

Board of Supervisors

Meeting Time: 06-09-26 09:00


eComments Report

Meetings	Meeting Time	Agenda Items	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
Board of Supervisors	06-09-26 09:00	33	2	0	2	0

Sentiments for All Meetings

The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

Overall Sentiment




Agenda Name	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
15. ADMINISTRATIVE ITEM: SECOND CONSIDERATION AND ADOPTION OF AN ORDINANCE: ORDINANCE AMENDING THE COMPENSATION ORDINANCE AND ESTABLISHING COMPENSATION RELATED TO TENTATIVE AGREEMENT PENDING RATIFICATION FOR THE EMPLOYEE BARGAINING UNITS - DI AND DM REPRESENTED BY DISTRICT ATTORNEY INVESTIGATORS' ASSOCIATION (5/19/2026- First Reading; 6/9/2026- Second Reading, unless the ordinance is modified on second reading)	2	0	2	0

Sentiments for All Agenda Items


The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

Overall Sentiment



Agenda Item: eComments for 15. ADMINISTRATIVE ITEM:
SECOND CONSIDERATION AND ADOPTION OF AN ORDINANCE:
ORDINANCE AMENDING THE COMPENSATION ORDINANCE AND ESTABLISHING COMPENSATION RELATED TO TENTATIVE
AGREEMENT PENDING RATIFICATION FOR THE EMPLOYEE BARGAINING UNITS - DI AND DM REPRESENTED BY DISTRICT
ATTORNEY INVESTIGATORS' ASSOCIATION (5/19/2026- First Reading; 6/9/2026- Second Reading, unless the ordinance is
modified on second reading)

Overall Sentiment



F L

Location:
Submitted At: 3:02pm 06-08-26

oppose

Paul Henkin

Location: 91902, Bonita
Submitted At: 11:44am 06-03-26

For the DA's investigators, 3% regular increase plus 2% market adjustment looks reasonable.

I disapprove of a bonus just for workers in Environmental Prosecution Unit (section 1,7,47). It is discriminatory in nature. And let's have no unexplained lump sum payments (sec. 2.1.7.)

On the other hand, I think that premiums for bilingual and educated investigators (section 1.9.2 and 1.12.7) is good.

This proposed Ordinance needs to be rewritten.