

From: henkinp@earthlink.net
To: [Desmond, Jim](#); [Supervisor Joel Anderson District 2](#); [MontgomerySteppe, Monica](#); [BOS, District1Community](#); [Lawson-Remer, Terra](#)
Cc: [FGG, Public Comment](#); [San Diego UT Senior Editor](#); [San Diego UT Community Op Ed](#); [Times of San Diego](#); [Voice of San Diego](#); [Chula Vista Star News](#); [News Chula Vista Live Data](#); [News Chula Vista Today](#); [CV Live Data Robert Johnson](#); [NBC 7 San Diego](#); [CBS News 8 San Diego](#); [KUSI ch 9 San Diego](#); [ABC 10 San Diego](#); [Fox 5 69 San Diego](#); [La Prensa San Diego](#)
Subject: [External] EXPANDED WITHDRAWAL MANAGEMENT SERVICE ACCESS (please include with documents for agenda #14)
Date: Thursday, October 30, 2025 1:53:54 PM

Good Afternoon, Supervisors,

Withdrawal from drugs is a good thing. Withdrawing services is usually a bad thing, especially for Veterans since we owe Veterans, and pretty much everyone else, after all that equity crap you spouted.

In this item, I do not know why the Board letter mentions that there are only 4 withdrawal management service beds in District 1 but then advocates for more dedicated beds in San Diego which is District 4 mostly. Let's put the beds where the problem is. Provide service where it is needed. That is basically what the silly equity statement says. Yet the authors of this scheme would go against what they wrote.

But there ARE services you should withdraw. That is, services at Troy Street in Lemon Grove. You should establish a congregate shelter, like Sunbreak Ranch, on a piece of all that land you own. I'm sure the plants and animals wouldn't mind,

Actually, my original list of dignity, jobs, drug and police services, and then permanent housing, would be alot cheaper than random shelters all over.

And that landlords can get away with building only 5 or 10% of the units for truly low income (30% AMI or less, as defined by HUD) and, in many cases, jack up the rent, is a disgrace.

And you should built cheaper housing which is quicker to build - 3D printed, tiny homes, shipping crate homes, maybe adobe homes, as we keep telling you. These would not only be cheaper but also keep up with the market for new homes better instead of houses that might not

be built for years - and look at all the time extensions you grant.

Regards,

Paul Henkin