

Meeting Date: January 28, 2026  
Agenda Item No.: 05  
Distribution Date: January 27, 2026  
Batch No.: 02

**From:** [Holden, Sabrina](#)  
**To:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** FW: [External] Opposition to Agenda Item #5 – Unfair Allocation of January 2024 Storm Funds  
**Date:** Monday, January 26, 2026 3:37:57 PM

---

**From:** Peter LoCoco <peter.lococo@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 26, 2026 3:35 PM  
**To:** MontgomerySteppe, Monica <Monica.MontgomerySteppe@sdcounty.ca.gov>  
**Cc:** Lynch, Dahvia <Dahvia.Lynch@sdcounty.ca.gov>; COB, Records <COBRecords@sdcounty.ca.gov>  
**Subject:** [External] Opposition to Agenda Item #5 – Unfair Allocation of January 2024 Storm Funds

Dear Dr. Montgomery-Steppe,

I am a Spring Valley resident writing to formally oppose the proposed funding allocations under Agenda Item #5 scheduled for January 28, 2026.

The County's grant application relies heavily on the documented flooding impacts suffered by the Spring Valley community during the January 2024 storms. However, the proposed allocation directs the majority of the \$15.9 million award to projects in the Tijuana River Valley and surrounding areas, leaving little funding for Spring Valley flood mitigation.

This allocation is neither transparent nor equitable. Spring Valley flooding is a long-standing local infrastructure issue that remains unresolved, while border-area flooding is largely a federal responsibility.

I respectfully request that the Board reject the current allocation and require a fair redistribution of funds. At minimum, funding should be divided evenly between Spring Valley projects and non-Spring Valley projects, consistent with how the grant justification was presented.

Please ensure transparency and fairness for the Spring Valley community. Thank you so much for hearing my concerns and for your time in this matter.

Sincerely,  
Peter N. LoCoco

[Redacted signature block]