



MARISA K. BARRIE, PE
DIRECTOR

PUBLIC WORKS
5510 OVERLAND AVENUE, SUITE 410, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92123-1237
(858) 694-2212

April 16, 2026

Environmental Review Update Checklist Form For projects with Previously Approved Environmental Documents

FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF Advertise and Award Construction Contract for Woodside Avenue Sidewalk Improvement Project (PROJECT #1021018)

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Sections 15162 through 15164 set forth the criteria for determining the appropriate additional environmental documentation, if any, to be completed when there is a previously adopted Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) or a previously certified environmental impact report (EIR) covering the project for which a subsequent discretionary action is required. This Environmental Review Update Checklist Form has been prepared in accordance with CEQA Guidelines Section 15164(e) to explain the rationale for determining whether any additional environmental documentation is needed for the subject discretionary action.

1. Background on the previously adopted MND:

The County of San Diego Director of Public Works (County) adopted the MND for the Woodside Avenue Sidewalk Improvement Project, State Clearinghouse Number 2021110082, on April 18, 2022.

The project proposes to enhance pedestrian, motorist, and bicyclist safety by installing sidewalk, traffic signal improvements, striping modifications, Class II bike lanes, and buffered bike lanes along Woodside Avenue between Marilla Drive and Chestnut Avenue where feasible.

Gaps in sidewalk and bike lane continuity will be addressed on both sides of Woodside Avenue by joining existing sidewalks, updating curb ramps to ADA compliance, and making modifications to traffic signals and striping.

Bicycle buffers, accessible bus stops, crosswalk improvements, ADA compliant sidewalk and pedestrian ramps will be utilized where possible to enhance pedestrian and traffic safety. New sidewalk construction involves 1,150 feet of new sidewalk connectivity between southside Marilla Dr. and Riverview Ave.

1,250 feet of new sidewalk connectivity along the northside between Riverview Ave. to Winter Gardens Blvd. and 500 feet of new construction along the southside and continuing down Winter Gardens Blvd.

1,675 feet of new sidewalk connectivity between Winter Gardens Blvd. and Channel Rd. along the northside and 1,600 feet of connectivity along the southside, and 700 feet of new sidewalk connectivity along the northside of Channel Rd. to Cactus St., and 700 feet of southside new construction along Channel Rd. to Cactus St.

Sidewalk improvements continue from Cactus St. to Chestnut 600 feet along the north side and 350 feet on the south side. Construction is anticipated to last approximately 12-18 months.

The MND found significant effects to biological resources, geology & soils, cultural resources and hazardous materials. Mitigation measures were identified that either avoid or reduce to a less than significant level the project's impacts with to biological resources, geology & soils, cultural resources and hazards & hazardous materials.

2. Lead agency name and address:

County of San Diego, Department of Public Works 5510 Overland Avenue, Suite 410
Environmental Services Unit San Diego,
CA 92123

- a. Contact Thomas Duffy, Project Manager
- b. Phone number: (858) 232-1150
- c. E-mail: Thomas.Duffy@sdcounty.ca.gov

3. Project applicant's name and address:

County of San Diego Department of Public Works
5510 Overland Avenue, Suite 410
San Diego, California
92123

4. Summary of the activities authorized by present permit/entitlement application(s):

The current action is to authorize the County of San Diego Department of Public Works to advertise and award a construction contract for the Woodside Avenue Sidewalk Improvement Project. This is the same project that was analyzed in the 2021 Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) for the Woodside Avenue Sidewalk Project and no changes are proposed.

5. Does the project for which a subsequent discretionary action is now proposed differ in any way from the previously approved project?

YES

NO

If yes, describe **ALL** differences.

6. SUBJECT AREAS DETERMINED TO HAVE NEW OR SUBSTANTIALLY MORE SEVERE SIGNIFICANT ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS COMPARED TO THOSE IDENTIFIED IN THE PREVIOUS ND OR EIR.

The subject areas checked below were determined to be new significant environmental effects or to be previously identified effects that have a substantial increase in severity either due to a change in project, change in circumstances or new information

of substantial importance, as indicated by the checklist and discussion on the following pages.

NONE

Aesthetics

Agriculture and Forest
Resources

Air Quality

Biological Resources

Cultural Resources

Geology & Soils

- Greenhouse Gas
- Emissions
- Land Use & Planning
- Population & Housing
- Transportation/Traffic

- Hazards & Haz Materials
-
- Mineral Resources
- Public Services
- Utilities & Service Systems

- Hydrology & Water
- Quality
- Noise
- Recreation
- Mandatory Findings of Significance

DETERMINATION:

On the basis of this analysis, The Department of Public Works Environmental Services Unit has determined that:

No substantial changes are proposed in the project and there are no substantial changes in the circumstances under which the project will be undertaken that will require major revisions to the previous MND due to the involvement of significant new environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects. Also, there is no "new information of substantial importance" as that term is used in CEQA Guidelines Section 15162(a)(3).

Therefore, the previously adopted MND is adequate without modification.

No substantial changes are proposed in the project and there are no substantial changes in the circumstances under which the project will be undertaken that will require major revisions to the previous MND due to the involvement of significant new environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects. Also, there is no "new information of substantial importance" as that term is used in CEQA Guidelines Section 15162(a)(3).

Therefore, because the project is a residential project in conformance with, and pursuant to, a Specific Plan with a EIR completed after January 1, 1980, the project is exempt pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15182.

Substantial changes are proposed in the project or there are substantial changes in the circumstances under which the project will be undertaken that will require major revisions to the previous EIR due to the involvement of significant new environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects. Or, there is "new information of substantial importance," as that term is used in CEQA Guidelines Section 15162(a)(3). However all new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in severity of previously identified significant effects are clearly avoidable through the incorporation of mitigation measures agreed to by the project applicant. Therefore, a SUBSEQUENT ND is required.

Substantial changes are proposed in the project or there are substantial changes in the circumstances under which the project will be undertaken that will require major revisions to the previous ND or EIR due to the involvement of significant new environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects. Or, there is "new information of substantial importance," as that term is used in CEQA Guidelines Section 15162(a)(3). Therefore, a SUBSEQUENT or SUPPLEMENTAL EIR is required.

Signature

Date

Thomas Duffy

**Environmental Planning
Manager**

Printed Name

Title

INTRODUCTION

CEQA Guidelines Sections 15162 through 15164 set forth the criteria for determining the appropriate additional environmental documentation, if any, to be completed when there is a previously adopted ND or a previously certified EIR for the project.

CEQA Guidelines, Section 15162(a) and 15163 state that when an ND has been adopted or an EIR certified for a project, no Subsequent or Supplemental EIR or Subsequent Negative Declaration shall be prepared for that project unless the lead agency determines, on the basis of substantial evidence in light of the whole public record, one or more of the following:

1. Substantial changes are proposed in the project which will require major revisions of the previous EIR or Negative Declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects.
2. Substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken which will require major revisions of the previous EIR or Negative Declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects.
3. New information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous EIR was certified as complete or the Negative Declaration was adopted, shows any of the following:
 - a. The project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous EIR or Negative Declaration; or
 - b. Significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the previously adopted Negative Declaration or previously certified EIR; or
 - c. Mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible, and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative; or
 - d. Mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous Negative Declaration or EIR would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative.

CEQA Guidelines, Section 15164(a) states that an Addendum to a previously certified EIR may be prepared if some changes or additions are necessary but none of the conditions described in Section 15162 calling for preparation of a Subsequent or Supplemental EIR have occurred.

currently under active agricultural use nor is it on Williamson Act lands. Further, the site is not located in an area designated as forest land or timberland and would not convert forest land to non-forest uses or involve other changes in the existing environment that would result in the conversion of farmland to non agricultural use.

The current proposal is to advertise and award a construction contract for the Woodside Avenue Sidewalk Improvement Project. The project design is consistent with the project analyzed in the previously adopted MND. No changes in circumstances have been identified, or new information of substantial importance regarding construction of the project that would result in new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects to agriculture and forestry resources. Therefore the project would not cause a new significant impact or an increase in the severity of previously identified effects.

III. AIR QUALITY -- Since the previous MND was adopted, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause one or more effects to air quality including: conflicts with or obstruction of implementation of the San Diego Regional Air Quality Strategy (RAQS) or applicable portions of the State Implementation Plan (SIP); violation of any air quality standard or substantial contribution to an existing or projected air quality violation; a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard; exposure of sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations; or creation of objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?

YES

NO

The previously adopted MND concluded that the project would have a less than significant impact on daily emissions thresholds for construction or operation and would result in less than significant impacts to air quality. The project proposes to enhance pedestrian, motorist, and bicyclist safety by installing sidewalk, traffic signal improvements, striping modifications, Class II bike lanes and buffered bike lanes along Woodside Avenue between Marilla Drive and Chestnut Avenue where feasible. The proposed project would not affect implementation of applicable air quality plans or SANDAG growth projections used in development of the RAQS and SIP. In addition, operation of the proposed project will not generate additional vehicle trips that could contribute to air quality impacts. The only new emissions from the project would be from the construction phase, which is anticipated to last approximately 12-18 months and emissions would be minimal, temporary, and localized. Dust control measures will be implemented during construction. As such, the proposed project is not expected to conflict with either the RAQS or the SIP on a project-based or cumulative level.

The current proposal is to advertise and award a construction contract for the Woodside Avenue Sidewalk Improvement Project. The project design is consistent with the project analyzed in the previously adopted MND. No changes in circumstances have been identified, or new information of substantial importance regarding potential effects to air quality from construction of the project. Therefore, the project would not cause any new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects to air quality. There are no changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause one or more effects to air quality.

IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES -- Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause one or more effects to biological resources including: adverse effects on any sensitive natural community (including riparian habitat) or species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in a local or regional plan, policy, or regulation, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; adverse effects to federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act; interference with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with wildlife corridors, or impeding the

use of native wildlife nursery sites; and/or conflicts with the provisions of any adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Communities Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional or state habitat conservation plan, policies or ordinances?

YES

NO

The previously adopted MND found that the project would result in impacts to nesting birds and no impacts to jurisdictional waters. The project would involve the removal of disturbed or ornamental vegetation, which could result in the accidental destruction of bird nests or nest abandonment if construction were to occur during the general bird breeding season (January 15 – September 1). To avoid any impacts to migratory and nesting birds, the below avoidance and minimization measure will be implemented:

Nesting Season Avoidance or Pre-Construction Survey

If construction initiation occurs between January 15 and September 1, a pre-construction nesting bird and raptor survey of the project area and an appropriate buffer of up to 500 feet shall be completed by a qualified biologist prior to vegetation removal. If any active nests are detected, an avian specialist would be consulted to determine the appropriate buffer and other avoidance measures to ensure the project does not affect the success of the nest. The area will be flagged and mapped on construction plans along with a buffer as recommended by the qualified biologist. The buffer area(s) established by the qualified biologist will be avoided until the nesting cycle is complete or it is determined that the nest is no longer active. The qualified biologist shall be a person familiar with bird breeding behavior and capable of identifying the bird species of San Diego County by sign and sound and determining alterations of behavior as a result of human interaction.

Buffers will be based on local topography and line of sight, species behavior and tolerance to disturbance, and existing disturbance levels.

Based on an analysis of the County’s Geographic Information System (GIS) records, the County’s Comprehensive Matrix of Sensitive Species, site photos, and a Biological Survey conducted on July 7, 2021 by RECON Environmental, it has been determined that the project would not interfere with movement of wildlife or any established wildlife corridors. The project site is not a functioning corridor due to its location along the developed Woodside Avenue. The proposed project would not interfere with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites.

With the implementation of these nesting bird mitigation measures, impacts to nesting birds will be less than significant. Additionally, no potentially significant project level or cumulative level of substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will occur as a result of this project.

Since the initial survey conducted on July 7, 2021, two additional species have been tentatively listed under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA), burrowing owl (*Athene cunicularia*) and Crotch’s bumblebee (*Bombus crotchii*). Because the project is located in an already disturbed and developed area, there is no potential suitable habitat for either species and there is no documentation of these species within the vicinity of the project according to the California Natural Diversity Database as of April 6, 2026.

The current proposal is to advertise and award a construction contract for the Woodside Avenue Sidewalk Improvement Project. The project design is consistent with the project analyzed in the previously adopted MND. No changes in circumstances have been identified, or new information of substantial importance

regarding potential effects to biological resources from construction of the project. Therefore, the project would not cause any new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects to biological resources. There are no changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause one or more effects to biological resource

V. CULTURAL RESOURCES -- Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause one or more effects to cultural resources including: causing a change in the significance of a historical or archaeological resource as defined in State CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5; destroying a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature; and/or disturbing any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?

YES NO

The previously adopted MND analyzed potential impacts to historic built resources, archeological, and human remains and concluded less than significant with mitigation incorporated and no impact to these resources from the sidewalk improvement project.

Due to the previously disturbed nature of the site, the low likelihood of archaeological resources being present, and inclusion of an Archaeologist and Kumeyaay Native American monitor during initial project-related ground disturbing activities, the project would not disturb any cultural resources.

The current proposal is to advertise and award a construction contract for the Woodside Avenue Sidewalk Improvement Project. The project design is consistent with the project analyzed in the previously adopted MND. No changes in circumstances have been identified, or new information of substantial importance regarding potential effects to cultural resources from construction of the project. Therefore, the project would not cause any new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects to cultural resources. There are no changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause one or more effects to cultural resources.

VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS -- Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that result in one or more effects from geology and soils including: exposure of people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving rupture of a known earthquake fault, seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction, strong seismic ground shaking, or landslides; result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil; produce unstable geological conditions that will result in adverse impacts resulting from landslides, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse; being located on expansive soil creating substantial risks to life or property; and/or having soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of wastewater?

YES NO

The previously adopted MND concluded that the sidewalk improvement project would not have a significant impact to geology and soils resulting from exposure of people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving geologic and seismic hazards. The previously adopted MND stated less than significant impacts regarding soil erosion or topsoil loss, unstable soils, expansive soils, and paleontological resources. A Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) would be developed for the project prior to construction that identifies specific best management practices (BMPs) to minimize erosion and control sedimentation.

The project site is located within a "Landslide Susceptibility Area" as identified in the County Guidelines for Determining Significance for Geologic Hazards. Landslide Susceptibility Areas were developed based on landslide risk profiles included in the *Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan, San Diego, CA* (URS, 2004). Landslide risk areas from this plan were based on data including steep

slopes (greater than 25%); soil series data (SANDAG based on USGS 1970s series); soil-slip susceptibility from USGS; and Landslide Hazard Zone Maps (limited to western portion of the County) developed by the California Department of Conservation, Division of Mines and Geology (DMG). Also included within Landslide Susceptibility Areas are gabbroic soils on slopes steeper than 15% in grade because these soils are slide prone.

According to the Soil Survey of San Diego County, the soils on-site are identified as Grangeville Fine Sandy Loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes and Tujunga Sand, 0 to 5 percent slopes that have a soil erodibility rating of "slight," and Greenfield Sandy Loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes and Ramona Sandy Loam, 5 to 9 percent slopes that have a soil erodibility rating of "moderate" as indicated by the Soil Survey for the San Diego Area, prepared by the US Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation and Forest Service dated December 1973. However, the project will not result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil for the following reasons:

- The project will not result in unprotected erodible soils; will not alter existing drainage patterns; and will not develop steep slopes.
- A Storm Water Quality Management Plan (SWQMP) has been prepared. The SWQMP will include the following Best Management Practices (BMPs) to ensure sediment does not erode from the project site: gravel bags, fiber rolls, spill prevention and control, concrete waste management, solid waste management, and sanitary waste management.
- The project involves grading. However, the project is required to comply with the San Diego County Code of Regulations, Title 8, Zoning and Land Use Regulations, Division 7, Sections 87.414 (DRAINAGE - EROSION PREVENTION) and 87.417 (PLANTING). Compliance with these regulations minimizes the potential for water and wind erosion.

The project is not located in a fault rupture hazard zone identified by the Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Act, Special Publication 42, Revised 1997, Fault-Rupture Hazards Zones in California, or located within any other area with substantial evidence of a known fault. Therefore, there will be no impact from the exposure of people or structures to adverse effects from a known fault-rupture hazard zone because of this project.

A monitoring program implemented by the excavation/grading contractor will be required. Equipment operators and others involved in the excavation should watch for fossils during the normal course of their duties. In accordance with the Grading Ordinance, if a fossil or fossil assemblage of greater than twelve inches in any

dimension is encountered during excavation, all excavation operations in the area where the fossil or fossil assemblage was found shall be suspended immediately, the Resident Engineer and the County’s Environmental Planning Manager shall be notified, and a Qualified Paleontologist shall be retained by the County to inspect the find to determine if it is significant. A Qualified Paleontologist is a person who has:

- A Ph.D. or M.S. or equivalent in paleontology or closely related field (e.g., sedimentary or stratigraphic geology, evolutionary biology, etc.)
- Demonstrated knowledge of southern California paleontology and geology; and
- Documented experience in professional paleontological procedures and techniques.

If the Qualified Paleontologist determines that the fossil or fossil assemblage is significant; a mitigation program involving salvage, cleaning, and curation of the fossil(s) and documentation shall be implemented. If no fossils or fossil assemblages of greater than 12 inches in any dimension are encountered during excavation, a “No Fossils Found” letter will be submitted to the County Department of Public Works identifying who conducted the monitoring and that no fossils were found. If one or more fossils or fossil assemblages are found, the Qualified Paleontologist shall prepare a report documenting the mitigation program, including field and laboratory methodology, location and the geologic and stratigraphic setting, list(s) of collected fossils and their paleontological significance, descriptions of any analyses, conclusions, and references cited.

Therefore, with the implementation of the above project requirements during project grading operations, potential impacts to paleontological resources will be less than significant. Furthermore, the project will not result in a cumulative impact to paleontological resources because other projects that require grading in sensitive paleontological resource areas will be required to have the appropriate level of paleontological monitoring and resource recovery. In addition, other projects that propose any amount of significant grading would be subject to the requirements for paleontological monitoring as required pursuant to the County’s Grading Ordinance. Therefore, the project would not result in a significant direct, indirect, or cumulative impacts.

The current proposal is to advertise and award a construction contract for the Woodside Avenue Sidewalk Improvement Project. The project is consistent with the analysis contained in the previously adopted MND, and no new changes in circumstances or new information have been identified regarding potential effects to geology and soils. Therefore, the project would not cause any new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects to geology and soils. There are no changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause one or more effects to geology and soils.

VII GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS -- Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that result in one or more effects related to environmental effects associated with greenhouse gas emissions or compliance with applicable plans, policies or regulations adopted for the purpose of reducing greenhouse gas emissions?

YES
 NO

The previously adopted MND would have a less than significant impact on greenhouse gas emissions. Emissions during project construction would occur temporarily and could include transport of equipment and materials to and from the site, removal of spoils and/or debris, and construction

Measures were developed to reduce any potential hazards to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials.

1. A Health and Safety Plan (HSP) will be prepared for construction work near properties with a hazard ranking greater than 1. The HSP will include procedures to protect workers and the public during construction in these areas
2. A Soil Management Plan will be prepared for construction work near properties with a hazard ranking greater than 2. The soil management plan will include procedures for identifying soil contamination, and present protocols for excavation, on-site management, and off-site disposal of contaminated soil in accordance with federal and state regulations.
3. In work areas near properties with a hazard ranking greater than 3, additional investigations were conducted (as part of a Phase II Study) to evaluate if residual contamination will be encountered. There were three properties that underwent additional investigation.

Three properties were given a ranking of 4 and were recommended for further evaluation of former hazardous materials release based on the probably of encountering residual subsurface contamination during Project construction. These sites are:

1. My Fuel (9774) Maine Ave
2. Shell Service (12087 Woodside Ave)
3. Lakeside Muffler and Welding (12461 Woodside Avenue)

A Phase II Environmental Site Assessment was undertaken, and a report prepared in August 2021 at these three properties. A total of ten boring locations were selected in locations where there may be potential to encounter residual contamination from previous property use during Project construction. The depths of soil borings and soil samples at a given location correspond to the depths of the proposed Project excavation at that location.

Concentrations of Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH), lead, and Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC in soil samples collected at all three sites within the project area were below Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) Environmental Safety Levels protective of human health. For this reason, Project construction work at the site does not require additional health and safety precautions for chemical hazards. Soil excavated for Project construction may be reused onsite. However, because TPH was detected in Borings 21-2 and 12-1 (even though it is below levels protective of human health), soil removed from these borings will be considered waste if removed from the Site. The Porter-Cologne Act prohibits the unrestricted discharge of waste. For this reason, landfill disposal of soil will take place if this soil is not reused onsite.

The project has conducted a Phase 1 and Phase II Environmental Site Assessment to identify any areas where there is the possibility of encountering hazardous materials during construction. The results of the Phase 1 and Phase II research and testing determined that no significant hazardous materials should be encountered. However, to reduce the possibility of any significant hazard to the public or the environment, a health and safety plan and a soil management plan will be prepared and implemented for work in areas where hazardous materials were known on the past. With the incorporation of these mitigation measures the impacts due to existing hazardous materials will be

less than significant.

The previously adopted MND would have a less than significant impact with mitigation incorporated on creating a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment. The results of the Phase 1 and Phase II research and testing determined that no significant hazardous materials should be encountered during construction. However, to reduce the possibility of any significant hazard to the public or the environment, a health and safety plan and a soil management plan will be prepared and implemented for work in areas where hazardous materials were known in the past. With the incorporation of these mitigation measures the impacts due to existing hazardous materials will be less than significant.

The previously adopted MND would have no impact on hazardous emissions and less than significant with mitigation incorporated for being located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5, or is otherwise known to have been subject to a release of hazardous substances and, as a result, would create a significant hazard to the public or the environment. Based on a site visit and regulatory database search, the project site is not on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5. However, since the project site is a busy commercial corridor, Phase 1 and Phase II Environmental Assessments of Existing Hazardous materials that may be encountered during construction were conducted. The results of the Assessments determined that no hazardous materials of significance would be encountered during construction. However, to ensure that workers, the public, and the environment are protected during construction mitigation measures have been included in the project to ensure that there are no releases of hazardous substances that would create a significant hazard to the public or the environment during construction. These mitigation measures are the preparation and implementation of a Health and Safety Plan and a Soil Management Plan.

The previously adopted MND would have a less than significant impact on an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan. The proposed project is surrounded by urbanized areas and/or irrigated lands and no wildlands are adjacent to the project. The proposed project involves the installation of bike paths and sidewalks as part of an existing roadway within a developed area. The roads adjacent to the project would remain open for traffic at all times during construction, thus, traffic flow, access to homes, and emergency access will be maintained throughout the construction period. Therefore, based on the location of the project, review of the project by County staff; the project is not expected to expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury, or death involving hazardous wildland fires. Therefore, no impact will result due to the implementation of this project.

The current proposal is to advertise and award a construction contract for the Woodside Avenue Sidewalk Improvement Project. The project is consistent with the analysis contained in the previously adopted MND, and no new changes in circumstances or new information have been identified related to hazards and hazardous materials. Therefore, the project would not cause any new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects to hazards and hazardous materials.

IX HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY -- Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause one or more effects to hydrology and water quality including: violation of any waste discharge requirements; an increase in any listed pollutant to an impaired water body listed under section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act ; cause or contribute to an exceedance of applicable surface or groundwater receiving water quality objectives or degradation of beneficial uses; substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level; substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area in a manner which would result in substantial erosion, siltation or flooding on- or off- site; create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems; provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff; place housing or other structures which would impede or redirect flood flows within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map, including County Floodplain Maps; expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam; and/or inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?

YES

NO

The previously adopted MND assessed potential impacts to hydrology and water quality and potential short- term construction impacts related to surface water quality because of increased erosion, sedimentation, and potential polluted runoff during construction activities. With the inclusion of standard BMPs and preparation of the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP), there were no impacts to hydrology and water quality.

Construction activities would comply with the standards set forth in the County Stormwater Standards Manual and conform to all applicable federal, state or local "Clean Water" statutes or regulations.

The current proposal is to advertise and award a construction contract for the Woodside Avenue Sidewalk Improvement Project. The project is consistent with the analysis contained in the previously adopted MND, and no new information of substantial importance or changes in circumstances have been identified related to the project's potential effects to hydrology and water quality. Therefore, the project would not cause any new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects to hydrology and water quality. There are no changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause one or more effects to hydrology and water quality.

X LAND USE AND PLANNING -- Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause one or more effects to land use and planning including: physically dividing an established community; and/or conflicts with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?

YES

NO

The previously adopted MND assessed potential impacts to land use and planning and found no impacts. The proposed project is within the Lakeside Community Planning Area. According to the Land Use Policies and Recommendations of the community Plan, the community encourages “street tree planting and landscaping, as well as the preservation of indigenous plant life.” The proposed project involves the installation of Green Streets best management practices and sidewalks. The proposed project elements would include new landscape features within the parkway which allows for landscaping opportunities that would help retain the rural atmosphere of Lakeside and is consistent with the community plan. Therefore, the project would not conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project.

The current proposal is to advertise and award a construction contract for the Woodside Avenue Sidewalk Improvement Project.

The project is consistent with the analysis contained in the previously adopted MND, and no new information or changes in circumstances have been identified related to land use and planning. Therefore, the project would not cause any new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects to land use and planning. There are no changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause one or more effects to land use and planning.

XI MINERAL RESOURCES -- Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause one or more effects to mineral resources including: the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state; and/or loss of locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?

YES

NO

The previously adopted MND assessed potential impacts to mineral resources and found less than significant impact regarding the possible result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state. The project site or land within the vicinity of a site has been classified by the California Department of Conservation – Division of Mines and Geology (Update of Mineral Land Classification: Aggregate Materials in the Western San Diego Production-Consumption Region, 1997) as an area of “Identified Mineral Resource Significance” (MRZ-2). However, the project site is surrounded by densely developed land uses including residential, general commercial, and public/semi-public facilities land uses. Existing development within the project area includes various businesses, residences and a middle school which are incompatible to future extraction of mineral resources on the project site. A future mining operation at the project site would likely create a significant impact to neighboring properties for issues such as noise, air quality, traffic, and possibly other impacts. Therefore, implementation of the project will not result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value since the ability to extract the mineral resource has already been lost due to incompatible land uses.

The previously adopted MND assessed potential impacts to the potential loss of locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan and found a less than significant impact. The project site is in an area that has MRZ-2 designated lands or is located within 1,300 feet of such lands. However, the

project site will not result in the loss of locally important mineral resources because the project site is currently surrounded by densely developed land uses including residential, general commercial, and a middle school land. Existing development within the project area includes various businesses, residences, which are incompatible to future extraction of mineral resources on the project site. The project would not result in a loss of mineral resources because the feasibility of future mining at the site is already impacted by existing land use incompatibilities. Based on current land use conditions, a future mining operation at the project site would likely create a significant impact to neighboring properties for issues such as noise, air quality, traffic, and other impacts, thereby reducing the feasibility of future mining operations occurring, regardless of the proposed project.

Therefore, no potentially significant loss of availability of a known mineral resource of locally important mineral resource recovery (extraction) site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan will occur because of this project.

The current proposal is to advertise and award a construction contract for the Woodside Avenue Sidewalk Improvement Project. The project is consistent with the analysis contained in the previously adopted MND, and no new information or changes in circumstances have been identified regarding mineral resources. Therefore, the project would not cause any new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects to mineral resources. There are no changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause one or more effects to mineral resources.

XII NOISE -- Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that result in one or more effects from noise including: exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies; exposure of persons to or generation of excessive ground borne vibration or ground borne noise levels; a substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project; a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project; for projects located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, or for projects within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?

YES

NO

The previously adopted MND assessed potential impacts to noise and found a less than significant impact on ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the project and no impact on excessive noise levels or excessive ground borne vibration or ground-borne noise levels. There will be short-term noise associated with construction of the project. Construction noise will be intermittent over the 12–18-month construction period. The project will not expose people to potentially significant noise levels during construction or after construction that exceed the allowable limits of the County of San Diego General Plan, County of San Diego Noise Ordinance, and other applicable standards. Also, the project does not propose any major, new, or expanded infrastructure such as mass transit, highways or major roadways or intensive extractive industry that could generate excessive ground borne vibration or ground borne noise levels on-site or in the surrounding area. The proposed project is not located within an Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP) for airports or within 2 miles of a

public airport or public use airport. Therefore, the project will not expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive airport-related noise levels.

The current proposal is to advertise and award a construction contract for the Woodside Avenue Sidewalk Improvement Project. The project is consistent with the analysis contained in the previously adopted MND, and no new information or changes in circumstances have been identified. Therefore, the project would not cause any new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects to noise. There are no changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause one or more effects to noise.

XIII POPULATION AND HOUSING -- Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that result in one or more effects to population and housing including displacing substantial numbers of existing housing or people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?

YES

NO

The previously adopted MND assessed potential impacts to population and housing and found no impact. The proposed project will not induce substantial population growth in an area because the project does not propose any physical or regulatory change that would remove a restriction to or encourage population growth in an area including, but limited to the following: new or extended infrastructure or public facilities; new commercial or industrial facilities; large-scale residential development; accelerated conversion of homes to commercial or multi-family use; or regulatory changes including General Plan amendments, specific plan amendments, zone reclassifications, sewer or water annexations; or LAFCO annexation actions. The proposed project will not displace any existing housing since the proposed project would only involve enhancing pedestrian, motorist, and bicyclist safety by installing sidewalk, traffic signal improvements, striping modifications, Class II bike lane and buffered bike lanes along Woodside Avenue between Marilla Drive and Chestnut Avenue where feasible.

The current proposal is to advertise and award a construction contract for the Woodside Avenue Sidewalk Improvement Project.

The project is consistent with the analysis contained in the previously adopted MND, and no new information or changes in circumstances have been identified related to population and housing. Therefore, the project would not cause any new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects to population and housing. There are no changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause one or more effects to population and housing.

XIV PUBLIC SERVICES -- Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that result in one or more substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities or the need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the following public services: fire

protection, police protection, schools, parks, or other public facilities?

YES

NO

The previously adopted MND assessed impacts to public services and found no impact. The project proposes to enhance pedestrian, motorist, and bicyclist safety by installing sidewalks, traffic signal improvements, striping modifications, Class II bike lanes and buffered bike lanes along Woodside Avenue between Marilla Drive and Chestnut Avenue where feasible. The project would not require new or altered public services or facilities to be constructed to meet acceptable service ratios or response times. The project does not involve the construction of new or physically altered governmental facilities including but not limited to fire protection facilities, sheriff facilities, schools, or parks to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance service ratios or objectives for any public services. Therefore, the project will not have an adverse physical effect on the environment because the project does not require new or significantly altered services or facilities to be constructed.

The current proposal is to advertise and award a construction contract for the Woodside Avenue Sidewalk Improvement Project. The project is consistent with the analysis contained in the previously adopted MND, and no new information or changes in circumstances have been identified related to public services. Therefore, the project would not cause any new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects to public services. There are no changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause one or more effects to public services.

XV RECREATION -- Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that result in an increase in the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated; or that include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?

YES

NO

The previously adopted MND assessed impacts to recreation and found no impact. The project does not include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities. The current proposal is to advertise and award a construction contract for the Woodside Avenue Sidewalk Improvement Project.

The project is consistent with the analysis contained in the previously adopted MND, and no new information or changes in circumstances have been identified related to recreation resources.

Therefore, the project would not cause any new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects to recreation. There are no changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause one or more effects to recreation.

XVI TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC -- Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause effects to transportation/traffic including: an increase in traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and

capacity of the street system; exceedance, either individually or cumulatively, of a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways; a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks; substantial increase in hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment); inadequate emergency access; inadequate parking capacity; and/or a conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?

YES

NO

The previously adopted MND assessed impacts to transportation and traffic and found no impact. The project proposes to enhance pedestrian, motorist, and bicyclist safety by installing sidewalks, traffic signal improvements, striping modifications, Class II bike lanes and buffered bike lanes along Woodside Avenue between Marilla Drive and Chestnut Avenue where feasible. The project will not result in increased vehicle trips, vehicle miles travelled or roadway capacity. Therefore, the project would not conflict with any applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of the effectiveness of the circulation system. The proposed project would not alter traffic patterns, increase hazards due to design features, place incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment) on existing roadways, or create or place curves, slopes or walls which impede adequate site distances on a road.

The current proposal is to advertise and award a construction contract for the Woodside Avenue Sidewalk Improvement Project. The project is consistent with the analysis contained in the previously adopted MND, and no new information or changes in circumstances have been identified related to transportation and traffic. Therefore, the project would not cause any new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects to transportation. There are no changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause one or more effects to transportation and traffic.

XVII TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES -- Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause one or more effects to tribal cultural resources including: causing a change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource as defined in Public Resource Code §21074?

YES

NO

The previously adopted MND assessed impacts to tribal and cultural resources and found no impacts and less than significant impacts. Pursuant to Assembly Bill 52 (AB-52), consultation was conducted with cultural affiliated tribes. DPW staff sent letters to the identified tribal representatives on July 13, 2021, and August 4, 2021, followed up via emails and phone calls on September 2, 2021, three tribes requested AB52 consultation: the Viejas Band of Kumeyaay Indians, and the Campo Band and the San Pasqual Band. Per the requests made during Native American consultation, the County has agreed to provide a Kumeyaay Native American monitor during project-related ground disturbing activities.

No tribal cultural resources were identified during consultation and no resources listed or eligible for listing Historic Resources were identified. As such, the project would result in no

impact to historic tribal cultural resources. However, per the requests made during Native American consultation, the County has agreed to provide a Kumeyaay Native American monitor during project-related ground disturbing activities.

The current proposal is to advertise and award a construction contract for the Woodside Avenue Sidewalk Improvement Project. The project is consistent with the analysis contained in the previously adopted MND, and no new information or changes in circumstances have been identified related to tribal cultural resources. Therefore, the project would not cause any new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects to tribal cultural resources. There are no changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause one or more effects to tribal cultural resources.

XVIII UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS -- Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause effects to utilities and service systems including: exceedance of wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board; require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities, new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects; require new or expanded entitlements to water supplies or new water resources to serve the project; result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider, which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments; be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs; and/or noncompliance with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?

YES

NO

The previously adopted MND assessed impacts to utilities and service systems and found less than significant impact and no impact. The project proposes to enhance pedestrian, motorist, and bicyclist safety by installing sidewalk, traffic signal improvements, striping modifications, Class II bike lanes and buffered bike lanes along Woodside Avenue between Marilla Drive and Chestnut Avenue where feasible. The project does not include new or expanded water or wastewater treatment facilities. In addition, the project does not require the construction or expansion of water or wastewater treatment facilities. Minor relocations of utilities onsite will be required but would not cause significant environmental effects. The proposed project would not require water service from the Lakeside Water District. Therefore, the project will have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project. The proposed project will not produce any wastewater; therefore, the project will not interfere with any wastewater treatment providers service capacity. The proposed project will not generate any solid waste nor place any burden on the existing permitted capacity of any landfill or transfer station within San Diego County. Therefore, compliance with any Federal, State, or local statutes or regulation related to solid waste is not applicable to this project.

The current proposal is to advertise and award a construction contract for the Woodside Avenue Sidewalk Improvement Project. The project is consistent with the analysis contained in the previously adopted MND, and no new information or changes in circumstances have been identified regarding utilities and service systems. Therefore, the project would not cause any new significant environmental effects or

a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects to utilities and service systems. There are no changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause one or more effects to utilities and service systems.

XIX MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE: Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that result in any mandatory finding of significance listed below?

Does the project degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?

YES

NO

Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?

YES

NO

Does the project have environmental effects, which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?

YES

NO

The current project would not result in new mandatory findings of significance.

XX REFERENCES USED IN THE COMPLETION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW UPDATE CHECKLIST FORM

California Department of Conservation. 2022. San Diego County Important Farmland Map. Accessed July 2021. <https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/DLRP/CIFF/>.

California Environmental Quality Act, CEQA Guidelines

California Department of Transportation (Caltrans). 2019. Designated and Eligible Scenic Highways.

August.

County Code of Regulatory Ordinances, Title 3, Division 5, Chapter 3

County of San Diego Scenic Highway Conservation/Open Space Element of the General Plan

County of San Diego Zoning Ordinance (Agricultural Use Regulation, Sections 2700-2720) County of

San Diego. 2012. County of San Diego General Plan.

County of San Diego. 2014. Valley Center Community Plan. August.

https://www.sandiegocounty.gov/content/dam/sdc/pds/regulatory/docs/LILAC_HILLS_RANCH/dfeir/GPA_-_Valley_Center_Community_Plan.pdf

County of San Diego. 2019. Final Environmental Impact Report for the Cole Grade Road Improvement Project. March.

County of San Diego Watershed Protection, Stormwater Management, and Discharge Control Ordinance (WPO) (Ordinance Nos. 9424 and 9426, County Codes §§ 67801 et seq.)

Order No. 2001-01, NPDES No. CAS 0108758, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region

Ordinance 8334, An Ordinance to amend the San Diego County Code of Regulatory Ordinances relating to Flood Damage Prevention, Adopted by the Board of Supervisors on 12/7/93

RECON Environmental Inc. 2018. Cultural Resources Test Excavations Report for the Cole Grade Road Improvement Project, San Diego County, California, SCH#2015121090. November.

Water Quality Control Plan for the San Diego Basin (9), California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region