

From: [Barry Treahy](#)
To: christopher.jaobs@sdcounty.ca.gov; [Anderson, Joel](#); [FGG, Public Comment](#)
Subject: [External] Re: View this page!
Date: Monday, September 8, 2025 6:51:58 AM

On Fri, Aug 29, 2025 at 3:29 PM Barry Treahy <[REDACTED]> wrote:
Public hearing Appeal, Sept. 10, 2025 09:00am re: Cottonwood Cajon ES, LLC

The documents I received for the "Notice of Public Hearing" are flawed and I did not get what I wanted or needed from them.

The Public Participation on that flyer indicated "in-person or via teleconference" and referenced going to a website on page 2 which did not provide useful information for me to advance my issue. I further cannot attend or do teleconference to participate.

Under "assistance" for reasons, I am 86 and drafting this letter is quite sufficient an effort to assert my community presence without attending.

Sand mining in the San Diego River is historically dated and what is left of untouched riverbed lands, should be left alone. The only 'critical need' that the miner references is his opinion regarding his business, that is an empty explanation, just as the rest of the reasoning used to try to justify what he wants. That is why this already was voted down.

San Diego does not have a critical need for sand, what is left should be left where it is.

Barry A. Treahy
[REDACTED]