ATTACHMENT

For Item

#03

Tuesday, April 26, 2022

PUBLIC COMMUNICATION RECEIVED BY THE CLERK OF THE BOARD

From: henkinp@earthlink.net

To: Desmond, Jim; Anderson, Joel; Fletcher, Nathan (BOS); Vargas, Nora; Lawson-Remer, Terra

Cc: FGG, Public Comment; Robbins-Meyer, Helen

Subject: [External] Comments on Agenda item 3, REPEALING REGULATORY CODE SECTIONS GOVERNING AMUSEMENT

ESTABLISHMENTS

Date: Thursday, April 21, 2022 12:10:48 PM

Hi Supervisors,

About item 3, REPEALING REGULATORY CODE SECTIONS GOVERNING AMUSEMENT ESTABLISHMENTS

This is a licensing ordinance, but it is more. There is a reason for these ordinances - safety. Current law separates areas for drinking from areas for gaming. It also prohibits drunk or disorderly conduct. Do we really want this kind of stuff in front of our kids? **Perhaps putting them in harm's way?**

I do not see how this repeal will allow businesses to expand their customer base and workforce, as advertised. Repeal of the entire ordinance might make things easier for a few, but when you get alcohol and gaming together, I am thinking law enforcement costs will go up as well as societal costs.

Perhaps we don't need some of the **individual provisions**, **like Sec.201.93(C)** ["The alcohol prohibited area is partitioned off with walls of no less than 8' high, with not more than two combination entrances/ exits that are no wider than 44""] but I do not think that a blanket repeal of the entire ordinance is good public policy. I am sorry for the businesses but think we can help in other ways.

Regards,

Paul Henkin

henkinp@earthlink.net