ATTACHMENT

For Item

#22

Tuesday, August 27, 2024

PUBLIC COMMUNICATION RECEIVED BY THE CLERK OF THE BOARD

From: henkinp@earthlink.net

To: Desmond, Jim; Anderson, Joel; MontgomerySteppe, Monica; Vargas, Nora (BOS); Lawson-Remer, Terra

Cc: FGG, Public Comment

Subject: [External] EQUITY AND COMMUNITY STRENGTH THROUGH PROCUREMENT AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

PROGRAMS (please include with docs. for agenda #22)

Date: Thursday, August 22, 2024 12:44:59 PM

Hi Supervisors,

This is a good idea. The County should definitely be supporting businesses in this County.

My question would be why the County has seen a downward trend in the participation of local small businesses in contracting with the County, from 11.3% in Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 to 8.3% in FY 2022-23.

Is it the County not offering terms favorable or interesting enough? Is it a preference for ' big name' or existing contracts? Are County small businesses not meeting contract requirements? These issues should be researched before setting goals that might never be reached.

- 2. I hope you are not implicitly or otherwise setting " a goal of 25% of annual procurement spending with local small businesses. " I think that the more spending that funds County business, the better.
- 3. Not sure what is meant by "increasing the County's "local business preference" from 5% to 15%." The preference should probably be 100%.

Let's let the final report take its course without locking in any numbers.

Regards, Paul Henkin