

Board of Supervisors

Meeting Time: 05-07-25 09:00


eComments Report

Meetings	Meeting Time	Agenda Items	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
Board of Supervisors	05-07-25 09:00	19	2	0	2	0

Sentiments for All Meetings

The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

Overall Sentiment




Agenda Name	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
6. SET A HEARING FOR MAY 21, 2025: COST RECOVERY PROPOSAL TO adopt ordinances related to fees and deposits TO LAND DEVELOPMENT EFFECTIVE FISCAL YEAR 2025-2026 AND CeQA EXEMPTION (5/7/2025 - FIRST READING; 5/21/2025 - SECOND READING UNLESS ORDINANCE IS MODIFIED ON SECOND READING)	2	0	2	0

Sentiments for All Agenda Items


The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

Overall Sentiment



Agenda Item: eComments for 6. SET A HEARING FOR MAY 21, 2025:
COST RECOVERY PROPOSAL TO adopt ordinances related to fees and deposits TO LAND DEVELOPMENT EFFECTIVE
FISCAL YEAR 2025-2026 AND CeQA EXEMPTION (5/7/2025 - FIRST READING; 5/21/2025 - SECOND READING UNLESS
ORDINANCE IS MODIFIED ON SECOND READING)

Overall Sentiment



Greg Waite

Location: 92646, Huntington Beach
Submitted At: 2:09pm 05-05-25

Dear County Board of Supervisors. My position on cost recovery programs is generally neutral. However, I strongly oppose cost recovery policies where there is no accompanying mechanism to ensure expedient and efficient project review. There must be accountability on the time allowed for review and definitive timelines that county staff is required to meet. Without this added to the policy, the costs can become astronomical. I have witnessed this first hand. Spending well over \$1M on plan check fees for TM and CEQA alone. This is untenable. Thank you for your consideration. Please do not vote on this item unless there is an added component of accountability.

Paul Henkin

Location: 91902, Bonita
Submitted At: 11:13am 05-01-25

So, you propose to increase fees, presumably so you can continue your wasteful spending habits.

You want to raise fees by an average of 4.5% to 10% (very inflationary) and eliminate the Homeowner Relief Act program, which provides loans or grants to eligible homeowners for minor home health and safety repairs.

And then, you have the nerve to say These increases in PDS and DPW may not fully address cost increases based on future negotiated labor agreements, guaranteeing future financial mayhem because you cannot negotiate in the interest of ALL the County.

Instead, more shoddy and dangerous homes (increasing wildfire danger to all) and financial pressure.

And then you threaten to withhold services you're already planning to cut. Share the pain and cut your salaries a

bit. And maybe reduce the number of mangers at the County a bit.

And I'd like an independent audit first to verify that al the County's BS programs are not wasteful. Put your money where your mouths are.