

Meeting Date: March 24, 2026
Agenda Item No. 10
Distribution Date: March 18, 2026
Batch No. 01

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Lawson-Remer, Terra](#); [MontgomerySteppe, Monica](#); [BOS, District1Community](#); [Supervisor Joel Anderson District 2](#); [Desmond, Jim](#)
Cc: [FGG, Public Comment](#)
Subject: [External] 2025 HOUSING ELEMENT PROGRESS REPORT (Please include with documents for Land Use Agenda item 10.)
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 3:13:56 PM

Good Afternoon, Supervisors,

This seems to be a redo of the report presented March 4.

As far as I can tell, the housing agency does not support the building of cheaper housing than conventional, which is quicker to build - 3D printed, tiny homes, shipping crate homes, maybe adobe homes, as we keep telling you. These would not only be cheaper but also keep up with the market for new homes better instead of houses that might not be built for years and then it would be less likely to end up with an oversupply of homes.

There also needs to be more than 5 or 10 percent of truly low income housing (30% AMI per HUD.) And this is what needs to be guaranteed, not below 50% or up, where the developer can pick and choose whom to let in. Hasn't happened yet.

The chart at page A-25 in the attachment supports this view, with no homes permitted and completed for acutely low income persons and only 21 for extremely low. HA needs to do better if it wants to solve the affordability/homeless crisis. But maybe it cares more about profit than people.

Apparently, the housing agency is in thrall to big developers like Blackstone who have more interest in profit than people.

The number of house-poor or homeless people in this County is ridiculous. The Housing Agency is part of this. So do not approve of its plan or should I say scheme.

Here's something funny: The agency favors "coordination and outreach with developers, buildings, and property owners" (page A-28.) Buildings, but looks like the people in them don't count.

Regards,

Paul Henkin