

Board of Supervisors

Meeting Time: 06-25-26 09:00


eComments Report

Meetings	Meeting Time	Agenda Items	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
Board of Supervisors	06-25-26 09:00	27	2	1	0	1

Sentiments for All Meetings

The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

Overall Sentiment




Agenda Name	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
5. ADMINISTRATIVE ITEM: SECOND CONSIDERATION AND ADOPTION OF ORDINANCE: AMEND THE RULES OF PROCEDURE FOR THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY ASSESSMENT APPEALS BOARDS AND ASSESSMENT HEARING OFFICERS (First Reading of Ordinance - 06/09/2026; Second Reading, 06/25/2026)	2	1	0	1

Sentiments for All Agenda Items


The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

Overall Sentiment



Agenda Item: eComments for 5. ADMINISTRATIVE ITEM:
SECOND CONSIDERATION AND ADOPTION OF ORDINANCE:
AMEND THE RULES OF PROCEDURE FOR THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY ASSESSMENT APPEALS BOARDS AND ASSESSMENT
HEARING OFFICERS (First Reading of Ordinance - 06/09/2026; Second Reading, 06/25/2026)

Overall Sentiment



Donna Garcia

Location: 92036-2488, Julian
Submitted At: 9:31am 06-25-26

I support Anderson's proposal to keep meetings transparent by establishing a formal framework governing Board-created Ad-Hoc subcommittees:
requiring publicly posted agendas,
open and accessible meetings,
live-streaming and recordings, and
the availability of materials, communications, and membership information

You are all here to represent the people who voted you in. We deserve to know what you are doing. Keep it transparent.

Paul Henkin

Location: 91902, Bonita
Submitted At: 10:05am 06-17-26

Allowing electronic filing of an assessment appeal would certainly quicken the appeals process and reduce the anxiety from the long wait for the appellant. Setting a prehearing (section 5.2(a) is good, but I don't know why a commercial applicant needs to wait a year. You should be making the process faster for everyone especially for local small businesses.

I also think there should be a time required for providing findings (sec, 8) so that the process is not unreasonably delayed by foot-dragging or otherwise.

Not sure I like substituting 'them' for 'him or her.' I would rather confer with a person whose gender is known.

But this rule change is a good start.