

Board of Supervisors

Meeting Time: 11-18-25 09:00


eComments Report

Meetings	Meeting Time	Agenda Items	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
Board of Supervisors	11-18-25 09:00	38	2	0	1	1

Sentiments for All Meetings

The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

Overall Sentiment




Board of Supervisors
11-18-25 09:00

Agenda Name	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
14. SECOND CONSIDERATION AND ADOPTION OF ORDINANCES: SUNSET REVIEW OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS POLICIES AND COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE ARTICLES ASSIGNED TO THE PUBLIC SAFETY GROUP AND RESOLUTION TO CLOSE THE COURTHOUSE CONSTRUCTION FUND (11/4/25 - FIRST READING; 11/18/25 - SECOND READING, UNLESS THE ORDINANCES ARE MODIFIED ON SECOND READING)	2	0	1	1

Sentiments for All Agenda Items

The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.


Overall Sentiment



Agenda Item: eComments for 14. SECOND CONSIDERATION AND ADOPTION OF ORDINANCES:
SUNSET REVIEW OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS POLICIES AND COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE ARTICLES ASSIGNED TO
THE PUBLIC SAFETY GROUP AND RESOLUTION TO CLOSE THE COURTHOUSE CONSTRUCTION FUND (11/4/25 - FIRST
READING; 11/18/25 - SECOND READING, UNLESS THE ORDINANCES ARE MODIFIED ON SECOND READING)

Overall Sentiment

Support (0%) Oppose (50%) Neutral (50%)
No Response (0%)



Kurt Worden

Location: 919507748, Lincoln Acres
Submitted At: 3:05pm 11-13-25

As Board President of the Lower Sweetwater Fire Protection District, I respectfully submit the following comments on two agenda items that directly affect fire districts and public safety in unincorporated communities:

- Ordinance changes must preserve the statutory responsibilities and operational effectiveness of fire protection districts.
- Please ensure fire districts are explicitly considered in the review process, particularly regarding emergency planning, evacuation coordination, and resource allocation.

Paul Henkin

Location: 91902, Bonita
Submitted At: 1:17pm 11-13-25

This is essentially bribery in the Sheriff Department. Vote no.

The ordinance, Section 66.1 and .4 would allow the Sheriff to get money or assets seized by Fed agencies (ICE, BPS, FBI, etc.) and then says that is essentially a bribe to ensure co-operation with them. It specifies that "The Program's purpose shall be to provide an added incentive to the Sheriff's Office to join with Federal, State and other local law enforcement agencies to stem the rising tide of crime..." And then, the audit is yearly, so we might not find out about improper use for a year or more. That is, they could arrest someone and sell off their heirlooms almost with impunity. The amendments for recommendation 1 part 2 should be attached. This is a public proceeding. Using language like 'such as...' or descriptions rather than specifics are not acceptable.

Closing down the courthouse construction fund completely is a bad idea. The inside is shabby. There will always be a need.