the unlawful taking, holding, or moving of a person by force or fear, punishable by up to life in prison depending on circumstances."

There can be no question that when federal agents wear a mask, refuse to identify themselves and refuse to present a judicial warrant for the person they are abducting, they are in violation of penal code 207 and must be held accountable!

I write with a solution that I hope you will implement in San Diego County because our neighbors and friends are disappearing and this must stop! We do not know who these people are that are grabbing our neighbors.

My suggestion involves making sure that the federal immigration officers are clearly identified and documented each time a San Diego County resident reports a kidnapping. The process would be something like this:


1. Immigration agents are being observed grabbing someone.
2. **The observers demand to see the identification of all agents involved and to see the judicial warrant.** -Agents refuse any part thereof -
3. **Observers call 911 and report kidnapping,** County Sheriff responds.
4. **The sheriff intervenes, demanding to see the judicial warrant creating an incident number, and demands that all agents involved are logged and properly documented.** - Agents are allowed to proceed -
5. **Observers are provided an incident number and send in personal videos and written observations** to build a case against the agents, assuming that they have broken the law. The County DA decides if prosecution of the federal agents is in order, and the incident number creates a path for a lawsuit by the victims.

Using this procedure will insert County law enforcement into the federal action and allow we residents to hold the agents responsible when California law is broken.

Clearly we cannot allow federal immigration agents to break State law and intimidate or arrest our residents with impunity. This is an opportunity for our County law enforcement to help protect us from rogue agents, or anyone else, from grabbing our residents with impunity.

Thank you for your helping us reign in these kidnappers.

David Patterson





ANDREW POTTER, CCB
EXECUTIVE OFFICER/CLERK

CLERK OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
1600 PACIFIC HIGHWAY, ROOM 402, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101-2422
(619) 531-5600

RYAN SHARP
ASSISTANT CLERK

ANN MOORE
ASSISTANT CLERK

April 15, 2026

TO: Supervisor Terra Lawson-Remer, Chair
Supervisor Monica Montgomery Steppe, Vice-Chair
Supervisor Paloma Aguirre, Chair Pro Tem
Supervisor Joel Anderson
Supervisor Jim Desmond

FROM: Andrew Potter
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

REFERRAL

Attached, for your information, is correspondence from Keith Andrew, in regards to ongoing illegal roadside vending at Buena Creek Road and Monte Vista Drive near Vista, California.

Respectfully,


ANDREW POTTER

Attachment

cc: Ebony N. Shelton, Chief Administrative Officer
Dahvia Lynch, Deputy Chief Administrative Officer, Land Use and Environment Group
Board of Supervisors Communications Received

AJP: jse

CONFIDENTIAL

**ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS ON FILE WITH THE
CLERK OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.**



ANDREW POTTER, CCB
EXECUTIVE OFFICER/CLERK

CLERK OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
1600 PACIFIC HIGHWAY, ROOM 402, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101-2422
(619) 531-5600

RYAN SHARP
ASSISTANT CLERK

ANN MOORE
ASSISTANT CLERK

April 1, 2026

TO: Supervisor Terra Lawson-Remer, Chair
Supervisor Monica Montgomery Stepe, Vice Chair
Supervisor Paloma Aguirre, Chair Pro Term
Supervisor Joel Anderson
Supervisor Jim Desmond

FROM: Andrew Potter
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

REFERRAL

Attached, for your information, is a Notice of Autism Center Closure, in accordance with the Workforce Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act (WARN).

Respectfully,


ANDREW POTTER

Attachment

cc: Ebony N. Shelton, Chief Administrative Officer
Elizabeth A. Hernandez, Ph.D., Interim Deputy Chief Administrative Officer,
Health & Human Services Agency
Board of Supervisors Communications Received

AJP: jse



March 25, 2026

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
County of San Diego
1600 Pacific Highway, Fourth Floor, Room 402
San Diego, CA 92101

Re: California WARN Act Notice – Comprehensive Autism Center Closure

Dear Clerk of the Board:

Please accept this letter as formal notice under the California Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification (WARN) Act regarding the permanent closure of Comprehensive Autism Center's San Diego and Riverside locations.

Comprehensive Autism Center will permanently close the following locations:

- 3655 Ruffin Rd, Suite 100, San Diego, CA 92123
- 3600 Lime St, Suite 612, Riverside, CA 92501

As a result of these closures, affected employees are anticipated to experience an employment loss effective May 31, 2026.


Enclosed please find the formal California WARN notice containing the information required for government recipients, including details regarding the affected locations, anticipated timing, and workforce resource information provided in accordance with applicable California requirements.

If you have any questions regarding this notice, please contact:

Aakanksha Aloni

Senior HR Business Partner

Comprehensive Autism Center



Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Monique Erickson', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Monique Erickson

Executive Director of Clinical Operations

Comprehensive Autism Center

Enclosure



COSED CLERK OF THE BOARD
2025 APR 27 PM 2:04

March 25, 2026

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
County of San Diego
1600 Pacific Highway, Room 402
San Diego, CA 92101

Re: California WARN Act Notice of Permanent Closure

Dear Clerk of the Board:

Pursuant to the California Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act, please accept this letter as formal notice of the permanent closure of Comprehensive Autism Center's locations at:

- 3655 Ruffin Rd, Suite 100, San Diego, CA 92123
- 3600 Lime St, Suite 612, Riverside, CA 92501

As a result of these closures, Comprehensive Autism Center anticipates that approximately 62 employees will be affected, including approximately 35 employees at the San Diego location and approximately 27 employees at the Riverside location. The anticipated date of separation from employment for affected employees is May 31, 2026.

The closures are expected to be permanent.

Affected employees do not have bumping rights.

The job titles of positions affected by this action include roles such as Registered Behavior Technician and other clinic-based support and leadership roles at the impacted locations.

Comprehensive Autism Center is not coordinating Rapid Response services through the Local Workforce Development Board in connection with this event. However, affected employees have been provided information regarding available workforce resources, including contact information for the applicable Local Workforce Development Board, information regarding reemployment assistance and career services, and information regarding CalFresh Employment and Training resources, consistent with applicable California notice requirements.

If you have any questions regarding this notice, please contact:

Aakanksha Aloni



Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Monique Erickson', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Monique Erickson

Executive Director of Clinical Operations
Comprehensive Autism Center



ANDREW POTTER, CCB
EXECUTIVE OFFICER/CLERK

CLERK OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
1600 PACIFIC HIGHWAY, ROOM 402, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101-2422
(619) 531-5600

RYAN SHARP
ASSISTANT CLERK

ANN MOORE
ASSISTANT CLERK

April 13, 2026

TO: Supervisor Terra Lawson-Remer, Chair
Supervisor Monica Montgomery Steppe, Vice-Chair
Supervisor Paloma Aguirre, Chair Pro Tem
Supervisor Joel Anderson
Supervisor Jim Desmond

FROM: Andrew Potter
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

REFERRAL

Attached, for your information, is correspondence from Sedric Eugene Johnson, regarding patterns of criminal activity by the San Diego County Sheriff's Department in Detention Facilities.

Respectfully,


ANDREW POTTER

Attachment

cc: Ebony N. Shelton, Chief Administrative Officer
Andrew Strong, Deputy Chief Administrative Officer, Public Safety
Board of Supervisors Communications Received

AJP: jse

CONFIDENTIAL

**ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS ON FILE WITH THE
CLERK OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.**