

Board of Supervisors

Meeting Time: 08-26-25 09:00


eComments Report

Meetings	Meeting Time	Agenda Items	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
Board of Supervisors	08-26-25 09:00	41	4	1	0	3

Sentiments for All Meetings

The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

Overall Sentiment




Agenda Name	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
12. ESTABLISHING A COUNTY ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE (AI) POLICY FRAMEWORK AND GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE TO SAFEGUARD TRANSPARENCY, EQUITY, AND ACCOUNTABILITY IN AI USE AND PROVIDING DIRECTION ON THE FUTURE OF COUNTY TECHNOLOGY	4	1	0	3

Sentiments for All Agenda Items


The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

Overall Sentiment



Agenda Item: eComments for 12. ESTABLISHING A COUNTY ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE (AI) POLICY FRAMEWORK AND GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE TO SAFEGUARD TRANSPARENCY, EQUITY, AND ACCOUNTABILITY IN AI USE AND PROVIDING DIRECTION ON THE FUTURE OF COUNTY TECHNOLOGY

Overall Sentiment



Satomi RashZeigler

Location: 92101, San Diego
Submitted At: 10:20am 08-26-25

We recommend taking the time to thoroughly evaluate several key areas within the framework: First, implementing mandatory consultations with County workers before deploying AI technologies. 2nd, ensuring that the community has a right to opt out of engaging with Generative AI systems. Third, ensuring public notification, public comment, and board vote before any data about San Diegans is shared with third parties.

Furthermore, we believe it is crucial to conduct algorithmic impact assessments and provide a transparent inventory of all substantial Automated Decision Systems. We must also prioritize data privacy, limiting data collection to what is strictly necessary, and ensuring any sharing of information is clearly communicated to the public. This is by no means a comprehensive list of issues that need to be addressed with this policy, but these are crucial centerpieces.

We've drafted a letter in response to the AI Policy and urge you review and include in the policy framework.

Phyllis Blanck

Location: 92101, San Diego
Submitted At: 7:52am 08-26-25

If AI were to be implemented in San Diego, very specific guide lines would have to be set and adhered to. There is so much at risk as well as positive outcomes. AI has some very known damaging potentials and there are many things we don't know about what our future together with AI holds. Therefore, while it's uses in our communities must be clear, we need to allow for adjustments as the future unfolds.

Lisa Garcia

Location:
Submitted At: 1:49pm 08-22-25

Terra Lawson-Remer & Nora Vargas, AI can make life smoother — or way messier — depending on how it's used. I support the County setting guardrails for bias and accountability, but I also hope you'll look at the

environmental side too. These systems burn through massive energy, and we don't need tech progress that fries the planet. Please bake sustainability into the policy, not as an afterthought. Cool tech is great, but not if it cooks the earth we live on.

Paul Henkin

Location: 91902, Bonita

Submitted At: 3:31pm 08-21-25

AI Safeguards – What a joke – AI has no conscience, the latest is that it arrested schoolkids for crude jokes. Misidentification is routine. AI is well known for deepfakes. I have seen the same woman changed by AI from white to Asian to Black.

What you want is something to deter the bad actors as well as the incorrect coding, logical errors, or similar.

It is good to have a policy, but this is an interstate issue. I know there are guidelines and laws in place already which may, by the way, negate anything the County does. You should examine these laws or guidelines before instituting your own, given that you seem to have no idea what financial burden this might impose.