

ATTACHMENT

For Item

#16

Tuesday,
October 11, 2022

PUBLIC COMMUNICATION RECEIVED BY THE
CLERK OF THE BOARD

DISTRIBUTED 10/06/2022

From: henkinp@earthlink.net
To: [Fletcher, Nathan \(BOS\)](#); [Desmond, Jim](#); [Anderson, Joel](#); [Vargas, Nora \(BOS\)](#); [Lawson-Remer, Terra](#)
Cc: [FGG, Public Comment](#)
Subject: [External] ECONOMIC UPDATE (please post with agenda item 16)
Date: Thursday, October 6, 2022 12:58:31 PM

Hi Supervisors,

This is the usual report containing leveraged data. It is interesting that SANDAG actually notes the obvious - "unemployment has impacted each community in the region differently, and the agenda notes that "indicators such as unemployment rate and property value will vary among different constituencies." No kidding, so do we have any solid basis for discussion or just the usual whining about certain communities being left out, but no real suggestions?.

I see that the highest unemployment rate quoted is 3.7%. This is actually pretty good. Could be zero, but 3.7% is so low that I don't know why you guys aren't celebrating.

The agenda does mention "A history of racially restrictive covenants and discriminatory policies have resulted in lower rates of home ownership, higher rent burden, and depressed wages in comparison to White individuals." If these things still exist, they are illegal, and the County should be on top of the problem. There is a minimum wage, and racially restrictive covenants and discriminatory policies are not Constitutional.

Sounds to me like the passing game.

Regards,

Paul Henkin