

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Tatiana Page](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Housing Provider Opposed to Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 1:20:08 PM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a housing provider in the County of San Diego, I respectfully urge you to oppose Item 19 establishing a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. While consumer protection is important, this proposal creates duplicative enforcement authority and expands litigation risk for a housing industry already governed by robust state and local laws.

Housing providers are committed to compliance, and education remains the most effective tool to ensure both residents and providers understand and follow the law. Rather than creating a new enforcement unit, the County should prioritize education, outreach, and clear guidance so individuals understand their rights and responsibilities. Laws cannot be effectively enforced if the public is unaware they exist.

Key concerns include:

- Duplicates existing enforcement by the Attorney General, district attorneys, and housing agencies
- Increases regulatory uncertainty, discouraging housing investment
- Prioritizes litigation over education and proactive compliance

For these reasons, I respectfully request you oppose Item 19.

Sincerely,

Tatiana Page

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Yousong Mei](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Housing Provider Opposed to Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 11:20:10 AM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a housing provider in the County of San Diego, I respectfully urge you to oppose Item 19 establishing a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. While consumer protection is important, this proposal creates duplicative enforcement authority and expands litigation risk for a housing industry already governed by robust state and local laws.

Housing providers are committed to compliance, and education remains the most effective tool to ensure both residents and providers understand and follow the law. Rather than creating a new enforcement unit, the County should prioritize education, outreach, and clear guidance so individuals understand their rights and responsibilities. Laws cannot be effectively enforced if the public is unaware they exist.

Key concerns include:

- Duplicates existing enforcement by the Attorney General, district attorneys, and housing agencies
- Increases regulatory uncertainty, discouraging housing investment
- Prioritizes litigation over education and proactive compliance

For these reasons, I respectfully request you oppose Item 19.

Sincerely,

Yousong Mei

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Athán Ranglas](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Housing Provider Opposed to Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 11:20:07 AM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a housing provider in the County of San Diego, I respectfully urge you to oppose Item 19 establishing a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. While consumer protection is important, this proposal creates duplicative enforcement authority and expands litigation risk for a housing industry already governed by robust state and local laws.

Housing providers are committed to compliance, and education remains the most effective tool to ensure both residents and providers understand and follow the law. Rather than creating a new enforcement unit, the County should prioritize education, outreach, and clear guidance so individuals understand their rights and responsibilities. Laws cannot be effectively enforced if the public is unaware they exist.

Key concerns include:

- Duplicates existing enforcement by the Attorney General, district attorneys, and housing agencies
- Increases regulatory uncertainty, discouraging housing investment
- Prioritizes litigation over education and proactive compliance

For these reasons, I respectfully request you oppose Item 19.

Sincerely,

Athan Ranglas

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Colleen Bourgeois](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Housing Provider Opposed to Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 11:00:10 AM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a housing provider in the County of San Diego, I respectfully urge you to oppose Item 19 establishing a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. While consumer protection is important, this proposal creates duplicative enforcement authority and expands litigation risk for a housing industry already governed by robust state and local laws.

Housing providers are committed to compliance, and education remains the most effective tool to ensure both residents and providers understand and follow the law. Rather than creating a new enforcement unit, the County should prioritize education, outreach, and clear guidance so individuals understand their rights and responsibilities. Laws cannot be effectively enforced if the public is unaware they exist.

Key concerns include:

- Duplicates existing enforcement by the Attorney General, district attorneys, and housing agencies
- Increases regulatory uncertainty, discouraging housing investment
- Prioritizes litigation over education and proactive compliance

For these reasons, I respectfully request you oppose Item 19.

Sincerely,

Colleen Bourgeois

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Steven Kellman](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Housing Provider Opposed to Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 10:50:11 AM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a housing provider in the County of San Diego, I respectfully urge you to oppose Item 19 establishing a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. While consumer protection is important, this proposal creates duplicative enforcement authority and expands litigation risk for a housing industry already governed by robust state and local laws.

Housing providers are committed to compliance, and education remains the most effective tool to ensure both residents and providers understand and follow the law. Rather than creating a new enforcement unit, the County should prioritize education, outreach, and clear guidance so individuals understand their rights and responsibilities. Laws cannot be effectively enforced if the public is unaware they exist.

Key concerns include:

- Duplicates existing enforcement by the Attorney General, district attorneys, and housing agencies
- Increases regulatory uncertainty, discouraging housing investment
- Prioritizes litigation over education and proactive compliance

For these reasons, I respectfully request you oppose Item 19.

Sincerely,

Steven Kellman

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] t on behalf of [Daniel Ryan](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Housing Provider Opposed to Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 10:50:10 AM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a housing provider in the County of San Diego, I respectfully urge you to oppose Item 19 establishing a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. While consumer protection is important, this proposal creates duplicative enforcement authority and expands litigation risk for a housing industry already governed by robust state and local laws.

Housing providers are committed to compliance, and education remains the most effective tool to ensure both residents and providers understand and follow the law. Rather than creating a new enforcement unit, the County should prioritize education, outreach, and clear guidance so individuals understand their rights and responsibilities. Laws cannot be effectively enforced if the public is unaware they exist.

Key concerns include:

- Duplicates existing enforcement by the Attorney General, district attorneys, and housing agencies
- Increases regulatory uncertainty, discouraging housing investment
- Prioritizes litigation over education and proactive compliance

For these reasons, I respectfully request you oppose Item 19.

Sincerely,

Daniel Ryan

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] t on behalf of [Catherine Cranston](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Yes, I provide affordable housing to renters, re Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 10:50:09 AM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Thank you for your work, as the Board of Supervisors,

May I please declare my opposition to Item 19, which wants to establish a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. You already know this creates duplicative enforcement authority. Plus, we don't want to encourage harmful litigation which only enriches lawyers, and costs taxpayers MORE. Please please give taxpayers, and rental-home-owners, a break. Thank you kindly for your attention.

Sincerely,

Catherine Cranston

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [terri schwartz](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Housing Provider Opposed to Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 10:40:13 AM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a housing provider in the County of San Diego, I respectfully urge you to oppose Item 19 establishing a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. While consumer protection is important, this proposal creates duplicative enforcement authority and expands litigation risk for a housing industry already governed by robust state and local laws.

Housing providers are committed to compliance, and education remains the most effective tool to ensure both residents and providers understand and follow the law. Rather than creating a new enforcement unit, the County should prioritize education, outreach, and clear guidance so individuals understand their rights and responsibilities. Laws cannot be effectively enforced if the public is unaware they exist.

Key concerns include:

- Duplicates existing enforcement by the Attorney General, district attorneys, and housing agencies
- Increases regulatory uncertainty, discouraging housing investment
- Prioritizes litigation over education and proactive compliance

For these reasons, I respectfully request you oppose Item 19.

Sincerely,

terri schwartz

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] t on behalf of [Cammy Patridge](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Housing Provider Opposed to Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 10:40:10 AM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a housing provider in the County of San Diego, I respectfully urge you to oppose Item 19 establishing a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. While consumer protection is important, this proposal creates duplicative enforcement authority and expands litigation risk for a housing industry already governed by robust state and local laws.

Housing providers are committed to compliance, and education remains the most effective tool to ensure both residents and providers understand and follow the law. Rather than creating a new enforcement unit, the County should prioritize education, outreach, and clear guidance so individuals understand their rights and responsibilities. Laws cannot be effectively enforced if the public is unaware they exist.

Key concerns include:

- Duplicates existing enforcement by the Attorney General, district attorneys, and housing agencies
- Increases regulatory uncertainty, discouraging housing investment
- Prioritizes litigation over education and proactive compliance

For these reasons, I respectfully request you oppose Item 19.

Sincerely,

Cammy Patridge

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] t on behalf of [Kyle Collins](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Housing Provider Opposed to Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 10:20:09 AM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a housing provider in the County of San Diego, I respectfully urge you to oppose Item 19 establishing a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. While consumer protection is important, this proposal creates duplicative enforcement authority and expands litigation risk for a housing industry already governed by robust state and local laws.

Housing providers are committed to compliance, and education remains the most effective tool to ensure both residents and providers understand and follow the law. Rather than creating a new enforcement unit, the County should prioritize education, outreach, and clear guidance so individuals understand their rights and responsibilities. Laws cannot be effectively enforced if the public is unaware they exist.

Key concerns include:

- Duplicates existing enforcement by the Attorney General, district attorneys, and housing agencies
- Increases regulatory uncertainty, discouraging housing investment
- Prioritizes litigation over education and proactive compliance

For these reasons, I respectfully request you oppose Item 19.

Sincerely,

Kyle Collins

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] t on behalf of [Tanya Easton](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Housing Provider Opposed to Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 10:20:07 AM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a housing provider in the County of San Diego, I respectfully urge you to oppose Item 19 establishing a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. While consumer protection is important, this proposal creates duplicative enforcement authority and expands litigation risk for a housing industry already governed by robust state and local laws.

Housing providers are committed to compliance, and education remains the most effective tool to ensure both residents and providers understand and follow the law. Rather than creating a new enforcement unit, the County should prioritize education, outreach, and clear guidance so individuals understand their rights and responsibilities. Laws cannot be effectively enforced if the public is unaware they exist.

Key concerns include:

- Duplicates existing enforcement by the Attorney General, district attorneys, and housing agencies
- Increases regulatory uncertainty, discouraging housing investment
- Prioritizes litigation over education and proactive compliance

For these reasons, I respectfully request you oppose Item 19.

Sincerely,

Tanya Easton

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] t on behalf of [Robb Fleischer](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Housing Provider Opposed to Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 10:00:09 AM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a housing provider in the County of San Diego, I respectfully urge you to oppose Item 19 establishing a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. While consumer protection is important, this proposal creates duplicative enforcement authority and expands litigation risk for a housing industry already governed by robust state and local laws.

Housing providers are committed to compliance, and education remains the most effective tool to ensure both residents and providers understand and follow the law. Rather than creating a new enforcement unit, the County should prioritize education, outreach, and clear guidance so individuals understand their rights and responsibilities. Laws cannot be effectively enforced if the public is unaware they exist.

Key concerns include:

- Duplicates existing enforcement by the Attorney General, district attorneys, and housing agencies
- Increases regulatory uncertainty, discouraging housing investment
- Prioritizes litigation over education and proactive compliance

For these reasons, I respectfully request you oppose Item 19.

Sincerely,

Robb Fleischer

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] com

**From:** [REDACTED] t on behalf of [Uri Redlich](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Housing Provider Opposed to Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 9:40:18 AM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a housing provider in the County of San Diego, I respectfully urge you to oppose Item 19 establishing a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. While consumer protection is important, this proposal creates duplicative enforcement authority and expands litigation risk for a housing industry already governed by robust state and local laws.

Housing providers are committed to compliance, and education remains the most effective tool to ensure both residents and providers understand and follow the law. Rather than creating a new enforcement unit, the County should prioritize education, outreach, and clear guidance so individuals understand their rights and responsibilities. Laws cannot be effectively enforced if the public is unaware they exist.

Key concerns include:

- Duplicates existing enforcement by the Attorney General, district attorneys, and housing agencies
- Increases regulatory uncertainty, discouraging housing investment
- Prioritizes litigation over education and proactive compliance

For these reasons, I respectfully request you oppose Item 19.

Sincerely,

Uri Redlich

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] t on behalf of [William Cogan](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Housing Provider Opposed to Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 9:40:17 AM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a housing provider in the County of San Diego, I respectfully urge you to oppose Item 19 establishing a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. While consumer protection is important, this proposal creates duplicative enforcement authority and expands litigation risk for a housing industry already governed by robust state and local laws.

Housing providers are committed to compliance, and education remains the most effective tool to ensure both residents and providers understand and follow the law. Rather than creating a new enforcement unit, the County should prioritize education, outreach, and clear guidance so individuals understand their rights and responsibilities. Laws cannot be effectively enforced if the public is unaware they exist.

Key concerns include:

- Duplicates existing enforcement by the Attorney General, district attorneys, and housing agencies
- Increases regulatory uncertainty, discouraging housing investment
- Prioritizes litigation over education and proactive compliance

For these reasons, I respectfully request you oppose Item 19.

Sincerely,

William Cogan

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] t on behalf of [Tina Barnett](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Housing Provider Opposed to Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 9:30:12 AM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a housing provider in the County of San Diego, I respectfully urge you to oppose Item 19 establishing a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. While consumer protection is important, this proposal creates duplicative enforcement authority and expands litigation risk for a housing industry already governed by robust state and local laws.

Housing providers are committed to compliance, and education remains the most effective tool to ensure both residents and providers understand and follow the law. Rather than creating a new enforcement unit, the County should prioritize education, outreach, and clear guidance so individuals understand their rights and responsibilities. Laws cannot be effectively enforced if the public is unaware they exist.

Key concerns include:

- Duplicates existing enforcement by the Attorney General, district attorneys, and housing agencies
- Increases regulatory uncertainty, discouraging housing investment
- Prioritizes litigation over education and proactive compliance

For these reasons, I respectfully request you oppose Item 19.

Sincerely,

Tina Barnett

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] t on behalf of [Michael Cassolato](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Housing Provider Opposed to Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 9:30:12 AM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a housing provider in the County of San Diego, I respectfully urge you to oppose Item 19 establishing a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. While consumer protection is important, this proposal creates duplicative enforcement authority and expands litigation risk for a housing industry already governed by robust state and local laws.

Housing providers are committed to compliance, and education remains the most effective tool to ensure both residents and providers understand and follow the law. Rather than creating a new enforcement unit, the County should prioritize education, outreach, and clear guidance so individuals understand their rights and responsibilities. Laws cannot be effectively enforced if the public is unaware they exist.

Key concerns include:

- Duplicates existing enforcement by the Attorney General, district attorneys, and housing agencies
- Increases regulatory uncertainty, discouraging housing investment
- Prioritizes litigation over education and proactive compliance

For these reasons, I respectfully request you oppose Item 19.

Sincerely,

Michael Cassolato

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Sean Hall](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Housing Provider Opposed to Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 9:30:10 AM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a housing provider in the County of San Diego, I respectfully urge you to oppose Item 19 establishing a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. While consumer protection is important, this proposal creates duplicative enforcement authority and expands litigation risk for a housing industry already governed by robust state and local laws.

Housing providers are committed to compliance, and education remains the most effective tool to ensure both residents and providers understand and follow the law. Rather than creating a new enforcement unit, the County should prioritize education, outreach, and clear guidance so individuals understand their rights and responsibilities. Laws cannot be effectively enforced if the public is unaware they exist.

Key concerns include:

- Duplicates existing enforcement by the Attorney General, district attorneys, and housing agencies
- Increases regulatory uncertainty, discouraging housing investment
- Prioritizes litigation over education and proactive compliance

For these reasons, I respectfully request you oppose Item 19.

Sincerely,

Sean Hall  
[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] [et](#) on behalf of [Cody Evans](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Housing Provider Opposed to Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 9:20:20 AM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a housing provider in the County of San Diego, I respectfully urge you to oppose Item 19 establishing a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. While consumer protection is important, this proposal creates duplicative enforcement authority and expands litigation risk for a housing industry already governed by robust state and local laws.

Housing providers are committed to compliance, and education remains the most effective tool to ensure both residents and providers understand and follow the law. Rather than creating a new enforcement unit, the County should prioritize education, outreach, and clear guidance so individuals understand their rights and responsibilities. Laws cannot be effectively enforced if the public is unaware they exist.

Key concerns include:

- Duplicates existing enforcement by the Attorney General, district attorneys, and housing agencies
- Increases regulatory uncertainty, discouraging housing investment
- Prioritizes litigation over education and proactive compliance

For these reasons, I respectfully request you oppose Item 19.

Sincerely,

Cody Evans

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] behalf of [Alicia Gonzalez](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Housing Provider Opposed to Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 9:20:16 AM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a housing provider in the County of San Diego, I respectfully urge you to oppose Item 19 establishing a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. While consumer protection is important, this proposal creates duplicative enforcement authority and expands litigation risk for a housing industry already governed by robust state and local laws.

Housing providers are committed to compliance, and education remains the most effective tool to ensure both residents and providers understand and follow the law. Rather than creating a new enforcement unit, the County should prioritize education, outreach, and clear guidance so individuals understand their rights and responsibilities. Laws cannot be effectively enforced if the public is unaware they exist.

Key concerns include:

- Duplicates existing enforcement by the Attorney General, district attorneys, and housing agencies
- Increases regulatory uncertainty, discouraging housing investment
- Prioritizes litigation over education and proactive compliance

For these reasons, I respectfully request you oppose Item 19.

Sincerely,

Alicia Gonzalez

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] t on behalf of [Dario Barba](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Housing Provider Opposed to Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 9:20:16 AM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a housing provider in the County of San Diego, I respectfully urge you to oppose Item 19 establishing a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. While consumer protection is important, this proposal creates duplicative enforcement authority and expands litigation risk for a housing industry already governed by robust state and local laws.

Housing providers are committed to compliance, and education remains the most effective tool to ensure both residents and providers understand and follow the law. Rather than creating a new enforcement unit, the County should prioritize education, outreach, and clear guidance so individuals understand their rights and responsibilities. Laws cannot be effectively enforced if the public is unaware they exist.

Key concerns include:

- Duplicates existing enforcement by the Attorney General, district attorneys, and housing agencies
- Increases regulatory uncertainty, discouraging housing investment
- Prioritizes litigation over education and proactive compliance

For these reasons, I respectfully request you oppose Item 19.

Sincerely,

Dario Barba

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Lauren Davila](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Housing Provider Opposed to Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 9:20:13 AM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a housing provider in the County of San Diego, I respectfully urge you to oppose Item 19 establishing a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. While consumer protection is important, this proposal creates duplicative enforcement authority and expands litigation risk for a housing industry already governed by robust state and local laws.

Housing providers are committed to compliance, and education remains the most effective tool to ensure both residents and providers understand and follow the law. Rather than creating a new enforcement unit, the County should prioritize education, outreach, and clear guidance so individuals understand their rights and responsibilities. Laws cannot be effectively enforced if the public is unaware they exist.

Key concerns include:

- Duplicates existing enforcement by the Attorney General, district attorneys, and housing agencies
- Increases regulatory uncertainty, discouraging housing investment
- Prioritizes litigation over education and proactive compliance

For these reasons, I respectfully request you oppose Item 19.

Sincerely,

Lauren Davila

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] t on behalf of [Anthony Cassolato](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Housing Provider Opposed to Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 9:20:10 AM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a housing provider in the County of San Diego, I respectfully urge you to oppose Item 19 establishing a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. While consumer protection is important, this proposal creates duplicative enforcement authority and expands litigation risk for a housing industry already governed by robust state and local laws.

Housing providers are committed to compliance, and education remains the most effective tool to ensure both residents and providers understand and follow the law. Rather than creating a new enforcement unit, the County should prioritize education, outreach, and clear guidance so individuals understand their rights and responsibilities. Laws cannot be effectively enforced if the public is unaware they exist.

Key concerns include:

- Duplicates existing enforcement by the Attorney General, district attorneys, and housing agencies
- Increases regulatory uncertainty, discouraging housing investment
- Prioritizes litigation over education and proactive compliance

For these reasons, I respectfully request you oppose Item 19.

Sincerely,

Anthony Cassolato

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] t on behalf of [Barbara Lafaver](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Housing Provider Opposed to Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 11:30:15 AM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a housing provider in the County of San Diego, I respectfully urge you to oppose Item 19 establishing a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. While consumer protection is important, this proposal creates duplicative enforcement authority and expands litigation risk for a housing industry already governed by robust state and local laws.

Housing providers are committed to compliance, and education remains the most effective tool to ensure both residents and providers understand and follow the law. Rather than creating a new enforcement unit, the County should prioritize education, outreach, and clear guidance so individuals understand their rights and responsibilities. Laws cannot be effectively enforced if the public is unaware they exist.

Key concerns include:

- Duplicates existing enforcement by the Attorney General, district attorneys, and housing agencies
- Increases regulatory uncertainty, discouraging housing investment
- Prioritizes litigation over education and proactive compliance

For these reasons, I respectfully request you oppose Item 19.

Sincerely,

Barbara Lafaver

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] behalf of [William Darnall](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Housing Provider Opposed to Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 11:30:15 AM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a housing provider in the County of San Diego, I respectfully urge you to oppose Item 19 establishing a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. While consumer protection is important, this proposal creates duplicative enforcement authority and expands litigation risk for a housing industry already governed by robust state and local laws.

Housing providers are committed to compliance, and education remains the most effective tool to ensure both residents and providers understand and follow the law. Rather than creating a new enforcement unit, the County should prioritize education, outreach, and clear guidance so individuals understand their rights and responsibilities. Laws cannot be effectively enforced if the public is unaware they exist.

Key concerns include:

- Duplicates existing enforcement by the Attorney General, district attorneys, and housing agencies
- Increases regulatory uncertainty, discouraging housing investment
- Prioritizes litigation over education and proactive compliance

For these reasons, I respectfully request you oppose Item 19.

Sincerely,

William Darnall

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] t

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [RL Masters](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Housing Provider Opposed to Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 11:40:08 AM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a housing provider in the County of San Diego, I respectfully urge you to oppose Item 19 establishing a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. While consumer protection is important, this proposal creates duplicative enforcement authority and expands litigation risk for a housing industry already governed by robust state and local laws.

Housing providers are committed to compliance, and education remains the most effective tool to ensure both residents and providers understand and follow the law. Rather than creating a new enforcement unit, the County should prioritize education, outreach, and clear guidance so individuals understand their rights and responsibilities. Laws cannot be effectively enforced if the public is unaware they exist.

Key concerns include:

- Duplicates existing enforcement by the Attorney General, district attorneys, and housing agencies
- Increases regulatory uncertainty, discouraging housing investment
- Prioritizes litigation over education and proactive compliance

For these reasons, I respectfully request you oppose Item 19.

Sincerely,

RL Masters

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] t on behalf of [Toni Leadingham](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Housing Provider Opposed to Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 11:40:09 AM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a housing provider in the County of San Diego, I respectfully urge you to oppose Item 19 establishing a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. While consumer protection is important, this proposal creates duplicative enforcement authority and expands litigation risk for a housing industry already governed by robust state and local laws.

Housing providers are committed to compliance, and education remains the most effective tool to ensure both residents and providers understand and follow the law. Rather than creating a new enforcement unit, the County should prioritize education, outreach, and clear guidance so individuals understand their rights and responsibilities. Laws cannot be effectively enforced if the public is unaware they exist.


Key concerns include:

- Duplicates existing enforcement by the Attorney General, district attorneys, and housing agencies
- Increases regulatory uncertainty, discouraging housing investment
- Prioritizes litigation over education and proactive compliance

For these reasons, I respectfully request you oppose Item 19.

Sincerely,

Toni Leadingham



**From:** [REDACTED] t on behalf of [Matthew Tollestrup](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Housing Provider Opposed to Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 12:00:13 PM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a housing provider in the County of San Diego, I respectfully urge you to oppose Item 19 establishing a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. While consumer protection is important, this proposal creates duplicative enforcement authority and expands litigation risk for a housing industry already governed by robust state and local laws.

Housing providers are committed to compliance, and education remains the most effective tool to ensure both residents and providers understand and follow the law. Rather than creating a new enforcement unit, the County should prioritize education, outreach, and clear guidance so individuals understand their rights and responsibilities. Laws cannot be effectively enforced if the public is unaware they exist.

Key concerns include:

- Duplicates existing enforcement by the Attorney General, district attorneys, and housing agencies
- Increases regulatory uncertainty, discouraging housing investment
- Prioritizes litigation over education and proactive compliance

For these reasons, I respectfully request you oppose Item 19.

Sincerely,

Matthew Tollestrup

[REDACTED]

**From:** bc [REDACTED] on behalf of [Jeff Krueger](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Housing Provider Opposed to Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 12:30:09 PM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a housing provider in the County of San Diego, I respectfully urge you to oppose Item 19 establishing a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. While consumer protection is important, this proposal creates duplicative enforcement authority and expands litigation risk for a housing industry already governed by robust state and local laws.

Housing providers are committed to compliance, and education remains the most effective tool to ensure both residents and providers understand and follow the law. Rather than creating a new enforcement unit, the County should prioritize education, outreach, and clear guidance so individuals understand their rights and responsibilities. Laws cannot be effectively enforced if the public is unaware they exist.

Key concerns include:

- Duplicates existing enforcement by the Attorney General, district attorneys, and housing agencies
- Increases regulatory uncertainty, discouraging housing investment
- Prioritizes litigation over education and proactive compliance

For these reasons, I respectfully request you oppose Item 19.

Sincerely,

Jeff Krueger

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Joseph Oliver](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Housing Provider Opposed to Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 12:30:13 PM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a housing provider in the County of San Diego, I respectfully urge you to oppose Item 19 establishing a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. While consumer protection is important, this proposal creates duplicative enforcement authority and expands litigation risk for a housing industry already governed by robust state and local laws.

Housing providers are committed to compliance, and education remains the most effective tool to ensure both residents and providers understand and follow the law. Rather than creating a new enforcement unit, the County should prioritize education, outreach, and clear guidance so individuals understand their rights and responsibilities. Laws cannot be effectively enforced if the public is unaware they exist.

Key concerns include:

- Duplicates existing enforcement by the Attorney General, district attorneys, and housing agencies
- Increases regulatory uncertainty, discouraging housing investment
- Prioritizes litigation over education and proactive compliance

For these reasons, I respectfully request you oppose Item 19.

Sincerely,

Joseph Oliver

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Douglas Wellington](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Housing Provider Opposed to Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 12:40:12 PM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a housing provider in the County of San Diego, I respectfully urge you to oppose Item 19 establishing a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. While consumer protection is important, this proposal creates duplicative enforcement authority and expands litigation risk for a housing industry already governed by robust state and local laws.

Housing providers are committed to compliance, and education remains the most effective tool to ensure both residents and providers understand and follow the law. Rather than creating a new enforcement unit, the County should prioritize education, outreach, and clear guidance so individuals understand their rights and responsibilities. Laws cannot be effectively enforced if the public is unaware they exist.

Key concerns include:

- Duplicates existing enforcement by the Attorney General, district attorneys, and housing agencies
- Increases regulatory uncertainty, discouraging housing investment
- Prioritizes litigation over education and proactive compliance

For these reasons, I respectfully request you oppose Item 19.

Sincerely,

Douglas Wellington

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [kenneth Herceg](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Housing Provider Opposed to Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 12:50:07 PM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a housing provider in the County of San Diego, I respectfully urge you to oppose Item 19 establishing a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. While consumer protection is important, this proposal creates duplicative enforcement authority and expands litigation risk for a housing industry already governed by robust state and local laws.

Housing providers are committed to compliance, and education remains the most effective tool to ensure both residents and providers understand and follow the law. Rather than creating a new enforcement unit, the County should prioritize education, outreach, and clear guidance so individuals understand their rights and responsibilities. Laws cannot be effectively enforced if the public is unaware they exist.

Key concerns include:

- Duplicates existing enforcement by the Attorney General, district attorneys, and housing agencies
- Increases regulatory uncertainty, discouraging housing investment
- Prioritizes litigation over education and proactive compliance

For these reasons, I respectfully request you oppose Item 19.

Sincerely,

kenneth Herceg

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] behalf of [Michael Taylor](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Housing Provider Opposed to Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 1:40:09 PM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a housing provider in the County of San Diego, I respectfully urge you to oppose Item 19 establishing a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. While consumer protection is important, this proposal creates duplicative enforcement authority and expands litigation risk for a housing industry already governed by robust state and local laws.

Housing providers are committed to compliance, and education remains the most effective tool to ensure both residents and providers understand and follow the law. Rather than creating a new enforcement unit, the County should prioritize education, outreach, and clear guidance so individuals understand their rights and responsibilities. Laws cannot be effectively enforced if the public is unaware they exist.

Key concerns include:

- Duplicates existing enforcement by the Attorney General, district attorneys, and housing agencies
- Increases regulatory uncertainty, discouraging housing investment
- Prioritizes litigation over education and proactive compliance

For these reasons, I respectfully request you oppose Item 19.

Sincerely,

Michael Taylor  
[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] t on behalf of [JAMES SCANLON](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Housing Provider Opposed to Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 2:30:13 PM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a housing provider in the County of San Diego, I respectfully urge you to oppose Item 19 establishing a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. While consumer protection is important, this proposal creates duplicative enforcement authority and expands litigation risk for a housing industry already governed by robust state and local laws.

Housing providers are committed to compliance, and education remains the most effective tool to ensure both residents and providers understand and follow the law. Rather than creating a new enforcement unit, the County should prioritize education, outreach, and clear guidance so individuals understand their rights and responsibilities. Laws cannot be effectively enforced if the public is unaware they exist.

Key concerns include:

- Duplicates existing enforcement by the Attorney General, district attorneys, and housing agencies
- Increases regulatory uncertainty, discouraging housing investment
- Prioritizes litigation over education and proactive compliance

For these reasons, I respectfully request you oppose Item 19.

Sincerely,

JAMES SCANLON

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] t on behalf of [Tracey Hill](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Housing Provider Opposed to Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 2:20:09 PM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a housing provider in the County of San Diego, I respectfully urge you to oppose Item 19 establishing a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. While consumer protection is important, this proposal creates duplicative enforcement authority and expands litigation risk for a housing industry already governed by robust state and local laws.

Housing providers are committed to compliance, and education remains the most effective tool to ensure both residents and providers understand and follow the law. Rather than creating a new enforcement unit, the County should prioritize education, outreach, and clear guidance so individuals understand their rights and responsibilities. Laws cannot be effectively enforced if the public is unaware they exist.

Key concerns include:

- Duplicates existing enforcement by the Attorney General, district attorneys, and housing agencies
- Increases regulatory uncertainty, discouraging housing investment
- Prioritizes litigation over education and proactive compliance

For these reasons, I respectfully request you oppose Item 19.

Sincerely,

Tracey Hill

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] t on behalf of [Blake Peterson](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Housing Provider Opposed to Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 2:00:09 PM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a housing provider in the County of San Diego, I respectfully urge you to oppose Item 19 establishing a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. While consumer protection is important, this proposal creates duplicative enforcement authority and expands litigation risk for a housing industry already governed by robust state and local laws.

Housing providers are committed to compliance, and education remains the most effective tool to ensure both residents and providers understand and follow the law. Rather than creating a new enforcement unit, the County should prioritize education, outreach, and clear guidance so individuals understand their rights and responsibilities. Laws cannot be effectively enforced if the public is unaware they exist.

Key concerns include:

- Duplicates existing enforcement by the Attorney General, district attorneys, and housing agencies
- Increases regulatory uncertainty, discouraging housing investment
- Prioritizes litigation over education and proactive compliance

For these reasons, I respectfully request you oppose Item 19.

Sincerely,

Blake Peterson

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] t on behalf of [Fiona Ng](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Housing Provider Opposed to Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 2:40:13 PM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a housing provider in the County of San Diego, I respectfully urge you to oppose Item 19 establishing a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. While consumer protection is important, this proposal creates duplicative enforcement authority and expands litigation risk for a housing industry already governed by robust state and local laws.

Housing providers are committed to compliance, and education remains the most effective tool to ensure both residents and providers understand and follow the law. Rather than creating a new enforcement unit, the County should prioritize education, outreach, and clear guidance so individuals understand their rights and responsibilities. Laws cannot be effectively enforced if the public is unaware they exist.

Key concerns include:

- Duplicates existing enforcement by the Attorney General, district attorneys, and housing agencies
- Increases regulatory uncertainty, discouraging housing investment
- Prioritizes litigation over education and proactive compliance

For these reasons, I respectfully request you oppose Item 19.

Sincerely,

Fiona Ng  
[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] [et](#) on behalf of [Jose Moraga](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Housing Provider Opposed to Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 2:50:08 PM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a housing provider in the County of San Diego, I respectfully urge you to oppose Item 19 establishing a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. While consumer protection is important, this proposal creates duplicative enforcement authority and expands litigation risk for a housing industry already governed by robust state and local laws.

Housing providers are committed to compliance, and education remains the most effective tool to ensure both residents and providers understand and follow the law. Rather than creating a new enforcement unit, the County should prioritize education, outreach, and clear guidance so individuals understand their rights and responsibilities. Laws cannot be effectively enforced if the public is unaware they exist.

Key concerns include:

- Duplicates existing enforcement by the Attorney General, district attorneys, and housing agencies
- Increases regulatory uncertainty, discouraging housing investment
- Prioritizes litigation over education and proactive compliance

For these reasons, I respectfully request you oppose Item 19.

Sincerely,

Jose Moraga

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Jeanie Eklund](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Housing Provider Opposed to Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 3:40:08 PM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a housing provider in the County of San Diego, I respectfully urge you to oppose Item 19 establishing a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. While consumer protection is important, this proposal creates duplicative enforcement authority and expands litigation risk for a housing industry already governed by robust state and local laws.

Housing providers are committed to compliance, and education remains the most effective tool to ensure both residents and providers understand and follow the law. Rather than creating a new enforcement unit, the County should prioritize education, outreach, and clear guidance so individuals understand their rights and responsibilities. Laws cannot be effectively enforced if the public is unaware they exist.

Key concerns include:

- Duplicates existing enforcement by the Attorney General, district attorneys, and housing agencies
- Increases regulatory uncertainty, discouraging housing investment
- Prioritizes litigation over education and proactive compliance

For these reasons, I respectfully request you oppose Item 19.

Sincerely,

Jeanie Eklund

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] t on behalf of [Kari Thomas](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Housing Provider Opposed to Item 19  
**Date:** Friday, March 20, 2026 3:30:10 PM

---

Dear Chair Pro Tem Aguirre,

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a housing provider in the County of San Diego, I respectfully urge you to oppose Item 19 establishing a Consumer Fairness & Public Protection (CFPP) Unit. While consumer protection is important, this proposal creates duplicative enforcement authority and expands litigation risk for a housing industry already governed by robust state and local laws.

Housing providers are committed to compliance, and education remains the most effective tool to ensure both residents and providers understand and follow the law. Rather than creating a new enforcement unit, the County should prioritize education, outreach, and clear guidance so individuals understand their rights and responsibilities. Laws cannot be effectively enforced if the public is unaware they exist.

Key concerns include:

- Duplicates existing enforcement by the Attorney General, district attorneys, and housing agencies
- Increases regulatory uncertainty, discouraging housing investment
- Prioritizes litigation over education and proactive compliance

For these reasons, I respectfully request you oppose Item 19.

Sincerely,

Kari Thomas

[REDACTED]