

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Desmond, Jim](#); [Anderson, Joel](#); [MontgomerySteppe, Monica](#); [Lawson-Remer, Terra](#)  
**Cc:** [FGG, Public Comment](#); [San Diego UT Senior Editor](#); [San Diego UT Community Op Ed](#); [Times of San Diego](#); [Voice of San Diego](#); [SD, Grand Jury](#)  
**Subject:** [External] RESPONSE TO 2024-2025 GRAND JURY REPORTS (PLEASE INCLUDE WITH DOCUMENTS FOR AGENDA #2)  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 8:46:27 AM

---

Good Morning, Supervisors,

It is instructive to read the report, which indicates (P. 11) how to answer a recommendation, mainly whether or not it has been implemented or requires further analysis, and if not, an explanation. In particular, a recommendation requires detail on how it will be implemented and a time frame for implementing it not to exceed 6 months.

Recommendation 1 lacks a timeline. I believe the process should be that County Fire would go to each separate district and ask them whether they will consolidate, and report back. Some districts may be unaware of the procedure for consolidating although it would be helpful to the County as a whole. It should be explored actively, not could be.

Recommendation 2 says “every 3 years, the San Diego County Consolidated Fire Code is updated. However, the recommendation is “Lead an effort with current FPDs to standardize defensible space requirements and controlled burn permitting,” which is pretty clear that this should not wait for a regular Code update.

The answer to recommendation 3 looks good. And the findings are all handled properly.

Please revise recommendations 1 and 2.

Regards,

Paul Henkin