Meeting Date: September 10, 2025

Agenda Item No. 06

Distribution Date: September 02, 2025

Batch No. 01

From: Russell Robinson

Sent: Thursday, August 28, 2025 5:04 PM

To: Jacobs, Christopher

Subject: [External] Cottonwood Sand Mine Project, appeal

To the San Diego County Board of Supervisors C/O Christopher Jacob's Dear Honorable Supervisors on the County of San Diego,

My wife and I have raised 3 children in east county near the "Sand Mine" proposed site. In the 50 years we have lived in Rancho San Diego/Singing Hills estates, we have seen many changes. We hike daily, and the present Truck traffic is loud, dusty and continuous.

We are RETIRED. We worked hard and have one primary asset, a rental home on Augusta Court which provides our retirement income. Our Present tenants are a wonderful family, whose son has medical issues. We are informed that his condition would worsen with the addition air pollution, and they would be forced to move. A disruption in our income would be detrimental. We are also informed the value of our property could/would be negatively affected, making rental more difficult and reduced equity at sale.

This entire area is a residential community, with schools and families. The "Sand Mine" is not an appropriate use in this area.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Russell and Maryanita Robinson

Sent from my iPad

From: Barry Treahy

Sent: Friday, August 29, 2025 3:50 PM

To: Anderson, Joel; publiccoment@sdcounty.ca.gov; Jacobs, Christopher

Subject: [External]

Date: Fri, 29 Aug 2025 15:29:26 -0700

Subject: View this page!

Public hearing Appeal, Sept. 10, 2025 09.00am re: Cottonwood Cajon ES, LLC

The documents I received for the "Notice of Public Hearing" are flawed and I did not get what I wanted or needed from them.

The Public Participation on that flyer indicated "in-person or via teleconference" and referenced going to a website on page 2

which did not provide useful information for me to advance my issue. I further cannot attend or do teleconfenerce to participate.

Under "assistance" for reasons, I am 86 and drafting this letter is quite sufficient an effort to assert my community

presence without attending.

Sand mining in the San Diego River is historically dated and what is left of untouched riverbed lands, should be

left alone. The only 'critical need' that the miner references is his opinion regarding his business, that is an empty

explanation, just as the rest of the reasoning used to try to justify what he wants. That is why this already was

voted down.

San Diego does not have a critical need for sand, what is left should be left where it is.

Barry A. Treahy