

# Board of Supervisors

Meeting Time: 05-20-25 09:00


## eComments Report

Meetings	Meeting Time	Agenda Items	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
Board of Supervisors	05-20-25 09:00	32	3	1	0	2

### Sentiments for All Meetings

The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

#### Overall Sentiment




Board of Supervisors  
05-20-25 09:00

Agenda Name	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
1. ADMINISTRATIVE ITEM: SECOND CONSIDERATION AND ADOPTION OF ORDINANCE: APPROVE AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ARTICLE XV-B OF THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE RELATING TO HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES CHARGES AND FEES	3	1	0	2

Sentiments for All Agenda Items


The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

Overall Sentiment



Agenda Item: eComments for 1. ADMINISTRATIVE ITEM:  
SECOND CONSIDERATION AND ADOPTION OF ORDINANCE:  
APPROVE AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ARTICLE XV-B OF THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE RELATING TO  
HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES CHARGES AND FEES

Overall Sentiment



**Delia Y**

Location:

Submitted At: 11:13am 05-17-25

Per this item: "Today's action would update fees for services provided by the County that help to promote health and safety and have an impact on the lives of Black, Indigenous, People of Color, women, people with disabilities, immigrants, youth, the LGBTQ+ community, and other underserved groups." So is the county NOT currently promoting the health and safety of these groups? This statements appears to indicate there are no services for White people. Such a discriminatory statement in this item. Why not state the county will promote health and safety for all residents. These supervisors love their boxes in which the population is divided in order for some supervisors to appear as if their champions for some groups instead of working for all.

**Paul Henkin**

Location: 91902, Bonita

Submitted At: 4:24pm 05-16-25

You forgot to mention in the title when when the first reading was.

And what does HHSA have to do with tobacco licensing? The fee is high, but so are the societal costs.

In contrast to previous requests, I see some very generous fee decreases and cancellations at the Public Health Lab.

I am a bit concerned about the 13% private pay rate increase at the Edgemoor Behavioral facility. The Board letter explains an increase is proposed to align with updated full cost recovery information, but does not explain how it aligns with full cost recovery. Besides, I thought the idea of Behavioral Treatment was actual treatment, not Fee increases presumably on patients who will be faced with a fee increase they didn't sign up for when admitted to the hospital.

Cost Recovery tends to favor the rich over lower income people, and can inflame societal tensions.

So, YES to the PHL fee adjustments, but a big NO to the Edgemoor fee increase.

**Gaela FernandezFlorin**

Location: 92110, San Diego

Submitted At: 3:23pm 05-15-25

Gaela Fernandez Florin here from Mind Numbers, a mission-driven initiative providing greater freedom and safety for people with serious mental illness—starting with bipolar disorder. Our AI-powered app passively gathers data to help users and trusted contacts intervene early before crisis hits. I encourage the County to strengthen its investment in innovation by supporting startups developing affordable, scalable tools that can amplify existing County programs. The ROI for crisis prevention is significant—from cost savings to improved public health outcomes. Innovation requires trying new approaches and SD can become a model how counties nationwide partner with private solutions to address urgent mental health needs. We welcome more streamlined collaboration between County agencies and outside innovators. We could support programs like Incredible Years, SchoolLink, CSUs, MCRTs, Full-Service Partnership, No Place Like Home, among others. Thank you.