

# Board of Supervisors

Meeting Time: 10-22-25 09:00


## eComments Report

Meetings	Meeting Time	Agenda Items	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
Board of Supervisors	10-22-25 09:00	18	1	0	1	0

### Sentiments for All Meetings

The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

#### Overall Sentiment



# Board of Supervisors


10-22-25 09:00

Agenda Name	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
3. AUTHORIZE APPROVAL OF SAN DIEGO INTEGRATED REGIONAL WATER MANAGEMENT GRANT PROGRAM AGREEMENT AND RELATED CEQA EXEMPTION	1	0	1	0


## Sentiments for All Agenda Items

The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

### Overall Sentiment



### Overall Sentiment



### Paul Henkin

Location: 91902, Bonita

Submitted At: 4:12pm 10-16-25

Sad that water management is regional so you can't just drive a few miles to where the water's better. And why do we need 3 agencies to form a Regional Water Management Group (recital 7)? And recital 8 mentions a Group with only 2 agencies. Why not specify an area or number of people?

I also see that all parties to a Group are to share equally in the liability (MOU sec. 1f,) which is rather unfair if one of the agencies promotes improvements which result in damage based on misinformation. Each agency should not be equally liable. For instance, promoting an unhealthy level of additives like fluorine or chlorine, allowing dumping or industrial pollution.

And then Sec. 5a says that each party shall provide an equal share of funding for the Group. This is unfair to less densely populated areas. It also lets big areas like San Diego with its irresponsible spending policies off the hook for a contribution proportional to its size.

The MOU needs to be redone.