From:	henkinp@earthlink.net
To:	Desmond, Jim; Supervisor Joel Anderson District 2; MontgomerySteppe, Monica; BOS, District1Community; Lawson-Remer, Terra
Cc:	FGG, Public Comment
Subject:	[External] COUNTY ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE (AI) POLICY FRAMEWORK (Please include with documents for agenda #17)
Date:	Thursday, September 25, 2025 3:53:26 PM

Good Afternoon, Supervisors,

AI can be great for pinpointing facts (which are usually for real) but with all said and done, has no conscience, and can and has been manipulated to disastrous effect, and needs to be tested thoroughly BEFORE being implemented.

AI had its first public and practical use in a chess game by Leonardo Quevedo from Spain shown at a 1914 Paris expo, not 1950 Alan Turing as we'd like to think. AI uses old, very old, algorithms.

AI Safeguards – What a joke – AI STILL has no conscience, and the underlying algorithms are riddled with errors. It pretends to have a human face, but do you really want one error at the risk of it messing up the lives of people who are already suffering and could be made worse if the AI info is wrong? Would you like the AG at your throats because the AI you chose threw an innocent person in jail or someone died because of a mistaken denial of care?

From Psychology Today: Human complacency fuels AI deception. Apollo Research showed GPT-4 executing an illegal insider-trading plan and then lying to investigators about it. AI company Anthropic, along with Redwood Research, recently demonstrated that advanced AI models can produce apparently reliable answers while secretly planning to do the opposite once oversight weakens. And the busier the decision context (like a County office,) the more tempting it is to click accept and move on.

From ABC news: AI phone scam calls can now uses clips of your voice as short as 3 seconds to create convincing fakes for robocalls and impostor scams The exmple they give is that "Tech experts created an AI generated voice of our own ABC7 Reporter Jason Knowles, asking, "Can you send me $500? It's Jason, I've been in a

car accident here on my vacation in Mexico. I'm okay for the most part, but I am at some hospital and they say I need to pay money for x-rays and treatment. My credit card isn't working and I don't have enough cash." But he never actually said those words."

Apple introduced its generative-AI tool in the US in June, boasting about the feature's ability to summarize specific content. It erroneously summarized a New York Times story, claiming that Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu had been arrested. In fact, this was when the International Criminal Court issued a warrant, bu there was no arrest. It also falsely summarized a BBC report that Luigi Mangione, the suspect behind the killing of the UnitedHealthcare chief executive, had shot himself.

So AI lies too. Do you really want to promote this sort of thing? Should the County aid these schemes as a side effect of AI when there are more reliable but slower non-AI algorithms?

Deception is a social art as much as a technical feat. AI systems master it by predicting which stories we are willing to believe.

Two people died after being denied coverage by UnitedHealth. The plaintiffs argue that the health insurance company should have known how inaccurate its AI was, and that the provider breached its contract by using it.

Their grievances are corroborated by an investigation from Stat News into UnitedHealth's internal practices at its subsidiary NaviHealth, which found that the company forced employees to unwaveringly adhere to the AI algorithm's questionable projections on how long patients could stay in extended care.

The latest is that it arrested schoolkids for crude jokes. Misidentification is routine. AI is well known for deepfakes. I have seen the same woman changed by AI from white to Asian to Black.

I myself asked the AI q/a tool my HOA's parking company uses a simple question, although not in the preset list they provide, and got a totally bogus nonanswer.

And I see ads for deepfake generators on Google.

Do we really need AI playing havoc with our lives at the National Healthcare level. Level of liability? Especially since you just reduced the actual reserves.

Do we really need this playing havoc with our lives at the National Healthcare level. Level of liability? Especially since you just reduced the actual reserves.

How much reliance do we want to put in a system that is not only flawed but can do serious societal harm without so much as the conscience to fix itself?

Who will control it when it starts lying, murdering, or cheating?

What you want is something to deter the bad actors as well as the incorrect coding, logical errors, or similar.

It is good to have a policy, but this is an interstate issue. I know there are guidelines and laws in place already which may, by the way, negate anything the County does. You should examine these laws or guidelines before instituting your own, given that you seem to have no idea what financial burden this might impose. And I think that the pest and safest policy is not to use it.

You can get the same results, slower but a lot safer, by using the old algorithms. That 1914 chess game never hurt anyone.

Regards,

Paul Henkin