

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Desmond, Jim](#); [Anderson, Joel](#); [MontgomerySteppe, Monica](#); [Lawson-Remer, Terra](#)
Cc: [FGG, Public Comment](#)
Subject: [External] NOTICE OF FUNDING AT RISK FOR FED FUND RECIPIENTS (Please Include with Docs. for Agenda #19)
Date: Thursday, April 3, 2025 5:35:49 PM

PROVIDING FEDERALLY FUNDED PROGRAM RECIPIENTS WITH A

The problem with this is that the recommendation is to Report back with notification strategy options within 30 days. The way this government operates is to make cuts first and adjust later, like all the people they fired without notice and had to ask to come back. By 30 days, the recipients may well have been cut off already.

To better make this effective, I suggest that the funding-at-risk notices go to recipients whose email addresses you know ASAP and by regular mail to the others.

On the other hand, I am thinking that the funding cuts have been made clear through the media to most people. So this comes across like a publicity stunt.

Another good thing would be to amp down the fear factor by suggesting alternatives in the email or letter.

Regards,

Paul Henkin