

Board of Supervisors

Meeting Time: 08-26-25 09:00


eComments Report

Meetings	Meeting Time	Agenda Items	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
Board of Supervisors	08-26-25 09:00	41	2	0	1	0

Sentiments for All Meetings

The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

Overall Sentiment




Agenda Name	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
29. SECOND CONSIDERATION AND ADOPTION OF ORDINANCE: APPROVE AMENDMENTS TO AND SUNSET OF BOARD POLICIES RELATED TO ECONOMIC PROSPERITY AND PROCUREMENT AND ADOPT AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ARTICLE XXIII OF THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY CODE OF ADMINISTRATIVE ORDINANCES RELATING TO COUNTY CONTRACTING, SECOND READING	2	0	1	0

Sentiments for All Agenda Items


The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

Overall Sentiment



Agenda Item: eComments for 29. SECOND CONSIDERATION AND ADOPTION OF ORDINANCE:
APPROVE AMENDMENTS TO AND SUNSET OF BOARD POLICIES RELATED TO ECONOMIC PROSPERITY AND
PROCUREMENT AND ADOPT AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ARTICLE XXIII OF THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY CODE OF
ADMINISTRATIVE ORDINANCES RELATING TO COUNTY CONTRACTING, SECOND READING

Overall Sentiment



Lisa Garcia

Location:

Submitted At: 1:53pm 08-22-25

Monica Montgomery Steppe & Nora Vargas, I get cleaning house and updating policies — that makes sense. But let's not toss out equity with the old rules. County contracts shouldn't just go to the usual big players while small, women-owned, and minority-owned businesses get boxed out. If this update really opens the door wider, I'm for it. If it just shifts power around without fixing access, then it's the same old story in a new binder.

Paul Henkin

Location: 91902, Bonita

Submitted At: 4:32pm 08-21-25

There are too many objectionable things in this ordinance. It could lead to too much fraud, waste, or abuse. With an unresolved reserve deficit and budgetary funding shortfalls or even claw-backs expected, it is not a good time to spend an estimated \$1.3 million on expanding Board policy A-53.

The 25% increase the Director of Purchasing & Contracting can make without your permission under Attachment B, San Diego County Code section 401 (c) is too much. There needs to be a dollar limit as well as the percentage.

And there are way too many exceptions from competitive procurement (utility, educational, standard off-the-shelf software, operating and maintenance for software, medical equipment, news and subscriptions, goods and services just for the CAO and Board (sounds fraudulent)) in Policy A-87, attachment G, procedure 2 A on page 5.

You need to reject this.