

Meeting Date: October 22, 2024
Agenda Item No. 22
Distribution Date: October 21, 2024
Batch No. 01

From: henkinp@earthlink.net
To: [Lawson-Remer, Terra](#); [Vargas, Nora \(BOS\)](#); [MontgomerySteppe, Monica](#); [Desmond, Jim](#); [Anderson, Joel](#)
Cc: [FGG, Public Comment](#); [CV Live Data Robert Johnson](#); [ABC 10 San Diego](#); [Fox 5 69 San Diego](#); [News Fox Cable Insider](#); [KOGO Carl DeMaio \(Reform California KOGO DeMaio Report\)](#); [KPBS San Diego](#); [KUSI 51 San Diego](#); [La Prensa San Diego](#); [NBC 7 San Diego](#); [San Diego UT Senior Editor](#); [San Diego UT Community Op Ed](#); saopinion@timesofsandiego.com
Subject: [External] CAPABILITIES IN THE FOUR PHASES OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT (PLEASE INCLUDE WITH DOCUMENTS FOR AGENDA #22)
Date: Thursday, October 17, 2024 11:10:03 AM

Good Morning, Supervisors,

Re-22. COMMUNITY RESPONSE AND RECOVERY: STRENGTHENING OUR REGIONAL CAPABILITIES IN THE FOUR PHASES OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT

I think you are putting the cart before the horse on this one. Recommendation 1 is to solicit community input, but then recommendations 2 to 6 ask for various actions, shoring up funding, central fiduciary to co-ordinate the distribution of relief funds, and so on.

Then, Recommendations 7 and 8 call for setting up two new bureaucracies which might not even be wanted or needed.

The Board Letter says the Board received a list of 70 recommendations on June 13, of which 30 have been implemented and 40 remain outstanding. This letter has 8 recommendations. Are there 32 others, or were they combined in some way?

A copy of the June recommendations should have been attached with the completed recommendations checked off.

This proposal needs to be rejected.

Regards,

Paul Henkin