

Board of Supervisors

Meeting Time: 05-19-26 09:00


eComments Report

Meetings	Meeting Time	Agenda Items	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
Board of Supervisors	05-19-26 09:00	48	2	0	2	0

Sentiments for All Meetings

The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

Overall Sentiment




Agenda Name	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
14. ORDINANCE AMENDING THE COMPENSATION ORDINANCE AND ESTABLISHING COMPENSATION RELATED TO TENTATIVE AGREEMENT PENDING RATIFICATION FOR THE EMPLOYEE BARGAINING UNITS - DI AND DM REPRESENTED BY DISTRICT ATTORNEY INVESTIGATORS' ASSOCIATION (5/19/2026- First Reading; 6/9/2026- Second Reading, unless the ordinance is modified on second reading)	2	0	2	0

Sentiments for All Agenda Items

The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.


Overall Sentiment



Agenda Item: eComments for 14. ORDINANCE AMENDING THE COMPENSATION ORDINANCE AND ESTABLISHING COMPENSATION RELATED TO TENTATIVE AGREEMENT PENDING RATIFICATION FOR THE EMPLOYEE BARGAINING UNITS - DI AND DM REPRESENTED BY DISTRICT ATTORNEY INVESTIGATORS' ASSOCIATION (5/19/2026- First Reading; 6/9/2026- Second Reading, unless the ordinance is modified on second reading)

Overall Sentiment

Support (0%) Oppose (100%) Neutral (0%)
No Response (0%)



Rosie R

Location:

Submitted At: 4:25pm 05-18-26

Merit is the only basis for a raise, a bonus, a lump sum. Working based on a "contract" does not guarantee quality work from any individual. Why put in the effort or take pride when the coworker makes the same \$ and is a subpar performer. People need to be laid off or terminated for subpar performance or to balance a budget.

Paul Henkin

Location: 91902, Bonita

Submitted At: 4:58pm 05-13-26

For the DA's investigators, 3% regular increase plus 2% market adjustment looks reasonable.

I disapprove of a bonus just for workers in Environmental Prosecution Unit (section 1,7,47). It is discriminatory in nature. And let's have no unexplained lump sum payments (sec. 2.1.7.)

On the other hand, I think that premiums for bilingual and educated investigators (section 1.9.2 and 1.12.7) is good.

This proposed Ordinance needs to be rewritten.