

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Desmond, Jim](#); [Anderson, Joel](#); [MontgomerySteppe, Monica](#); [Lawson-Remer, Terra](#)
Cc: [FGG, Public Comment](#)
Subject: [External] FY 24-25 3rd QUARTER OPS PLAN REPORT AND BUDGET ADJUSTMENTS (please include with docs. for agenda #19)
Date: Friday, May 16, 2025 1:31:26 PM

Hi Supervisors,

I don't know why you made a separate item out of the Sanitation District budget stuff (recommendation 13 and SA01.) Double the fraud, waste, and abuse potential?

Recommendation 2 – more money to pay and the Board letter does not really say why it is necessary, what costs are increasing, but says you need to do it. Most of the money is coming from supplies & services in the Public Safety Group but of course we aren't told if the supplies & services are actually needed.

Recommendations 3 and 4 to transfer money based on over-realized general funds hints that we may have been paying excessive taxes. Please let us know, and readjust.

Although I'm glad you are attending to Public Safety salaries and benefits,

Recommendation 8 – Glad you're realizing that ARPA costs are disallowed.

Recommendation 10 – Hope that a SANDAG grant for Housing acceleration can be used to fund Road Construction. And of course, I don't know why SANDAG, a transportation agency, is funneling or handling Housing money anyway.

Recommendation 16 – so, \$9.9 million in unanticipated liability. Brace for the Polinsky Center suits. Also Jail deaths, although I'm glad those are going down slowly.

Recommendation 17 doesn't even say where in the agencies involved the money is coming from, which begs the question is it affordable.

Recommendations 18 and 20 are similarly vague. Which client departments is the money coming from?

The recommendations should be clarified and preferably rewritten in clear language (like transfer...from...to... instead of appropriate...based on....)

Regards,

Paul Henkin