

From: [REDACTED]
To: FGG, Public Comment; Desmond, Jim; Supervisor Joel Anderson District 2; MontgomerySteppe, Monica; BOS, District1Community; Lawson-Remer, Terra
Subject: [External] UPDATE ON DETENTION FACILITIES INFRASTRUCTURE
Date: Tuesday, December 9, 2025 3:12:05 PM

Sorry, All,

I cut myself off, not your equipment. Here's my whole talk:

No attached report? It would be nice to see what the needs are. Security reasons – another laugh – you already blew it with the broken door locks.

SHERIFF always asks for sole-source contracts, like the one today for what is billed as STANDARD RADIO EQUIPMENT. Standard equipment? **Basically, this does not encourage use of the latest technology. No wonder there is so much outdated tech.**

I am sorry to hear that the Vista facility's age of 47 years (not the 50 in the Board letter) warrants outright replacement. Expensive. No alternative to outright replacement was presented. Is the expense because of sole-source contracts? And How old is the County Admin. Building? What in fact is wrong with rehab.?

And the South Bay Facility is 43 years old. Why doesn't it need replacement?

I'm glad to hear that Body-worn cameras are now in the detention facilities. Hope they are all turned on too.

I am pleased to hear of a significant drop in overdoses and decreases in suicide deaths. Also re-entry efforts which seek to integrate releasees into society. I would like to see the numbers – have these paid off?

And as Jim said, we need to emphasize psychological treatment for potential jailees before they go to jail.

Regards,

Paul Henkin