

Board of Supervisors Meeting

Meeting Time: 04-26-22 09:30


eComments Report

Meetings	Meeting Time	Agenda Items	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
Board of Supervisors Meeting	04-26-22 09:30	49	533	43	475	6

Sentiments for All Meetings

The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

Overall Sentiment



Board of Supervisors Meeting


04-26-22 09:30

Agenda Name	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
34. AN ORDINANCE TO PROVIDE FOR THE LOCAL IMPLEMENTATION OF THE UNITED NATIONS CONVENTION ON THE ELIMINATION OF ALL FORMS OF DISCRIMINATION AGAINST WOMEN (CEDAW)	533	43	475	6

Sentiments for All Agenda Items


The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

Overall Sentiment



Agenda Item: eComments for 34. AN ORDINANCE TO PROVIDE FOR THE LOCAL IMPLEMENTATION OF THE UNITED NATIONS CONVENTION ON THE ELIMINATION OF ALL FORMS OF DISCRIMINATION AGAINST WOMEN (CEDAW)

Overall Sentiment



Virginia Riley

Location: 95348, Merced

Submitted At: 6:31pm 04-26-22

Any law that allows for discrimination against women or anyone else should be opposed. This is a free country.

Rebekah Hook

Location: 92119, San Diego

Submitted At: 6:27pm 04-26-22

On behalf of The San Diego LGBT Community Center, I write in full support of the CEDAW ordinance that is before the Board today. This is an important step to end gender-based discrimination, one which we are proud to see is inclusive of our LGBTQ community. We want to thank The County's Commission on the Status of Women and Girls for their work over the past decade to see this CEDAW ordinance adopted and ask for the Board of Supervisor's full support.

Richard White

Location: 92584, Menifee
Submitted At: 6:12pm 04-26-22

I oppose this atrocity to treat women as if they were nothing. To pass this is to erase women and everything they have accomplished. This is downright disgusting and pitiful to disrespect and eliminate women and everything that they have fought for and achieved through the years. To pass and support this basically gives all weak males a free pass to take over and abuse women in everything they do. This is ridiculous and should be opposed. Nothing about this supports women, and is evil.

Monique Rappleyea

Location: 91977, Spring valley
Submitted At: 5:35pm 04-26-22

I oppose this.

Hannah Francis

Location: 92126, San Diego
Submitted At: 5:18pm 04-26-22

I oppose item #34. This will not further protect women and girls but will cause men who identify as women, to harm real biological women. There are only two genders: male and female. Only women can give birth, no matter how others "feel."

Richard Akira

Location: 92532, Lake Elsinore
Submitted At: 5:15pm 04-26-22

Oppose vigorously!

Art Hodges III

Location:
Submitted At: 5:15pm 04-26-22

I serve as Bishop to 70+ Churches, Pastors, Clergy in San Diego County representing many thousands of parishioners.

All are very opposed to Agenda item 34.

This is not only unnecessary, but actually harmful to the very ones it purports to protect ~ women & girls.

Sex & gender are not assigned "AT" birth, rather they are assigned "BY" birth, based on "the laws of nature and of nature's God".

Any belief contrary defies science, biology, evolution, creation, God, truth, & logic.

Daisy Llerenas

Location: 93063, Simi Valley
Submitted At: 5:02pm 04-26-22

I oppose agenda #34. You are putting children at risk. You talk about "trust the science" yet we are biologically defined whether we are male or female. Why aren't you following the science now?

Lacy Dias

Location: 95324
Submitted At: 5:02pm 04-26-22

Oppose

Ellen Kutzler

Location: 92019, El Cajon
Submitted At: 4:57pm 04-26-22

Please do not approve this measure. Biological women, more so young girls, will be at risk from those who will

take advantage.

Amado Huizar

Location: 91910

Submitted At: 4:50pm 04-26-22

This hurts the advancement of women's rights. All their hard work is being overshadowed by a minority who are trying to redefine science and biology. Please for the sake of true equality to females, do not sweep this under the banner of something else.

If it had real weight this would be a stand alone item, not sandwiched here. The majority is scared to speak up, don't bend. Do the right thing and protect the rights of women.

Adam Sheldon

Location: 92126, San Diego

Submitted At: 4:45pm 04-26-22

This is not an issue worth considering, it is so obviously TERRIBLE and wrong for women in so many ways, which you have all already been explained and know - but will you listen ?

This Board needs to stop looking to always add intrusive and burdensome regulations. How you are even thinking about a UN agenda for local society is another cause of concern.

Jennifer Worner

Location: 92009, Carlsbad

Submitted At: 4:44pm 04-26-22

I do not support this agenda item, women and girls should be protected from men and boys no matter how they identify. The biology doesn't change, and neither do the dynamics. This policy is an attack on women and supporting the very small percentage of the population that the trans people represent. It opens the door for women to be abused, assaulted and for kids to be groomed and coerced into this life altering and non reversible lifestyle. Pretending that men are women is not progress:(

Gina Ross

Location: 92592, Temecula

Submitted At: 4:42pm 04-26-22

This piece of legislation is an abomination and anyone that supports it will undoubtedly be judged harshly in the end ... truth and light always wins

Anna Holmes

Location: 92020, El Cajon

Submitted At: 4:35pm 04-26-22

As a woman I am incensed that this bill, the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW), has been used to not only divide people but used against all people. I was born a female and upon my death my genetic structure will always be a female. To add the language of gender, gender identity and/or gender expression is an insult and a lie to all people. If you vote for this bill in this language you are lying to us. Vote NO!

Avery Clarke

Location: Oceanside

Submitted At: 4:35pm 04-26-22

"So God created man in His own image; in the image of God He created him; MALE and FEMALE He created them" (Gen. 1:27 NKJV). So weird, I don't hear any other genders. Just male and female. If you think that there is more than that, then you're crazy. This society today is just like how God promised in the end times. We are a confused generation. I strongly encourage Christians to rise up! Quit being idle! Stand up for what God's Word says! Get up and fight! Stop being tolerant! No coexisting!

Toni Fleischmann

Location: 92064, Poway

Submitted At: 4:19pm 04-26-22

This is a ridiculous move. It's a sad day when our city feels it is necessary to demean women by not recognizing their physical, emotional and gender differences from that of a MAN. This does nothing to protect or elevate the status of women. I strongly oppose this for the sake of my children!

Kara Thibault

Location: 92831, H02
Submitted At: 3:53pm 04-26-22

As a mother of two girls I oppose this bill as it sets a dangerous environment for my girls live in if men are able to 'choose' that they are a woman for their own benefit. This sets a dangerous precedent for our state and country.

Nicole Henneforth

Location: 92064, Poway
Submitted At: 3:53pm 04-26-22

I fully oppose this! These rules leave too much margin for error. You cannot determines someone's true motivations. Anyone could say they identify as "a woman" even if they do not truly identify as a woman and have access to the women's restroom.

Loren Bell

Location:
Submitted At: 3:48pm 04-26-22

How on earth is including biological males in the definition of the word "woman" protecting women?!

C C

Location:
Submitted At: 3:44pm 04-26-22

Please vote NO! No, thank you!

Lindsey Wadell

Location: 92691, Mission Viejo
Submitted At: 3:44pm 04-26-22

Strongly oppose this.

Elizabeth Compean

Location: 92084, Vista
Submitted At: 3:44pm 04-26-22

Saying gender is Social choice takes all women's rights and gives them to men. A man can say he identified as female and then claim female owed bussiness to benefit.

Hector Gastelum

Location: 91913, chula vista
Submitted At: 3:36pm 04-26-22

Protect Female Sports. Men are supposed to protect women. Boys who think they're Girls need Psychological help. If their mental health issues aka gender dysphoria are untreated it can lead to more damaging results. Also, Transformers have a higher suicide, drug, alcoholism rate than normal men & women.

Charles Nnaji

Location: 92020, El Cajon
Submitted At: 3:31pm 04-26-22

I Oppose

Joseph Mother

Location:
Submitted At: 3:26pm 04-26-22

ya I'm down

Tawnya Nnaji

Location: 92020, El Cajon
Submitted At: 3:23pm 04-26-22

I oppose!

Jamie Neppi

Location: 92128, San Diego
Submitted At: 3:14pm 04-26-22

The definition of a woman does not include a man!

Rosa Delatorre

Location: 91901, Alpine
Submitted At: 3:14pm 04-26-22

It is simple you're born a male or a female. Just because there are a small group of people who have identity issues does not change the fact that they are a man or woman. Please stop all this lunacy!

Brenda Hernandez

Location: 92131, San diego
Submitted At: 3:09pm 04-26-22

I oppose.

Traci White

Location: 92675, San Juan Capistrano
Submitted At: 3:08pm 04-26-22

This is morally and ethically unconscionable. This is not only offensive as a woman but degrading. Stop this insanity NOW!

Marianne Richards

Location: 92675, SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO
Submitted At: 3:04pm 04-26-22

Staunchly oppose. Women have fought for decades for equity with men and this legislation sets us back to square one. For example, current evidence shows the biological advantage (most notably in terms of muscle mass and strength), conferred by male puberty and enjoyed by most transgender women is only minimally reduced with testosterone suppression as required by current sporting guidelines for transgender athletes. Protect girls and women! Vote NO.

Jen Kinzie

Location: 92104, San Diego
Submitted At: 3:01pm 04-26-22

Women's sports and women's bathrooms should only be shared by humans with XX chromosomes (women), not XY chromosomes (men). This ordinance is not women's equality, is to destroy women.

Cyndy Payne

Location: 92129, San Diego
Submitted At: 2:47pm 04-26-22

I strongly oppose! This is illogical. If a biological man wants to live his life as a woman, he has free choice to do so. But, doing so does NOT make him a woman. Biological women have spent generations fighting rights now being erased under the guise of inclusion. Men and women are different, not better or worse, just different. APPROVING THIS ESSENTIALLY CHANGES NOTHING FOR THE TRANSGENDER INDIVIDUAL, BUT IT ROBS EVERY NATURAL BORN/BIOLOGICAL INDIVIDUAL OF THE UNIQUENESS OF THEIR GENDER.

Amy Miyamoto

Location: 92119, San Diego
Submitted At: 2:43pm 04-26-22

I oppose.

Sherri Ramirez

Location: 91977, Spring Valley
Submitted At: 2:42pm 04-26-22

I oppose item 34. This is complete discrimination against women! The women who fought so hard for our rights would strongly oppose this change.

Ruben Ramirez

Location: 91977, Spring Valley
Submitted At: 2:36pm 04-26-22

I oppose!

Carmen Geis

Location: 37612, Raleigh
Submitted At: 2:33pm 04-26-22

Men born as men should not be able to compete in sports as we man, also , they should not use the women's bathrooms

Diane McGowan

Location: 92040, Lakeside
Submitted At: 2:29pm 04-26-22

I strongly oppose this item! This will absolutely discriminate and destroy WOMEN in all aspects of life, and pursuit of happiness! I URGE you to re-evaluate the extreme detrimental consequences this will have on women and society as a whole! Do any of you have daughters or granddaughters? Would you want them sharing locker rooms with MEN or worse, Men who have mental issues that THINK they are women? This is evil in the most basic level and must not be passed!!! Again Vote NO.

Helena Lagas

Location: 4309, Aratula
Submitted At: 2:24pm 04-26-22

Are you for real? Crazy or What?

Tanja Lee

Location: 92129, San Diego
Submitted At: 2:21pm 04-26-22

I fully support the elimination of all forms of discrimination against women!

Stephanie Ward

Location: 92131
Submitted At: 2:10pm 04-26-22

Staunchly oppose.

April Maniscalco

Location: 92009, Carlsbad
Submitted At: 2:08pm 04-26-22

Please do not pass this... the term women should only apply to people born as a female. God made two genders, male and female and this can not be changed.

Leanne Neely

Location: 92069
Submitted At: 2:07pm 04-26-22

I vehemently oppose. This will completely cancel women in every way. I may not be a biologist but I know men are not women.

Jessi Harper

Location: 91941, La Mesa

Submitted At: 2:06pm 04-26-22

Protect women from those who will abuse this! Have a backbone and protect biological women from discrimination and potential harms from biological men.

Brian R

Location:

Submitted At: 2:03pm 04-26-22

Strongly oppose.

B F

Location: 92064, POWAY

Submitted At: 2:01pm 04-26-22

No one should be discriminated against. However. Changing the definition of "woman" to include biological males has rippling repercussions that sacrifice biological women and girls in dangerous and diminishing ways. This opens the door to countless safety and equity issues that have been hard fought against for decades. Don't sacrifice our girls. We urge the Board to oppose this redefinition AT LEAST until measure are put in place to prevent abuse.

Jessica Remsing

Location: 92109, San Diego

Submitted At: 1:58pm 04-26-22

Item 34 - Oppose changing the definition of a woman. STRONGLY oppose allowing biological men into women's locker rooms and restrooms for a multitude of reasons. This is absurd.

Sarah Rathe

Location: 91914, Chula Vista

Submitted At: 1:57pm 04-26-22

I strongly oppose this. By changing the definition of a women, women will be losing rights. I cannot even believe this is something that is being considered.

Diane Paratore

Location: 92084, Vista

Submitted At: 1:54pm 04-26-22

San Diego County is not required to follow recommendations from the United Nations. I am asking you to vote no on this ordinance.

Kim Byrnes

Location: 92131, San Diego

Submitted At: 1:52pm 04-26-22

I oppose this terrible notion to redefine the historic definition of "women" to include men. It is ridiculous, sickening, awful, complete nonsense and maddening that anyone would propose this language to change the definition of "women" and take it to a vote. This is wrong on so many levels. OPPOSE!

Sharyl Cavellier

Location:

Submitted At: 1:49pm 04-26-22

You are making activists out of many people with this legislation, particularly women. A woman is unique in so many ways from a man. You are destroying the connection between men and women by stating that anyone can be anything. You are being horrible people.

Claudia Luque

Location: 92505, Riverside

Submitted At: 1:46pm 04-26-22

Oppose!!

ERIN FREEMAN

Location: 92064-2701, POWAY
Submitted At: 1:44pm 04-26-22

STOP ERASING WOMEN! This concept of including biological males in the definition of women is asinine, dangerous and completely absurd. Women have fought hard for equality and now, under the banner of false virtue and inclusion, you are erasing us! Please stop this.

Alma VanNice

Location: 91950, National City
Submitted At: 1:43pm 04-26-22

This is a radical ordinance. It redefines "women and girls" to include males. This ordinance will mandate that males who self-identify as women be allowed to have full access to girls and women's sports, and to girls' locker rooms, women's prisons, and to domestic violence shelters where women are seeking protection from male abuse. It will put them at risk for more discrimination and harm. This ordinance will undermine and impede the economic opportunities the rights of women and children.

Megan Barnett

Location: 92058, Oceanside
Submitted At: 1:43pm 04-26-22

I very strongly oppose this.

Victor Wu

Location: 92130, San Diego
Submitted At: 1:43pm 04-26-22

I don't see why kids need to be confused to the point of intentional insanity. Kindness is not repeating a lie a thousand times and selling it as truth.

M Walsh

Location: 92104, San Diego
Submitted At: 1:38pm 04-26-22

I strongly oppose this. All those who are in favor of this are looking to push an agenda that opposes the rights of Women.

Chingchan Wu

Location: 92130-1507, SAN DIEGO
Submitted At: 1:32pm 04-26-22

After thousands of years of human progress, with so much advances in science and technology, and a much better education system nowadays, it is absurd and sad that we are beginning to doubt the definition of a woman!

Ximena Smith

Location: 91911, Chula Vista
Submitted At: 1:25pm 04-26-22

Biological differences between male and female are undeniable and by allowing men (who are transgender or declare themselves as a woman) just diminish women competitions. It's so sad that this is going to a point of discrimination against women. I totally oppose to allow men in areas like sports and others where by physical conditions they have more advantage. The government is going too far. Please women in government, don't allow this to pass!!

A Sanna

Location: 92028
Submitted At: 1:25pm 04-26-22

I am a women, a feminist and oppose a redefinition of what a women is.

Jaclyn Ceccacci

Location: 92081, Vista
Submitted At: 1:21pm 04-26-22

I oppose.

Olga Walsh

Location: 92104, San Diego, CA
Submitted At: 1:21pm 04-26-22

I strongly oppose this measure, as it uses an old agenda item that supports equality for women to re-classify transgender and non-binary men as women. We have seen many instances of women's rights in direct conflict with transgender/non-binary agenda. It's intellectually dishonest to conflate this agenda item (i.e. Women's equality) with supporting nonsensical gender ideology.

Kelly Ehrheart

Location: 92672, San Clemente
Submitted At: 1:12pm 04-26-22

As a biologically born woman I find this item completely discriminatory. You cannot deny that DNA is biologically different between male and female. Rather than blurring the lines of this definition based on someone's "preference", how about we please celebrate each gender's biological uniqueness and strengths rather than diminishing them.

Alessandro Fraschetti

Location: 92024, Encinitas
Submitted At: 1:10pm 04-26-22

The definition of a woman should not be changed. Those who wish to identify themselves as women, should not be discriminated against. Nobody should be discriminated against. There has to be another way to accomplish this besides changing the natural fundamental definition of a woman.

Victor S

Location: 91910
Submitted At: 1:04pm 04-26-22

I oppose. You should too, Nora! Stop enabling sick fantasies!

Jackie Earle

Location: 92020, El Cajon
Submitted At: 1:03pm 04-26-22

I strongly oppose this and think it will have a horrible outcome if passed.

Amanda Morris

Location: 92118, Coronado
Submitted At: 1:01pm 04-26-22

Webster's defines a woman as an adult female person, feminine in NATURE. Medical definition of a woman is someone having biological anatomy that is distinctly female, baring two X chromosomes, being able to produce ova or eggs. That is it, P.E.R.I.O.D.

Mingming Zhang

Location: 92130-1507, SAN DIEGO
Submitted At: 12:58pm 04-26-22

I strongly oppose this ordinance because it is against common sense and does more harm than good to kids.

Amy Crawford

Location: 91915, Chula Vista
Submitted At: 12:55pm 04-26-22

I not only oppose, but I STRONGLY oppose this change. The damage this will do to women down the road is astronomical!

Elyse Darrow

Location: 92117, San Diego
Submitted At: 12:55pm 04-26-22

I strongly oppose this bill.

BillieJo Jannen

Location: 91906, Campo
Submitted At: 12:54pm 04-26-22

The inclusion of transgender "women" in sports intended for women and girls is harmful and discriminatory to biological women. Any supervisor who votes to do this to our daughters should be subject to immediate recall and replacement. There is already a long list of rapes, assaults and lewd exposures against girls by boys who claim to be girls. And while you're at it, stop pushing staff to use the ridiculous affectation of placing their pronouns after all their signatures; this is simply stupid.

Aimee Wooldridge

Location: 91915, Chula Vista
Submitted At: 12:51pm 04-26-22

No need to redefine the word woman or female we all know what it means

Tisha Martinez

Location: 92346, Highland
Submitted At: 12:50pm 04-26-22

Calling a man a woman doesn't make him a woman. A woman is a woman, a man is a man. Very simple linguistics. Stop hijacking words to create your own meanings for.

Jeremy Ehinger

Location: 90277-4119, Redondo Beach
Submitted At: 12:50pm 04-26-22

Please oppose this ordinance. There are 2 genders and to pretend otherwise is harmful to San Diego and all of society.

Jacquelyn Rilea

Location: 92084, Vista
Submitted At: 12:49pm 04-26-22

The continued attempted erasure of biological women must stop.

Kristen Lange

Location: 92037, La Jolla
Submitted At: 12:48pm 04-26-22

Strongly oppose

Geraldin Pulido

Location: 95240, Lodi
Submitted At: 12:48pm 04-26-22

I strongly oppose to this!

Michael Fisher

Location: 92126, SAN DIEGO
Submitted At: 12:47pm 04-26-22

This is a terrible idea, we the citizens oppose this new definition you're trying to impose

Sarah Shepard

Location: 93257, Porterville
Submitted At: 12:47pm 04-26-22

This bill will actually put women in harms way! Please oppose!

Katie Fitch

Location: 92010, Carlsbad
Submitted At: 12:46pm 04-26-22

For the sake of our children and future please do not complicate this. A woman is a woman. In Jesus name.

Jaime Ballard

Location: 92054-4248, Oceanside
Submitted At: 12:45pm 04-26-22

Stop the war on WOMEN!! As a WOMAN I am outraged by this attack on us! Protect WOMEN and oppose this bill!!

Sarah Paradise

Location: 92021, El Cajon
Submitted At: 12:44pm 04-26-22

Strongly oppose.

Adrienne Simmers

Location:
Submitted At: 12:44pm 04-26-22

OPPOSE

Kristi Burch

Location: 92011, Carlsbad
Submitted At: 12:43pm 04-26-22

San Diego...you are drunk and I oppose this....as a woman!

Briana Ellis

Location: 92036, Julian
Submitted At: 12:43pm 04-26-22

In action, this will do the opposite of stated intent. It will endanger biological women by grouping them with transgender individuals. It will also dilute/ negate any progress made by women's rights movements by requiring biological women to compete with transgendered individuals for employment, all levels of sports, and other areas where women have historically faced discrimination. Equality and fighting discrimination should be everyone's priority, but not at the expense of another group.

Danielle Green

Location: 92653, Laguna Hills
Submitted At: 12:43pm 04-26-22

The definition of a woman is clearly defined. Politicians have no business changing the definition of someone's gender. This is extremely harmful and insulting to all woman.

Abigail Garcia

Location:
Submitted At: 12:42pm 04-26-22

I oppose Agenda Item 34

Ciarra Talay

Location: 80832, Peyton
Submitted At: 12:41pm 04-26-22

As a WOMAN I absolutely oppose a bill and ordinance that takes us backwards and dampens all women have fought for!!!! OPPOSE!!!!!!

Sarah Huffman

Location: 92630, Lake Forest
Submitted At: 12:40pm 04-26-22

Oppose

Alicia Miller

Location: 94536, Fremont
Submitted At: 12:38pm 04-26-22

There are two genders: male and female. Women know that they are women by their differences from men.

sara Clarke

Location: 92104, San Diego
Submitted At: 12:37pm 04-26-22

Do not weaken women rights in our county. Thank you. Keep women women.

Laura Kane

Location:
Submitted At: 12:35pm 04-26-22

Please vote no on this insanity. It puts women and girls at risk and their privacy from their safety when in bathrooms, lockers. This is mind blowing & it's a slap in the face to all women.

Rebecca Redin

Location: 92056, Oceanside
Submitted At: 12:33pm 04-26-22

Oppose! This is ill- thought-out! 200 years of hard won women's rights and in a whim, without serious and careful examination of all the ways adding a loophole for men who can now simply claim to be transgender and obliterate women in nearly every field. SLOW DOWN AND THINK

Analysse Butler

Location: 93291 , Visalia
Submitted At: 12:32pm 04-26-22

We need to protect womens rifhrs! I oppose!!!

Beth Dunford

Location: 92024, Encinitas
Submitted At: 12:30pm 04-26-22

This puts women and girls at risk allowing men to go into women's locker rooms, bathrooms, shelters, etc Please do not vote for this craziness. Protect women!

Jodi Cleesattle

Location: 92116, San Diego
Submitted At: 12:27pm 04-26-22

The proposed ordinance will help achieve gender equity and remove barriers faced by women and girls in San Diego County, including in economic development, criminal justice, voting rights, civic engagement, health care, gender-based violence and harassment, housing, and homelessness. San Diego County's adoption of this ordinance, which implements the principles of CEDAW and aims at ending discrimination against all women (whether cis, trans or nonbinary), is welcome and overdue. Thank you.

Nicole Pollington

Location: 92008, Carlsbad
Submitted At: 12:19pm 04-26-22

I am opposed to this ordinance because it does not protect women it endangers women. If we change the definition of a women then an adult man who identifies as a woman is able to share a bathroom with young girls. A man who identifies as a woman is able to compete in sporting events against a woman ultimately stealing her hard work and eliminating her efforts. This ordinance is morally wrong and as a woman I am outraged that society is slowly chipping away at how beautifully unique God has us.

Carleigh Dette

Location: 92084, Vista
Submitted At: 12:16pm 04-26-22

I'm baffled as to why the committee thinks it can redefine what a woman is! This is disgusting and disappointing!

Jessica Mathews

Location: 92026, Escondido
Submitted At: 12:12pm 04-26-22

Changing the definition of a women to include men or boys is offensive to actual women. I oppose. How can you change the truth?!

Lisa Bezzina

Location: 92078, San Marcos
Submitted At: 12:12pm 04-26-22

Women_Man

You do not have to be a biologist to understand that a man is not a woman. Trust the science, right?

Sara delatorre

Location: 92065, ramona
Submitted At: 12:11pm 04-26-22

I STRONGLY OPPOSE this!! This is such a disgrace to woman! There is Only One definition of a woman...an adult female human being: Saying a man is a woman or a man can give birth is MISINFORMATION! This is sick!

Rocio Roman

Location: 9213, San Diego
Submitted At: 12:10pm 04-26-22

A woman should not be redefined by voters. A woman is defined by nature and we should not allow men and boys to be included in what constitutes a woman.

Rachel LaBarre

Location: 92104
Submitted At: 12:08pm 04-26-22

Women are women. Men are men. Trans-men are men. Trans-women are women. Just because someone SAYS they FEEL like something does not make it true.
TRUST THE SCIENCE.

Vernita Gutierrez

Location: 92104, San Diego
Submitted At: 12:06pm 04-26-22

I strongly support the adoption of the CEDAW ordinance, and encourage the Board of Supervisors to approve it TODAY. The United Nations General Assembly adopted CEDAW in 1979, and since then, many state and local municipalities have adopted ordinances that support the principles of CEDAW. It is time for the County of San Diego to implement this inclusive framework in an effort to eliminate gender-based discrimination and support the human rights of ALL women.

Maya Roth

Location: 92131
Submitted At: 11:59am 04-26-22

Redundant and doesn't support women.

Esther Dorr

Location: 91916, Descsnso
Submitted At: 11:58am 04-26-22

I do not support re defining the definition of a woman!!

Richard Cooke

Location: 92020, El Cajon
Submitted At: 11:54am 04-26-22

With the numerous laws and regulations in place governing discrimination of any kind, why does the County need to layer another set of regulations on top of the existing U. S. regulations? Unless there are one or more "holes" in the U. S. laws and regulations, I think this will be redundant and potentially add more cost(s) with little or no additional benefit.

Jessica Watt

Location: 92059, Oceanside
Submitted At: 11:52am 04-26-22

This bill is anything but helpful for women. We saw what happened when a man who identified as a woman was put in prison with women and he impregnated two of them. This is denying God's design and biology and it's going to cause severe consequences in our society if this is passed. It's not harmless to let people be what they want to be. Truth is truth. It will hurt the very people that it supposedly says it will protect. As a woman, I urge you strongly to please vote no. This is not protection.

Lolita Anaya

Location: 92020, El Cajon
Submitted At: 11:51am 04-26-22

This ordinance defeats its purpose by discriminating against biological women for males wanting to be one. Women have come a long way from oppression, It's nice to be a woman now that we are able to vote and be free to exercise our human rights. We the people need you to stop wasting our money on this! This biological woman Opposes!

Also, you can choose any pronoun you wish and be protected by law from discrimination without changing the scientific definition of woman. FYI

A S

Location:
Submitted At: 11:51am 04-26-22

I strongly oppose agenda item 34 and urge the board to vote NO.

M Benson

Location: 92014, DEL MAR
Submitted At: 11:26am 04-26-22

Discrimination is wrong but they should not be given extra special treatment.

The answer is XX or XY chromosomes determine the sexes and surgery or chemical hormones do not change the basic biology. Trans- athletes should have their own contests against other TransAthletes for a fair contest. Title 9 was passed to help female athletes.

Louise McClain

Location: 91935, Jamul
Submitted At: 11:21am 04-26-22

Having transgender males participate in female sports is a giant step backwards for women. I thought NCAA female swimmers proved that point .I sincerely hope that our representatives carefully think through the inequity women face. Thank you for your attention.

Amanda Murrieta

Location: 92116, San Diego
Submitted At: 11:19am 04-26-22

Stop allowing men to appropriate women, you are harming young girls. Men can not be women and women cannot be men.

Jennifer Peterson

Location: 92040, Lakeside
Submitted At: 11:15am 04-26-22

I strongly oppose any legislature that would attempt to redefine the biological construct of gender. Writing laws to redefine truth does not make it so. It only adds to the confusion and chaos in our world and is not necessary when considering how to pursue the protection of human rights. People's feelings about who they are are fluid and laws and societal constructs should not be catering to the whim of a feeling of the day. Changing universal truth to appease culture is a dangerous path.

Rhonda Morgan

Location: 92040, Lakedide
Submitted At: 11:10am 04-26-22

Highly oppose!!!

Amanda Harriff

Location: 92078, san marcos
Submitted At: 11:09am 04-26-22

This is absolute garbage! Are you serious? Redefining what a woman is? This is what we are spending time and money on? Complete crap and an embarrassment

Ron Irvin

Location: 92071, Santee
Submitted At: 11:09am 04-26-22

Where does it stop? You are masking cultural Marxism in your pretty titles for ordinances. Enough. Look at the comments, the people are speaking and they oppose. Support for this is gleaned via deception and propaganda.

Noemi Abrego

Location: 91915, Chula vista
Submitted At: 11:05am 04-26-22

What kind of pedophile or groomers are setting this forward ? You guys know when this happened that girls started getting assaulted in bathrooms . Why do you all keep waging a war on us females? Stop thinking you can do what we do . God made females to make babies , men can not. I can keep my baby alive for years from my breast milk, men can't. Stop discriminating on the real women.It's not fair terra remer wants satanist run our this county. I swear if anything happens to my 13 year daughter.

Aislinn Fava

Location: 92101, San Diego
Submitted At: 11:00am 04-26-22

Strongly oppose!

Teresa Owen

Location: 92082, Valley Center
Submitted At: 10:57am 04-26-22

Why is California set on the destruction of the man and woman, God's creation?! I highly oppose this. Men should not be allowed where women are. Not bathrooms, sports, any of it. The woman is weaker and deserves some sort of protection against this often violent counterpart. Protect our women and protect our children! Please vote NO on this!

Richard Morse

Location: 92021, El Cajon
Submitted At: 10:51am 04-26-22

This bill is a dangerous travesty, I strongly oppose it!

Phillip Taylor

Location: 91978, Spring Valley
Submitted At: 10:47am 04-26-22

I strongly oppose this bill.

J S

Location:

Submitted At: 10:44am 04-26-22

I am a resident of San Diego and strongly oppose this. It goes against all progress made for women over the years, defies scientific facts, and behooves an unmoored culture that upends logic while using word games to push agendas.

Arthur Schaper

Location: 90503, Torrance

Submitted At: 10:40am 04-26-22

The San Diego County Board of Supervisors should be ashamed of themselves for denying biological fact, erasing women, and promoting sexual perversion and anarchy.

The San Diego Board of Supervisors will be enshrining pre-eminent discrimination against women if they pass this evil, vile, anti-constitutional, unconscionable ordinance.

NO TO CEDAW!

JAMIE MARK

Location: 92109, San Diego

Submitted At: 10:38am 04-26-22

I am a 10 year resident of San Diego and I STRONGLY OPPOSE this agenda item. Reducing women down to feelings or genitals is anti-science and anti-women! This agenda item puts ALL WOMEN at risk. This is a disgusting attack on women and MUST be shot down. I urge you abstain from or actively oppose this bill.

Sue Reichel

Location: 92592, Temecula

Submitted At: 10:38am 04-26-22

There is a biological differentiation between a man a woman. You can't just say something is not different and make it so! God has created them, male and female, for a reason! Please do not vote in something that is untrue and agenda based!

Kelly Hannegan

Location: 92705, santa ana

Submitted At: 10:34am 04-26-22

I am urging the Board of Supervisors to OPPOSE item 34. Please Vote NO !! This is damaging to our society to change the definition of a "woman". As a biological women and mom I'm offended and angered that you would allow a biological man have the same rights as a biological women just because he "thinks" and "feels" in his head HE is a woman. Men CANNOT be Women no matter what they think in their head, what they think and feel does not change biology.

Kim Dougherty

Location: 92067, Rancho Santa fe

Submitted At: 10:31am 04-26-22

Males are males and females are females that's how god made us!!!!!!

Cheryl Stephenson

Location: 92026, ESCONDIDO

Submitted At: 10:29am 04-26-22

Oppose. Your motives are clear...to continue to propagate confusion upon the culture. You are trying to control the language to implement your agenda. The globalist agenda. A woman is defined by God and woe to anyone who tries to negate that. Woman - an adult female human. Defining terms is not the job of the San Diego County representatives.

Earlean Morgan

Location: 92115-4710, San Diego

Submitted At: 10:25am 04-26-22

I strongly oppose this agenda. Men should never be allowed to participate in women's sports, neither should they be able to enter into women's restrooms, prisons or any other type of facility that's specifically for females. Feeling like a female and actually being a female are totally different. You are putting abused women at risk because now you are allowing nonbiological females to enter into spaces where only biological females should be protected and safe. I strongly oppose this agenda.

Julie Griggs

Location: 92021, El cajon
Submitted At: 10:23am 04-26-22

You can't redefine science. Stop trying

C S

Location: 92129, San Diego
Submitted At: 10:22am 04-26-22

Changing the literal definition of a woman to include the word men negates all progress made for women in the last century. It hurts women and increases their vulnerability and susceptibility for harm or malfeasance. To protect women, the very definition of a woman, which includes biological characteristics, must be honored and upheld.

Eli Komai

Location: 92115, San Diego
Submitted At: 10:19am 04-26-22

Since when did anything good come from the UN?

I OPPOSE this ordinance. It is globalist rhetoric. Enables the deranged and perverted to continue harming themselves and their communities.

If you do not vote this down I will seek better representation elsewhere, and encourage others to do the same.

BIOLOGY OVER IDEOLOGY

Anna Beck

Location: 92115
Submitted At: 10:19am 04-26-22

Oppose! OPPOSE! OPPOSE!

Daniel Dizon

Location: 91901, Alpine
Submitted At: 10:17am 04-26-22

I'm opposed to the fact that my 4 girls or there friends or any of my relatives would have to see a boy enter any establishment or sport or anything that is intended for girls just because they don't define themselves as a boy. Women are women! Girls are girls!

Lorri Daniels

Location:
Submitted At: 10:16am 04-26-22

Oppose please

Denise Torre

Location: 92127
Submitted At: 10:09am 04-26-22

Oppose! Women are female!

Dee Solus

Location:

Submitted At: 10:08am 04-26-22

I strongly oppose! Biological Men who believe themselves to be women should not be given the right to participate in women's sports, use women's locker rooms/bathrooms/dressing rooms or be defined as a woman simply because they claim to be, etc. This would encourage abuse, rape and pedophilia. And biological men/boys should never be competing against women/girls in sports. This is not about protecting women. NO!

jerry fabrikant

Location: 92019, el cajon

Submitted At: 10:06am 04-26-22

I am 100% against men , trans men, or self identifying men/women, going into women bathroom in schools or multiple bathroom facilities.

Unisex single bathrooms are fine for everyone.

Cynthia Melgoza

Location: 95670, Gold River

Submitted At: 10:03am 04-26-22

I strongly oppose this ordinance. It actually takes away rights of women and girls. Men, whatever they identify as, are still male and should not be put with biological females in restrooms, locker rooms, sports, prison (ex. Recently 2 women in orison pregnant by male identifying as a woman!), etc... It does not promote or help women at all.

James R

Location: 92106, San Diego

Submitted At: 10:02am 04-26-22

This ordinance provides for the destruction of the family unit. This is a deliberate attack on one of the most important human institutions, period: MARRIAGE

Furthermore, this ordinance enables those with mental health problems and sexual delusions to further destroy not only their psyche, but their communities as well. I STRONGLY OPPOSE this ordinance, and will not be voting for whosoever votes in favor of this. I will be informing all of my friends and family in this regard. Thank you.

Sonia Terrones

Location: 91915, Chula Vista

Submitted At: 10:01am 04-26-22

Absolutely NO!!!!

Follow the science, biology 101. A biologically born man is not a biologically born female. Treat it as is.

A A

Location:

Submitted At: 10:01am 04-26-22

I do not agree with changing the definition of woman!

Jen Doctor

Location:

Submitted At: 9:59am 04-26-22

Oppose

Sandra Sweers

Location: 92530, Lake Elsinore

Submitted At: 9:57am 04-26-22

I oppose 10 fold

Paul Sobolew

Location: 92626, Costa Mesa

Submitted At: 9:52am 04-26-22

Oppose

Moira Malley

Location:

Submitted At: 9:47am 04-26-22

If women's restrooms, locker rooms, prisons, and domestic violence shelters are opened to males, what is to stop a biological male predator from claiming to identify as female so that he can gain easy access to potential female victims? If you vote for this measure, you are deliberately compromising the safety of vulnerable women and girls. Consider the science: Hormonal treatment and surgery do not change the person's chromosomal make-up, i.e., XY for males and XX for females.

Renee Roberds

Location: 92007, Cardiff

Submitted At: 9:47am 04-26-22

For 2 years we have been told to follow the science. NOW we are being told that science is being ignored for a global political agenda??? You are showing your true colors! And your true loyalties which lie not in San Diego but with the W.E.F!!!

REGINA CORDERO

Location: 92646, Escondido

Submitted At: 9:42am 04-26-22

Men are not women

Christina Norwood

Location: 92027, Escondido

Submitted At: 9:38am 04-26-22

Changing the definition of what a woman is will take away my rights and my daughter's rights in every way. It's shameful to consider this. It's demeaning. A man may dress more feminine, may feel more feminine, but he cannot grow a baby in his body. He does not have a period. If our society changes the definition of something from factual to lie, all sense of order is gone. This opens the door to chaos. There will be no more women's sports. My daughter's will no longer have a chance to win.

Debra Hunemuller

Location: 91943, La Mesa

Submitted At: 9:36am 04-26-22

I oppose this. A man should not be considered a "woman", no matter the change. There are publicly known incidents of harm to biological women in public restrooms and incarceration facilities from transgender men. Please oppose.

Melody Doolittle

Location: 91955, Lemon Grove

Submitted At: 9:21am 04-26-22

I am opposed to this. In making this change you are giving preference to a specific class of people over all others. Allowing this change brings additional disharmony and division

Nikki Kulp

Location:

Submitted At: 9:16am 04-26-22

I absolutely oppose

Ellen Fabrikant

Location: 92019, El Cajon

Submitted At: 9:15am 04-26-22

This is not for women's rights, but very much against. Men who identify as women are still biological men. They

do not belong in women's bathrooms, on women's sports teams, or in women's prisons. This will destroy women's sports as we know it. I am very much against this ordinance.

Deborah Gamble

Location: 92069, San Marcos
Submitted At: 9:09am 04-26-22

Oppose

Jackie Zoellick

Location: 92065, Ramona
Submitted At: 9:07am 04-26-22

It's absolutely absurd that I have to ask our so called leadership to say NO to redefining what a woman is! Say NO

You people are not our creator. Stop destroying what GOD our creator gave us! This is unconditional! I don't know how you can even live in your own skin if you are leading this abomination!

Susan Marie

Location: 91913, Chula Vista
Submitted At: 9:07am 04-26-22

Today now with the CA Early Release Program and going easy on criminals rapists and murderers this ordinance will only guarantee that more women and children will die be abused raped and sexually trafficked and cause more problems for law enforcement many reasons for this ordinance to be stopped for one is that it is just the opposite of what it's named. Hippocratically dangerous and without common sense it will put biological females in their most vulnerable states in extreme danger.

Elizabeth Westwood

Location: 92026, Escondido
Submitted At: 9:06am 04-26-22

You are disguising a hidden agenda while claiming to support women, you are actually taking their rights away and hurting hem terribly. I strongly oppose!!!

Lindsay Phillips

Location:
Submitted At: 9:04am 04-26-22

Unsafe and utterly ridiculous.

Kerry Johnson

Location: 92121, San Diego
Submitted At: 9:00am 04-26-22

This agenda item seems to be a misnomer as you claim to protect women and then allow nonbiological females into the safe, protected spaces for biological women. Rather than adopting the standards of the UN here in the USA, why not think of the biological, American women and the best way to protect them? I strongly oppose this agenda item!

Angela Renner

Location: 92024, Encinitas
Submitted At: 8:56am 04-26-22

Supervisors,

I am certain your desire is not only to protect women from discrimination, but also to protect women.

The decision to redefine "woman" as it exists opens the door for countless casualties and harms to biological women as men become welcomed into women only spaces.

Not only that, but it is dishonoring and demeaning to reduce what it means to be a woman to a social construct. This is sexism at its finest.

As a female feminist, I STRONGLY oppose this.

Respectfully,
Angela

Tony Diaz

Location: 91950, National City
Submitted At: 8:52am 04-26-22

I oppose this ordinance. The legal definition of a Male defines it as the masculine sex; of the sex that begets young, the sex opposed to the female. The legal definition of a female defines it as the sex which conceives and gives birth to young. Those that do not adhere to these facts are trying to replace God, as well as try and recreate what he has already created.

Gina Cross

Location:
Submitted At: 8:52am 04-26-22

I feel that society is attacking women. I feel more unsafe than ever. Biological Men who believe themselves to be women should not be given the right to participate in women's sports, use women's locker rooms/bathrooms/dressing rooms or be defined as a woman simply because they claim to be, etc. This is a war on women. This is misappropriation. Biological women are women. Biological men are men regardless of feelings, mental illness, clothing or sexual preference.

Laura V

Location:
Submitted At: 8:51am 04-26-22

I oppose this.

Shannon Eckel

Location: 92020, El Cajon
Submitted At: 8:47am 04-26-22

Men are men, woman are woman period! God created each sex different with different anatomy, different physical traits and abilities. If you were born a man you are stronger and faster, a man competing against a woman in a woman's sport is not comparable and unfair in women's sports. I fully oppose this ordinance.

Jane Morton

Location: 92075, Solana Beach
Submitted At: 8:44am 04-26-22

Complete and utter nonsense!

Stacie Bigbee

Location: 92027, Escondido
Submitted At: 8:40am 04-26-22

I absolutely oppose this ordinance! Stop it now.

Myriam Moody

Location: 91941, La Mesa
Submitted At: 8:40am 04-26-22

Redefining women is to put them in harms way. Battered women's shelters were establishes as a safe place for women to get away from ALL biological men. Women's sports were establishes so women could compete with biological women and have a fair advantage. Scholarships were established for biological women so they could compete in fields such as STEM. To say a woman is other than how God designed them from birth is to degrade women and state they do not matter.

Misty Dornon

Location: 92036, Julian

Submitted At: 8:35am 04-26-22

I do not support this agenda, it leads to confusion, frustration, depression and more bullying than what kids are experiencing even now. Follow the fruit of this idea, what proof of healthy minds and bodies do we have.

Patricia Hansen

Location:

Submitted At: 8:34am 04-26-22

Supervisors, your vote today will determine which ones of you are following science, logic and truth, or surrendering to a mentally, illogical ideology. Your future on the board will be determined by your vote today. The people of San Diego have awakened! If passed today, it will be overturned by the Supreme Court, because what you are proposing is not legal, Truth will prevail!

Michelle Turner

Location: 92026, Escondido

Submitted At: 8:34am 04-26-22

I oppose this ordinance.

Benissa Alvidrez

Location:

Submitted At: 8:33am 04-26-22

Two different body parts mean two different things , they were created with two different purposes its biologically proven!!! & as a female women I feel greatly discriminated against knowing that my purpose as a “natural women” could no longer matter in this world anymore please consider the female XX as a whole as it was created to be from the beginning of creation, the fact that we can reproduce “naturally” is reason enough ,proof enough to protect and respect who we are XX aka woman

Tammy Muresan

Location: 92591, Temecula

Submitted At: 8:32am 04-26-22

A woman has a vagina. A woman was born with breasts and a vagina, and are able to have babies. A man has a penis. If you cut off a mans penis, they are still not a woman. For whoever still needs to hear it. Men are supposed to protect women.... not be here to tell them they are not women. Get woman to vote on this. a man has no place voting on what a WOMAN is.

Ashley Luna

Location: 92120, San Diego

Submitted At: 8:31am 04-26-22

PLEASE ALLOW MORE TIME & OPPORTUNITIES FOR PUBLIC INPUT BEFORE ADOPTING CEDAW.

No one knew the Office of Equity & Racial Justice was to be the oversight body, until last minute.

CEDAW should be headed by an independent, woman-centered commission (OR someone committed to centering women in general).

OERJ centers on RACE first, & based on things their leadership team told me, I fear that making OERJ the oversight body makes WOMEN'S social Justice/gender lens subservient to racial lens/justice.

Stephanie Rimmer

Location:

Submitted At: 8:30am 04-26-22

How do 5 people suppose they are going to redefine what a woman is? Really only three would even possibly consider voting for this. San Diego knows who you are. To add men to the definition of woman in any way is to degrade what a woman is. It would be taking away benefits from woman in which we have worked so hard for. This would hurt woman not help them. I especially appeal to Nora and Tera who are woman themselves.

Maria Bogedahl

Location: 92563, Murrieta
Submitted At: 8:26am 04-26-22

I oppose this ordinance, it is discriminatory towards biological females, and actually dangerous. Have we forgotten that girls have actually been raped in lockers rooms by so called transgender women? Also, women have fought so hard through out the centuries to earn the same rights as men just to have men now stripping us of our womanhood all together?

Hover ReyesGuerrero

Location: 92029, Escondido
Submitted At: 8:17am 04-26-22

I oppose this ordinance. This is a great way to give perverts access and opportunities to hurt our women. If you really care about real biological women & their safety oppose (CEDAW) now.

Alma Reyes

Location: 92029, Escondido
Submitted At: 8:08am 04-26-22

I oppose this ordinance. A man will always be a man no matter what he does to his body. Our girls/women will face a setback in society by approving this ordinance. Please protect our females from the dangers of rape, violence and discrimination. Enforce safety and protect real biological women's rights!

Tracy scallet

Location: 92078, San Marcos
Submitted At: 8:05am 04-26-22

This is outrageous! I am against ordinance 34. I will only vote for those against this

Eva Adolfsson

Location: 91910, Chula Vista
Submitted At: 8:04am 04-26-22

Why are you trying to implement an ordinance that the UN CONVENTION dreamed up, giving it a deceptively pleasing title to hide the diabolical global agenda?

The United States was uniquely formed as a great Republic with solid Christian values, the only nation in the world!

Listen to the great multitude whose voices stand up for what is right, the natural law.

Do not change what's moral and right to satisfy the immoral few! I urge you to oppose such ordinance.

Lauren Fraser

Location: 92011, Carlsbad
Submitted At: 8:01am 04-26-22

This ordinance is discriminatory towards biological females. Have we learned nothing from the women sitting pregnant in jail right now due to transgender males being allowed in? What's going to happen when a girl is raped in the locker room or bathroom at school? A female was born with a vagina, no need to change a definition in the name of 'social justice'. Please oppose this ordinance.

S K

Location: 92127, San Diego
Submitted At: 7:59am 04-26-22

Concerned for biological women. How does this change support them? Reads like we are going backwards in women's rights.

Sharon Thunder

Location: 92130, San Diego
Submitted At: 7:59am 04-26-22

The proposal is an AFFRONT to women and girls. Discriminatory. Idiotic. Evil.

Patti Larsen

Location: 92126, San Diego
Submitted At: 7:56am 04-26-22

Please oppose this ordinance. There are 2 genders and to pretend otherwise is harmful to San Diego and all of society. It is irresponsible to be in favor of this ordinance because harm will result. It will be too late when women and girls are raped or murdered by men in women-only spaces.

Mark Haskell

Location: 92028, Fallbrook
Submitted At: 7:54am 04-26-22

"Once again a minority of 'woke' San Diegans are leading our county down a path of harm. At its core this ordinance defies logic, history, and most importantly, our Creator God who made us in His image as male and female. Who are you Oh man/Oh woman to take it upon yourselves to redefine what God has already defined? Mr. Fletcher, your job is on the line, and your support of this today will provide just one more significant reason for San Diegans to vote you out come November.

Robin B

Location: 92026-6950, Escondido
Submitted At: 7:52am 04-26-22

No matter how much a biological male may wish to be a woman, he will always remain a man. That science will never change.

If you really want to protect women and all discrimination against women, you will vote NO and strongly oppose this ordinance.

Cindy Paris

Location: 92065, Ramona
Submitted At: 7:44am 04-26-22

the US senate is the only body who has the limited authority to ratify treaties. This language that is even worse than the original. For centuries women have been oppressed and excluded from many parts of society. Over the last 100 years women have made gains in basic civil liberties. This ordinance will undo many of those protections by allowing biological males to enter women's arenas. you will be responsible for crushing the dreams of proceeding generations of girls and women if you pass this.

C Fahl

Location: 92130, San Diego
Submitted At: 7:42am 04-26-22

No. Redefining "Women" as described in this "ordinance" is neither necessary nor helpful to put it mildly. So make better use of your time and the taxpayers dollars. November is not far away and will be interesting.

Rockie Hildebrand

Location: 91911
Submitted At: 7:40am 04-26-22

Just because someone believes they're a cat and identify as a cat will never, ever make them a cat! Stop, feeding into these lies. God made Male and Female and it's been the same since the beginning of time! No matter what you cut off, or what you add on, chromosomally you will be either Male or Female. That's a fact!!

BECKY HOFSETH

Location: 92026, Escondido
Submitted At: 7:37am 04-26-22

Once again a minority of "woke" San Diegans are leading our county down a path of harm. At its core, this ordinance defies logic, history, and most importantly, our Creator God who made us in His image as male and female. Who are you Oh man/Oh woman to take it upon yourselves to redefine what God has already defined? Mr. Fletcher, your job is on the line, and your support of this provides just one more significant reason for San Diegans to vote you out come November.

Kay Berg

Location: 92123, San Diego
Submitted At: 7:34am 04-26-22

STOP TRYING TO FORCE YOUR MARXIST IDEOLOGY ON US! If you do not know the difference between a man and a woman then you need a shrink. We need USEFUL legislation to help our communities like better hydropower and street maintenance. I OPPOSE THIS CEDAW!!

Ashley Ellinwood

Location:
Submitted At: 7:32am 04-26-22

I oppose.

Jesus Garcia

Location: 91902, Bonita
Submitted At: 7:31am 04-26-22

Your making it easier for sick pervert criminals to commit crimes against REAL WOMAN. If you really care about woman & their safety oppose (CEDAW)

Jorgelina Bonetto

Location: 92677, Laguna Niguel
Submitted At: 7:30am 04-26-22

I oppose this!

Mary Davis

Location: 92109, San Diego
Submitted At: 7:25am 04-26-22

I don't want anyone to be discriminated against, & this item is trying to do a good thing- I But I feel I am being erased. I was born the female gender & identifies still as such: I am sad to be thought of as lumped w/ other identifiers (who should also be protected!!). The struggle of woman: rights & voting, societal challenges, the global adversity we still face, is too important to be lumped w/ the struggles of trans women. Woman is not a catch all category. Woman is sacred. Don't erase us.

Karlyn Cole

Location: 92037, La Jolla
Submitted At: 7:21am 04-26-22

I oppose! This classification is harmful to women and potentially opens biological women to violence, discrimination and disadvantages.

Sherry Ludwig

Location: 92028, Fallbrook
Submitted At: 7:20am 04-26-22

It is time for our County to stand and be counted in support of this vital legislation to improve the lives of women locally, nationally and internationally. It is a well written document that supplies sound reasoning for its acceptance by our San Diego Board of Supervisors. It's time!

Salvatore Corona

Location: Ca 91, Chula vista
Submitted At: 7:14am 04-26-22

A man is a Man and a Woman is a woman. This is discrimination against woman. And women need to fight back for their rights.

Alyssa Cuccia

Location: 92056, Oceanside
Submitted At: 7:13am 04-26-22

Men cannot be defined as women, ever. They can be defined as something other than men if they so choose, but

a woman was born a woman and a man can never have that. The same goes for men. A woman can't truly be a man. They can have their own definition of what they are and that's fine. But a woman is a woman because she was born that way and lived the life and biological experiences of a woman. I believe this ordinance will begin a breakdown of what women have worked hard to create.

Genevieve King

Location: 92108, San Diego
Submitted At: 7:10am 04-26-22

I want my grandchildren protected from having any other transformed gender using the restrooms that would impact my grandchildren being psychologically impacted negatively by the wrong given gender walking into any public restrooms. I want safety being enforce as to protect children from the potential of being exposed by sexual predators using this transgender opportunity to males or hurt children. We as Christians have an obligation to do whatever it take to protect our children...

Kiana User

Location:
Submitted At: 7:06am 04-26-22

Oppose. This breaks down to basic biology. This is detrimental.

Vicki Whitmire

Location: 92019, El cajon
Submitted At: 7:05am 04-26-22

No matter what a human person may identify themselves as, science shows a man has XY chromosomes and a woman has XX chromosomes, this fact that cannot be changed and allowing someone to "think" they can be gender fluid is doing them mental harm. This lie destroys society as a whole and effects generations of children. There is proof of men dressing as women going into women only areas and abusing girls and women. This is discrimination of women and abuses our society. OPPOSE this Ordinance

SoonYoung Yoon

Location: 07030, Hoboken
Submitted At: 6:58am 04-26-22

This is an important ordinance for the entire country as the Cities for CEDAW campaign watches San Diego's progress. Bravo to you for your efforts. We will let the rest of the country know of the results...

Andrew Monroy

Location: 92107, San Diego
Submitted At: 6:56am 04-26-22

This is a terrible motion. This ordinance does the exact opposite of protect women from discrimination because it seeks to eliminate this gender altogether by including biological men identifying as women. Women of San Diego County (and the world) will see their safety impacted by ordinances such as this. When anyone can identify as a "woman", the result is there will be no real definition of a woman.

Christopher George

Location: 92019, El Cajon
Submitted At: 6:53am 04-26-22

The constitution of the United States gives ALL PEOPLE, within color, gender, or age, the same opportunity. Fair and equitable is equal opportunity, not equal outcomes. Why would the energy of the Board of Supervisors be focused on addressing and resolving many of the pressing issues that already exists within our City and communities? Our current laws provide whats is being proposed before us today, a support of this is reactionary, local events should not be influenced internationally.

Katy James

Location: 92054, Oceanside
Submitted At: 6:52am 04-26-22

I don't typically consider myself a feminist, but in this instance I absolutely do. If this passes, our lawmakers would be laying the groundwork to take away what so many women have fought for since the suffrage movement. I

believe this proposal puts women at risk. At risk of losing safe spaces, of being targeted by men, at risk of losing THEMSELVES. This is not the path to equality. I hope this Board considers the greater impact of passing this ordinance, instead of quieting the squeaky wheel.

Terry Gonzalez

Location: 92706, Santa Ana
Submitted At: 6:38am 04-26-22

I oppose this! You are redefining what a woman is to include men! Gender fluid and transgender do NOT need to be included. This is biology...not a government issue. Please do not do this! Vote no!

Mac Jerry

Location: 92115, San Diego
Submitted At: 6:35am 04-26-22

Men are NOT women. This ridiculous proposal will lead to women's rights being violated and disrespected. It will mean the end of women's sports. You can have men that identify as a woman, but that doesn't make them women. Ask the the pregnant women in women's prisons where this has been tried if the inmate that raped them was a man or a woman. What definitions like this, it will lead to men and women being housed together in prisons and more women abused. Stop the insanity. We are different.

Diane Sage

Location: 92010, Carlsbad
Submitted At: 6:03am 04-26-22

I adamantly oppose - stopping breaking down the value of a woman or women

Theresa Little

Location: 91901, Alpine
Submitted At: 5:48am 04-26-22

The laws on the books are sufficient. If there is a failure; it's a lack of enforcement. The Board should focus on helping women be safe from sexual predators, keeping our kids safe, keeping businesses open and growing. Quit trying to put your name on the front page of the next campaign ad. Woman are strong in San Diego and thrive on their own merits. Promote that; waiving a piece of paper is just another document filling up the landfill.

Lenny Maffei

Location: 92102, San diego
Submitted At: 5:36am 04-26-22

Wolf in sheeps clothing

Jessica Clabaugh

Location: 92086, Warner springs
Submitted At: 5:19am 04-26-22

It is appalling that the board would even consider this. This negates all progress on women's rights and opens the flood gates for perverts to infiltrate women's safe spaces under a farce of victimization. Please do not give in to the need to virtue signal by supporting this. Be an advocate for truth by not falling for these lies. Let's get some real work done.

Jeff Bates

Location: 92102, San Diego
Submitted At: 5:06am 04-26-22

This will do more harm than good. Virtue signaling gets us nowhere. We must oppose the radical changes to science and biology.

Gerald Palmes

Location: 92126, San diego
Submitted At: 3:49am 04-26-22

There are biological differences between a man and a woman. This bill is wrong

Oluwatoyin Embassey

Location: 92020, El Cajon
Submitted At: 2:46am 04-26-22

Equality and Equity is a human right

Katherine Colina

Location: 92154-4279, San Diego
Submitted At: 1:24am 04-26-22

Simple biology. A woman is a female, someone with xx chromosomes.

Ofelia Olguin

Location: 92154-1128, San Diego
Submitted At: 1:22am 04-26-22

This is delusional. Can a cat become a dog? Can a human become a cat or a dog if he/she chooses to be? Definately NOT. The more educated you become, the more irrational you have become.

There is only male and female, our of that context, is psychological. And people who agree to that should also seek psychological help.

Think about the safety of children, teenagers and women. Our ancestors never had this morbid problem.

John Hernandez

Location: 91913, Chula Vista
Submitted At: 12:37am 04-26-22

Gender is a biological construct, and absolutely not a sociological construct, as you mention in this ordinance. One's chromosomes XX or XY make them male or female from inception period. This ordinance set forth by the San Diego Board of Supervisors is a misuse and abuse of language, discrimination against biological women, and a sick and diabolical agenda! Board of Supervisors, the taxpayers of San Diego pay your salary! You work for us! We do not want this anti-family agenda! OPPOSE!

tim caneris

Location: 92071, Santee
Submitted At: 12:25am 04-26-22

I support what people do in their private lives 100% but institutionalizing this is wrong. In new Jersey, a transgendered male impregnated two females in the women's prison that he was sent to because he is identified as a woman despite having male anatomy. Yes it was consensual but isnt this why we have mens and womens detention facilities separated in the first place. In sports, can we blame women who dont want to compete against trans men? how would you feel? sports will forever be changed.

Julie Sleight

Location: 92011, Carlsbad
Submitted At: 12:24am 04-26-22

As a woman and mother of two girls I adamantly oppose this ordinance. It opens up the chance for disastorous consequences for biological women and girls by becoming more susceptible to predatory men posing as women. At the same time this is discriminating against biological women and setting them back by having to compete with biological males. While the name or vice of inclusivity is being expressed---there are many terrible consequences for biological women and girls. OPPOSE this ordinance.

Alvaro Celaya

Location: 91915, Chula Vista
Submitted At: 12:14am 04-26-22

Not something to be tampering with. The decision to impose a CEDAW ordinance can have disastrous consequences for biological women and young girls who would more easily become susceptible to predatory men posing as women. Just because a man decides he is a woman does not make him so and vice versa. God does not make mistakes ever! He chose your gender on earth for a specific purpose each with specific beautiful gifts assigned to each. I fear this will create many problems in our county. OPPOSE!

Joseph Weber

Location: 92065, Ramona
Submitted At: 11:57pm 04-25-22

CEDAW, A United Nations Treaty Can only be ratified by the United States Senate. They have refused to ratify this. As always you have the duty to Preserve, Protect and defend that Constitution for the United States of America as you took an oath to do. This BOS has continually overstepped its limited power, violated their oath of office and flaunted its arrogance against We The People and God. God Created man in his own image, He created them both male and female. Two genders not 150. ResignBOS

Marci Strange

Location: 92075, Solana Beach
Submitted At: 11:56pm 04-25-22

Bio men AKA 'Transgender,' cannot be allowed to be classified as bio women. They must not take away the same benefits that bio women have worked hard for--Particularly in sports competition b/c a man's bio body is an unfair advantage over bio women. Shared bathrooms & school showers have resulted in sexual assaults against bio women and women's jails w/Transgenders have resulted in pregnancies.

If they want to be a protected class, no problem, but keep TG's out of the ladies' rooms 4 safety.

Ricardo Wong

Location: 91911, Chula Vista
Submitted At: 11:29pm 04-25-22

A woman is a female created by God and not by man.

Shawn Burgh

Location: 92103, SAN DIEGO
Submitted At: 11:23pm 04-25-22

As a member of the transgender community, this ordinance, with its inclusive language, is exactly what San Diego should be passing. The goal set forth of gender and cultural equity is of paramount importance.

Vanessa Patman

Location: 92126, San Diego
Submitted At: 10:50pm 04-25-22

Oppose! A woman is a biological female and that's it. Biology 101

natalie Vaca

Location: 91910, Chula Vista
Submitted At: 10:45pm 04-25-22

A woman is a biological female with female internal organs, chromosomes and hormones. Women have worked too hard to get to where we are at now. Voting, Rights, freedoms of speech, who to marry, work etc... and now to be taken away by a Man who may "consider" himself to be a women!!! If that's the case then why not a white man who "considers" to be a black be labeled as one! Why not label a 50 year old women who considers herself a child to attend the classroom of a 2nd grader????!!!

Ashley Fasano

Location: 92108, San Diego
Submitted At: 10:39pm 04-25-22

Trans, intersex, and nonbinary people face intersectional barriers that make them uniquely vulnerable to inequities in economic development, health care, harassment, and housing and homelessness. The Tom Homann LGBTQ+ Law Association strongly advocates for a county-wide CEDAW ordinance which includes transgender and gender-nonconforming people, as this is integral to the county's stated goal of achieving greater gender and cultural equity, access and inclusivity for all San Diegans.

Stephanie Pena

Location: 92024, Encinitas
Submitted At: 10:39pm 04-25-22

I am adamantly opposed to the CEDAW Ordinance. As a woman, I am shocked at this ordinance and how it

discriminates against women. Anybody should realize the negative impacts that this ordinance would have. Women in shelters who have been abused by men will no longer have a sanctuary. The potential for abuse is outrageous. And anyone who saw the Women's NCAA championship knows that these bills are not lifting women up but setting women back. I will be following who votes for this bill. Thank you

Jeanne Brown

Location:

Submitted At: 10:31pm 04-25-22

My name is Jeanne Brown and I would like to encourage the San Diego County Board of Supervisors to adopt a local ordinance on the (CEDAW).

As we have seen in criminal justice ordinances, transparency, accountability and oversight are necessary for an effective CEDAW ordinance. We trust that the ordinance that county creates will include the necessary monitoring that will prevent problems in the future rather than only punish deeds already committed.

Thank you.

Nicole Hampton

Location: 92107, San Diego

Submitted At: 10:25pm 04-25-22

Thank you to the San Diego Board of Supervisors! Your leadership is seen and appreciated throughout the state and the nation. At the local level CEDAW provides a basic human rights framework of equity for women and ALL sexes and genders, and takes major strides forward in eliminating discrimination based on age, disabilities, race, and socio-economic status. This is a major step forward to improve governmental operations and support the programs, services and policies which support all of us.

Caitlyn Dramov

Location: 92106, San Diego

Submitted At: 10:23pm 04-25-22

This is actually discriminating against women and opposes the very fundamentals of who we are created uniquely to be. I strongly oppose this ordinance and believe that it devalues me as a woman.

Jane Marie

Location: 92078

Submitted At: 10:23pm 04-25-22

This is garbage. And beyond that, it is an assault against real women and real girls. May this be damned back to the place of delusion it came from. OPPOSE.

Apple Phillips

Location: 92130, San Diego

Submitted At: 10:22pm 04-25-22

A woman is born biologically female. All others who choose to identify as women actually result in further discrimination against women, especially in sports, as well as many other arenas. I am woman!

Rachael Siebenalet

Location: 93111, Santa Barbara

Submitted At: 10:16pm 04-25-22

As a woman, I cannot begin to believe how you would be supporting me to include in my definition - a man. It is absolutely intolerable and insane.

Rebecca Nava

Location: 92114, San Diego

Submitted At: 10:15pm 04-25-22

This bill DOES NOT protect biological women & young children. We've seen what this kind of behavior has already caused in the restrooms of schools & even in women's prisons. Pedophilia will be unleashed on all of our

children & we cannot have that happening in our state. Our women & children should have the state of CA protecting them & not making them victims of rape, abuse or pedophilia. I am a mother of 8 children & a grandmother of 19 children, & I implore you to PLEASE VOTE NO on this bill

Dean DeLuca

Location: 91978, San Diego
Submitted At: 10:08pm 04-25-22

This is a male centric ordinance that puts biological women's feelings second. Editing the definition of women to include biological males is absolutely absurd. This is NOT a value add for females. I strongly oppose the passing of this ordinance based on science and biology where chromosomes define sex. Women abused by men should not be subjected to them in shelters, or jails or any other female only space. There are no checks and balances here and if adopted it will end badly.

Joan Pernicano

Location: 92131, San Diego
Submitted At: 10:05pm 04-25-22

I oppose this ordinance on the basis of science. Science is definitely real: there are only two genders, male and female, XX and XY. If I sit in my garage all day, at the end of the day I am NOT a car no matter how much I want to be a Lexus! Enough of the mind/feelings games. Either you're a biological male or a biological female no matter how much you might want to be the opposite. Oh, BTW, I'd like to be a resident of Rancho Santa Fe. When are you going to honor MY wishes????

Janet Malme

Location: 92130, San Diego
Submitted At: 10:02pm 04-25-22

Gender is a fact of biology NOT "assigned" at birth. You are putting women at risk and at a distinct disadvantage in athletics, scholarships and awards. ALL males go through puberty and become bigger, stronger and faster. No amount of hormone therapy changes that! This ordinance will compromise the safety of women to accommodate the small percentage of men who are making a 'decision' to change. Stop pandering to groups trying to justify their life choices.

Crystal Irvin

Location: 92071, Santee
Submitted At: 10:00pm 04-25-22

This is discrimination against actual women to appease people with penises that FEEL like a woman. Trust the science...vote NO!

Andres Wong

Location: 91911-5219, Chula Vista
Submitted At: 9:58pm 04-25-22

San Diego County Supervisors:

A man may dress up to look like woman, or undergo surgery to look like a woman, or even take hormone blockers and other medications to look like woman. However, he can never change his DNA to become a woman. He will be merely a dressed up, cut up or drugged up man.

I oppose this ordinance, and so does every sane San Diegan I know.

I pray that your respective mothers, wives, or daughters, will not be raped by men in women-only spaces.

Oppose this ordinance.

Michael McCabe

Location: 91911, Chula Vista
Submitted At: 9:58pm 04-25-22

Please reject the CEDAW Ordinance as proposed. Sections 1(d) and 1(e) contain entirely inappropriate and unnecessary definitions pertaining to "assigned" gender and transgender women and men, "gender nonconforming" and "Intersex". This terminology should not be considered relevant to an ordinance intended to assure equal treatment and opportunities for women and girls in the County. Section 3 (b) includes a nebulous and meaningless terminology of "Intersectional Gender Analysis and Action.

Diana Gonzalez

Location: 92105, San Diego
Submitted At: 9:57pm 04-25-22

Women are women and men are men! Only two genders. VOTE NO agenda 34 This is dangerous for REAL WOMEN! Sick of this wicked agenda.

Abby Fischbeck

Location: 92025, Escondido
Submitted At: 9:54pm 04-25-22

I oppose this ordinance.

Patti Ewert

Location: 91902, Bonita
Submitted At: 9:53pm 04-25-22

This will further introduce biological men to be included in women's sports and activities. XX chromosome and XY chromosome create physically different humans. How those humans want to live their life is none of my business. But the separation in certain areas like sports is essential for females to have a fair opportunity. Stop the madness. There will never be equality when people are different- but there are other ways to make things fair. This is not one of those ways. I strongly oppose .

Lois Morera

Location: 91913, Chula Vista
Submitted At: 9:52pm 04-25-22

CEDAW sounds good but a deeper look shows it would be harmful to women. Men who think of themselves as women would be included with women and girls in bathrooms, sports, locker rooms, domestic violence shelters and prisons. Women and girls would lose rights and protection. Men and women are different. Their DNA is different. That is science.

Chantal Bryant

Location: 92057, Oceanside
Submitted At: 9:51pm 04-25-22

I oppose this bill.

Sara Ackerman

Location: 92019, El Cajon
Submitted At: 9:38pm 04-25-22

This is not supportive of biological women. It opens the door for pedophils to prey on young girls and men to abuse and molest women. Women will not be safe in public restrooms, gyms or in shelters for battered women. I ask that you vote no on this issue. Please protect young girls and women.

Teryn Inis

Location: 92040, Lakeside
Submitted At: 9:37pm 04-25-22

Although I fully support protecting girls and women, I do not support this ordinance. The writing is too vague and it allows an open door for those wanting to take advantage of it.

Elizabeth Ibarra

Location: 92105, San Diego
Submitted At: 9:34pm 04-25-22

It's wrong. A man could never be a women. Ever. It would put so many people (kids & women) in danger. This is an over reach. Stop it now.

Shawnah Leclair

Location: 92064, Poway
Submitted At: 9:29pm 04-25-22

Such a disrespect to women, I am embarrassed by our supposed leadership. This is wrong, I strongly oppose.

Christian Brasier

Location: 92117, San Diego
Submitted At: 9:26pm 04-25-22

Who will protect women against pervs and pedophiles ??
Should women just give up on sports after this abomination ?

Jessica Barros

Location: 92117, San Diego
Submitted At: 9:21pm 04-25-22

This is dangerous for women and little girls safety.

Johanna Gomez

Location: 91913
Submitted At: 9:19pm 04-25-22

I oppose. Do actually protect our daughters by NOT changing the definition of a woman. It is during times as this when we have to choose to do right and not go with the trend.

Lyndsay Neer

Location:
Submitted At: 9:16pm 04-25-22

Oppose.

Anthony Allred

Location: 92130, San Diego
Submitted At: 9:07pm 04-25-22

This is a joke. You supervisors that support this are a joke. Resign if you justify this as a good idea. You continue to ruin life for everyday San Diegans with your insistence on garbage like this.

We can truly protect actual women and girls by keeping fringe social engineering, mentally ill individuals and your personal ideologies out of San Diego.

Instead you insist on running the protection of our precious daughters and mothers into the ground through your vain attempt at relevance.

Nancy Czierski

Location: 92122, san diego
Submitted At: 9:04pm 04-25-22

Urge you to stop undermining women's and girls' rights. Men are now raping in women's prisons in California thanks to Scott Peters's bill. Men are taking women's places in sports. Children are being indoctrinated and mutilated and hooked on drugs for life. Changes in language facilitate these harmful outcomes. No one should be discriminated against, but women should not become the victims of gender dysphoric males. Protect women and girls. Woman = Adult Human Female

Martha Doiron

Location: 91913, Chula Vista
Submitted At: 9:02pm 04-25-22

I understand the Board will be voting on CEDAW that changes the definitions of women and girls to include men and boys. Males who self-identify as females will be allowed full access to girls and womens sports, girls and womens locker rooms, womens prisons, domestic violence shelters, etc., etc., etc ad nauseum. I pray the Board will use good sense and sensibility by voting NO on this radical new ordinance. Who would have thought at age 87 I'd ever have to put up with such crap!

Robin RhineMcDonald

Location: 92057, Oceanside

Submitted At: 8:51pm 04-25-22

Supervisors,

I am certain your desire is to not only to protect women from discrimination, but also to protect women.

The decision to redefine “woman” as it exists opens the door for countless casualties and harms to biological women as men become welcomed into women only spaces.

Not only that, but it is dishonoring and demeaning to reduce what it means to be a woman to a social construct. This is sexism at its finest.

As a female feminist, I STRONGLY oppose this.

Respectfully,
Robin

Rebecca Friesen

Location: 92026, Escondido

Submitted At: 8:51pm 04-25-22

This is a poor choice. A woman has a uterus and can bear children and a vagina. Please do not pass this new definition.

Brooke M

Location: 92026, Escondido

Submitted At: 8:51pm 04-25-22

OPPOSE

Lynn Harper

Location: 91941, La Mesa

Submitted At: 8:51pm 04-25-22

Strongly oppose! Men cannot and never will be able to give birth! Truth.

Rebekah Francis

Location: 92126, San Diego

Submitted At: 8:49pm 04-25-22

I oppose this bill. Gender is given at birth and you cannot change it. Men are men and women are women. It isn't biologically possible or logical to change your gender.

Peggy Vistercil

Location: 91901, Alpine

Submitted At: 8:48pm 04-25-22

I oppose this ordinance which undermines the clear biological evidence that there are only two sexes, men and women. It is dangerous to allow trans men to compete against women in sports and to enter women's locker rooms and restroom.

I absolutely oppose this attack on women, and urge it be voted down.

Ivonne Martinez

Location: 92105, San Diego

Submitted At: 8:47pm 04-25-22

It's ridiculous, we cant go against nature

Bettina Madsen

Location: 95624, Elk Grove

Submitted At: 8:45pm 04-25-22

What about women's rights?? It seems like they're losing them. Men are biologically different from women.

They're built different. It's not okay for trans-women to run against biological women in sports. It's not morally ok. Men generally run faster than women, so why would it be ok to have them run against each other in a sport? Do you see women competing on men's sports? You can't take the biological makeup of a male completely out of males. Males also do not have ovaries.

Shannon WhaleyGlaudini

Location: 92065, Ramona
Submitted At: 8:41pm 04-25-22

As woman, mother, wife, daughter, athlete and professional I do not support the elimination of the female definition or use in my everyday life. Women and girls need the recognition of who they are and the ability to be female as the miraculous definition that it is without including others who wish to identify or feel female when they are not.

Jenna Cooney

Location: 92056, Oceanside
Submitted At: 8:39pm 04-25-22

Oppose this bill!

Pam Tortorici

Location: 92124, San Diego
Submitted At: 8:37pm 04-25-22

Biological females are the only gender that can give birth to another human being. This ordinance discriminates against them and is chauvinistic in promoting biological males invading the space of biological women. Biological males can self declare/identify as female, or may in fact have trans dysphoria, to intrude into the world of women. This ordinance will eliminate the true meaning of the biological female. Who protects young biological females from a biological male invading her space?

Karen Morgida

Location: 92084, Vista
Submitted At: 8:37pm 04-25-22

No comment needs to be made because there really is no discussion needed. Girls are girls and boys are boys. Men SHOULD NOT be consider women. What is this world coming to?!?!

Collette Forshan

Location: 92127, San Diego
Submitted At: 8:36pm 04-25-22

Strongly oppose.

Val Francis

Location: 92126, San Diego
Submitted At: 8:32pm 04-25-22

Strongly oppose this bill. Where does this end? There are only two genders; male and female.

John Fitzgerald

Location: 92026, Escondido
Submitted At: 8:31pm 04-25-22

Women arent discriminated against in San Diego as a whole. A woman is an adult biological female. You are allowing men to say they are females when they are not, even if transitioned. You have allowed politics to erode your morals. Womens rights are becoming scarcer as we see in the news daily. You are responsible to protect your constituents, not to come up with absurd ways to maintain political power.

Alex Lam

Location: 92021, El Cajon
Submitted At: 8:31pm 04-25-22

I am a long time resident of San Diego and STRONGLY SUPPORT this agenda item. Every transphobic

comment on this thread can be boiled down to 'I don't understand biology' and 'I fear change'. Do not let hatred and bigotry erase and invalidate trans-women. Lift up and support all of our mothers, sisters, daughters, wives, and nieces.

Natasha Horn

Location: 92071, Santee
Submitted At: 8:30pm 04-25-22

100% oppose this. This is just wrong!

Angela Zamorano

Location: 92019, El Cajon
Submitted At: 8:30pm 04-25-22

This is ridiculous! The definition of a woman should not be defined and doing so discriminates against women. This is not science!! According to science and doctors if you are born with certain body parts and certain chromosomes then you are either a man or woman. Identifying as something does not change science.

Kathy Rutledge

Location: 92084, Vista
Submitted At: 8:28pm 04-25-22

I oppose!!

Rebecca Hammock

Location: 92024, Encinitas
Submitted At: 8:25pm 04-25-22

I am a lifetime resident of San Diego and STRONGLY OPPOSE this agenda item. Reducing women down to feelings or genitals is anti-science and anti-women. This agenda item puts our mothers, wives, and daughters at risk. Frankly, this is a disgusting attack on women and MUST be shot down. I urge you abstain from or actively oppose this bill.

Andrea Petray

Location: 92127, San Diego
Submitted At: 8:23pm 04-25-22

Strongly oppose - This hurts girls and makes the community less safe.

M Hammock

Location: 92024, Encinitas
Submitted At: 8:21pm 04-25-22

I strongly oppose the ordinance which redefines "women." Why do you want to humiliate real women? Why do you hate us so much? Why are you demanding change when real women do not want it. You either do not care, or do not know any better. STOP.

Amy Bickle

Location: 92026, Escondido
Submitted At: 8:21pm 04-25-22

This classification is harmful to women and potentially opens biological women to violence, discrimination and disadvantages. There are already laws in place and the UN ordinance has no basis or authority here.

Susan Francis

Location: 92126, San Diego
Submitted At: 8:18pm 04-25-22

I strongly oppose this Agenda Item #34 as it will endanger girls and women (those who are biologically female, consisting of female reproductive organs and chromosomes). Males should never use the same bathroom or lockers as females, nor should they be housed together in jail/prisons/shelters, etc., nor should they be allowed to play/compete in women's sports. A man, no matter how much he identifies as a woman will never be a woman. This is discrimination against biological women. Vote NO!

Blake Beckcom

Location: 92019, El Cajon
Submitted At: 8:15pm 04-25-22

There are only 2 genders: Male and Female. Anything outside of that, is discrimination against actual males and females. There is no such thing as choosing your gender. You are indoctrinating children whom eat crayons, buggers and glue via mind control and peer pressures to think there innny should be an outtie or vice versa. Gender identity and gender expression terms need to be dropped. Women and girls are those that are born female with a god given innny. & X chromosome. Strongly Oppose

Mindy Knudsen

Location: 92025, Escondido
Submitted At: 8:12pm 04-25-22

I strongly oppose Agenda Item 34. This is garbage disguised as the protection of women. These changes would eliminate what it truly means to be a woman, undermine the decades of work women have invested in the fight for equality, and will grant yet another victory to the patriarchy. Protect women by opposing this!

Maggie Hammock

Location: 92024, Encinitas
Submitted At: 8:12pm 04-25-22

I am a lifetime resident of San Diego county and I STRONGLY OPPOSE this agenda item. Reducing women down to feelings or genitals is anti-science and anti-women. This agenda item puts our mothers, wives, and daughters at risk. Frankly, this is a disgusting attack on women that MUST be shot down. I urge you to please abstain from or actively oppose this bill.

Dalila Rangel

Location: 92113, San Diego
Submitted At: 8:11pm 04-25-22

Please oppose this bill. You cannot redefine what a woman is since biology has already defined what a woman is. The two sexes are differentiated as females, who have ovaries and produce eggs, and males, who have testes and produce sperm. Females have XX chromosomes and males have XY chromosomes. It's that simple. Plus This is so discriminatory towards women.

E V

Location: 92117, San Diego
Submitted At: 8:10pm 04-25-22

Please listen to the people. Thank you.

David Patchin

Location: 91941, La Mesa
Submitted At: 8:09pm 04-25-22

This does not need redefining. Women are born with an X-chromosome, period. No one else is a woman.

Sharon Reeve

Location: 91941, La Mesa
Submitted At: 8:05pm 04-25-22

Biological women, those born with an X chromosome, are the only women.

CE Rider

Location: 92107, San Diego
Submitted At: 8:04pm 04-25-22

I oppose the ordinance because it includes man in the definition of women and girls. The violence/discrimination directed at women by the hands and policies of males is unique to that portion of humanity that is biologically female. This ordinance puts the rights of men who "identify" as women before the rights of biological women. This is wrong. This breach of women's and girls' spaces by humans with penises put women and girls at risk of further violence, discrimination and disadvantage.

Deborah Baczynski

Location: 91945, Lemon Grove
Submitted At: 8:01pm 04-25-22

Webster's does a fine job of defining "women." No need for you to do any different. And it does not protect women. Women already have protection from discrimination from the Civil Rights Act of 1962, the Fair Employment & Housing Act (California) and Title IX (Federal).

Candace Gillespie

Location: 92399, Yucaipa
Submitted At: 7:59pm 04-25-22

A woman is someone who is born with the X chromosome PERIOD! Feelings are fluid not sex/gender.

Heather Sudduth

Location: 93436, Lompoc
Submitted At: 7:58pm 04-25-22

Do not take away what womanhood is by allowing men to also be "woman". This is harmful. Oppose this.

Leianne Jenkins

Location: 92028, Fallbrook
Submitted At: 7:58pm 04-25-22

I don't care what people identify as if you were born a male, you are a male, period and vice versa! That means men can't play in woman's sports, or go to the bathroom in woman's bathrooms, or be put in woman's prisons. All of this is wrong on so many levels.

Victoria Villagomez

Location: 90249, Gardena
Submitted At: 7:58pm 04-25-22

I OPPOSE!!

June Nippo

Location: Walnut Creek
Submitted At: 7:58pm 04-25-22

WTAF? NO. NO. NO. NO. NO. Like any of you care? That this would even be proposed means you don't.

Mick Hammock

Location: 92116, San Diego
Submitted At: 7:56pm 04-25-22

I am a lifetime resident of San Diego and STRONGLY OPPOSE this agenda item. Reducing women down to feelings or genitals is anti-science and anti-women. This agenda item puts our mothers, wives, and daughters at risk. Frankly, this is a disgusting attack on women and MUST be shot down. I urge you abstain from or actively oppose this bill.

Elaine A

Location: 92101
Submitted At: 7:53pm 04-25-22

This is all so insane. Please vote NO - the definition of WOMEN should not be changed or snuck into this nonsense. How far we have fallen in CA...

Shay Stephens

Location:
Submitted At: 7:53pm 04-25-22

This is ludicrous! When is someone with ANY sense going to see that this is ridiculous! No. No. No.

Kelley Brymer

Location: 93103, Santa Barbara

Submitted At: 7:52pm 04-25-22

No need to redefine what a woman is. What a waste of time.

Alexis Foster

Location: 92084, Vista

Submitted At: 7:49pm 04-25-22

As a woman and mom of 3 girls, I strongly oppose this agenda item. I can't think of anything more oppressive and entitled than a man saying he can become a woman. The verbiage in this agenda does not defend women, it detracts and harms. Please do not put the safety of every woman at stake with this bill.

Bill Difley

Location: 92019, El Cajon

Submitted At: 7:46pm 04-25-22

This ordinance, which purports to support women, does the exact opposite. Allowing trans men— I.e., biological men claiming to identify as women—to compete against biological women in sports and to enter women's restrooms and locker rooms is harmful and downright dangerous to women!

I absolutely oppose this attack on women, and urge it be voted down.

Alison Dommers

Location: 92037, La Jolla

Submitted At: 7:46pm 04-25-22

This is so discriminatory towards women. It's shocking that on the 50th anniversary of title 9, we are regressing back to men taking over safe spaces for women. It's fact that men have biological advantages over females, not debatable. In addition, allowing men into private spaces designated for women creates a danger factor by creating an unintended opportunity for crimes to be committed by men identifying as women. Find other accommodations for the trans community. This isn't acceptable.

J H

Location: 92064, Poway

Submitted At: 7:40pm 04-25-22

Do not vote in favor of the new proposed ordinance for people to approve men and women using whichever bathroom, locker room they identify. This does NOT protect women and children from predators and rapist. This ordinance erases the beauty and uniqueness of women and men.

Margaret B

Location: 92014, Del Mar

Submitted At: 7:39pm 04-25-22

As a mom of several girls that play sports, I ask you please do NOT allow transgender male-to-female athletes to play on girls teams. It is not fair. Girls (XX) do not have the same physical strength as transgender (XY). Just look at recent happenings in NCAA swimming. My daughters deserve to have a fair chance at competition. I believe that every person should be valued and treated fairly. I do NOT believe in discrimination. Maybe they should have transgender-only competition?

Quentyn Luna

Location: 92590, Fallbrook

Submitted At: 7:34pm 04-25-22

For the sake of women rights, I strongly oppose another attack from men taking more from women. My I worry for my daughter to grow up in a world where to be a woman can be defined by a man.

Please abstain or oppose this bill as my representative.

Thank you

Jessica Gilbert

Location: 92028, Fallbrook

Submitted At: 7:33pm 04-25-22

I am writing to voice my HUGE concern and opposition to San Diego County redefining the definition of woman. I

have two daughters and teach acceptance for all humanity, but don't think it's safe to blur the lines of penis vs. vagina in sports, schools, prisons, and so on. This ordinance is far more explicit than anything in California state law, and will actually take rights and opportunities away from women and girls while putting them at MORE risk. Vote NO!

Donna Live

Location: 91740, Glendora
Submitted At: 7:31pm 04-25-22

This is insane garbage! I am a woman who proudly birthed and breastfed 3 beautiful children. I have had cramps and a period every month since I was 10. I have to have Pap smears yearly to check for ovarian cancer. I am a daughter, a sister, a wife, and a mother and for you to try to take all this away from me—from women—is the highest form of disgrace.

Victoria Winkler

Location: 92127, San diego
Submitted At: 7:26pm 04-25-22

1st off, I don't see the necessity of an ordinance implementing a UN treaty. We have civil rights/anti discr. laws already in place. Concerning is the loose definition to be used, "Women and girls" shall mean those who identify as women and girls, including transgender women & gender non-conforming". I agree that no one should be discriminated against in general society & wkplace, certain elements such as jails, shelters & womens/grls sports deserve a more nuanced definition. Vote No!

Julieta D

Location: 95121, San Jose
Submitted At: 7:22pm 04-25-22

Soy neutral

RobertMelissa Kench

Location: 92014, Del Mar
Submitted At: 7:22pm 04-25-22

Changing the 'definition' of a woman ultimately discriminates against woman (the real ones who can actually menstruate and give birth). Men are free to identify as women but it doesn't make them women. The needs of the very, very, very few do not outweigh of the needs of the many in this case. Don't you have more pressing items to focus your time and our taxpayer dollars on or have you simply become an arm of the far left agenda most of us don't want? Pathetic.

Stacey Baker

Location: 92010, Carlsbad
Submitted At: 7:22pm 04-25-22

This is taking things to a completely new level. A woman is not woman because she "feels" like one. A woman had dna wired into every cell that determines their biology as a woman. Stop making biology political. This will create a complete unsafe environment for all women who will no longer have the protections or privacy required to feel safe from men in public restrooms and locker rooms. Not to mention the disaster to sports of all kinds. This has to end!!! Strongly oppose

Michelle Valenzuela

Location: 92592, Temecula
Submitted At: 7:21pm 04-25-22

Honestly horrid. Women are those who biologically have XX chromosomes. Stop trying to dilute actual women.

Kathryn Chambers

Location: 90631, La Habra
Submitted At: 7:21pm 04-25-22

Please oppose this bill. Since biology has determined what each sex is, please do not redefine what a woman or man

Renae Jergens

Location:

Submitted At: 7:19pm 04-25-22

You cannot redefine a woman. A woman has ovaries, periods, breasts naturally, children naturally & breast feeds them. If a man wants to dress as a woman & act as a woman that is fine but he is still a man, born with a penis, balls & none of the biological organs a women was born with. Taking away a natural womans rights by including men is discriminatory & unconstitutional. Woman faught for our rights many years ago, you are now trying to diminish & destroy everything our ancestors faught for.

Alicia Varner

Location: 92040, Lakeside

Submitted At: 7:16pm 04-25-22

Please, the people of SD urge you to oppose this ordinance.

Ashley Sinderud

Location: 92070, Santa Ysabel

Submitted At: 7:16pm 04-25-22

Oppose. Discriminates against WOMEN.

Kahnoush Mokhbery

Location: 91914, Chula Vista

Submitted At: 7:13pm 04-25-22

K M

No on #34 with respect to all human beings, they will need their own rights and not getting mixed in with women's rights! This ordinance sets up additional discrimination against women by allowing men to compete with women as a woman.

Doris Sherman

Location: 91901, Alpine

Submitted At: 7:09pm 04-25-22

No no no to transgender man

Jenae McIntyre

Location: 92040, Lakeside

Submitted At: 7:08pm 04-25-22

Oppose. This ordinance actually does the exact thing it states it's trying to prevent... it discriminates against women.

L Nichols

Location: 92126, San Diego

Submitted At: 7:05pm 04-25-22

CA Dems quietly passed SB 179 during the pandemic that lets any man regardless of transitioning or not declare himself to be a woman. This type of surreptitious legislation conflating sex with gender is how we ended with male rapists in female prisons and males competing with females in women's sports. Women are not thoughts, feelings or a collection of stereotypes. We are a physiology and having this physiology has consequences in this world. Stop taking policy directives from social media.

Rebecca Martinez

Location: 92154, San Diego

Submitted At: 7:03pm 04-25-22

I oppose. There are already laws in place for opposition of discrimination. This opens the door for many other points not being addressed here. You can not use a blanket statement such as discrimination and create unsafe situations for our young girls. (example: restrooms/locker rooms) Instead of a quick vote on something with a hidden agenda, why not have an open forum and open the conversation about something that could have such dire consequences for the youth,

Deb O

Location: 92115, San Diego
Submitted At: 7:02pm 04-25-22

Let's fix some real problems such as homelessness, crime & pollution.

Doreen ORiley

Location: 91977, Spring Valley
Submitted At: 7:01pm 04-25-22

County Board of Supervisors,

Please vote to oppose CEDAW. Instead of protecting the rights of women and girls, CEDAW takes opportunities away from women and girls while also putting them at risk. This ordinance will give biological men and boys full access to girls and women's sports, locker rooms, bathrooms and women's prisons. While this ordinance claims to eliminate discrimination against girls and women and protect women and girls, it does quite the opposite.

Keary Menzies

Location: 91901, Alpine
Submitted At: 6:52pm 04-25-22

Not sure why the USA would follow the UN on anything regarding rights for persons. The USA is one of the leaders in human rights.

Heather Olson

Location:
Submitted At: 6:51pm 04-25-22

I believe all people should be treated kindly and should have access to activities & services. However, this agenda item is totally misleading. Under the claim of "inclusivity," this ordinance would change the definition of women to include men & boys who identify as female - giving them full access to womens' & girls' sports, restrooms, locker rooms, etc. This does nothing to reduce discrimination - it takes away the rights & safety of women & girls. This is unethical and dangerous. VOTE NO!!!

Zoe Seder

Location: 60093, Winnetka
Submitted At: 6:41pm 04-25-22

Oppose

Kristi Duffer

Location: 92009, Carlsbad
Submitted At: 6:39pm 04-25-22

God created two genders. To twist that and confuse children is absolutely cruel. If you vote this in you will be on the wrong side of history.

Donald Hunter

Location: 91915, Chula Vista
Submitted At: 6:35pm 04-25-22

This ordinance is a nightmare for women and girls.

Edy Johnson

Location:
Submitted At: 6:33pm 04-25-22

No on #34. There's good reason CEDAW has remained unratified for 42 years. Just because other cities/counties have taken action & SD County "took action" on 11/16/21, doesn't mean we have to say yes. "Today's action does not have a fiscal impact" is true, but disingenuous. The CAO delineated numerous steps to be taken. An estimated cost should be disclosed. SD County has a history of reverse eating an elephant. We approve elephant pieces not knowing the size of the beast being created. No on 34

teresa wang

Location: 92129, San Diego
Submitted At: 6:20pm 04-25-22

I fully support the ORDINANCE TO PROVIDE FOR THE LOCAL IMPLEMENTATION OF THE UNITED NATIONS CONVENTION ON THE ELIMINATION OF ALL FORMS OF DISCRIMINATION AGAINST WOMEN (CEDAW)

Ranie Hunter

Location: 91915, Chula Vista
Submitted At: 6:16pm 04-25-22

I implore you to reject this ordinance. You must protect our children and the family values of our community. This would set back the great progress in womens' rights and hurt girls. Please represent all your constituents and VOTE NO

Fiona Raveche

Location: 94941, Mill Valley
Submitted At: 6:14pm 04-25-22

I am 8 and know what is right. Thank you for making gender equity the norm. Thank you Board for confronting discrimination of women and girls and support of the ordinance that makes the value of equality the local law. CEDAW recognizes traditional gender roles and stereotypes must be eliminated, so girls like me have equal access and protection, equal pay, and opportunities. Being inclusive promotes respect for all - even those people who don't know what's right and who oppose a fair chance.

Patrick Guarracino

Location: 91932, Imperial Beach
Submitted At: 6:13pm 04-25-22

Can not support as is. I support anti discrimination ordinance for women but I believe this revision actually discriminates against women. The intent is honorable but I believe the unintended consequences leave women vulnerable.
Thank you

AshleyRose Wentworth

Location: 95020, Gilroy
Submitted At: 5:57pm 04-25-22

This success of this ordinance rests on funding a gender-analysis for data-driven decisions, as well as designating an oversight body. Independent oversight is an accountability tool that should fully support. It is not reactive, but proactive, allowing for decisive action to eliminate discrimination, and results in developing greater community trust in the collaborative process. Oversight supports and amplifies the Board's capacity for outreach, advocacy, and education of CEDAW initiatives.

Brian Smith

Location: 92084, Vista
Submitted At: 5:55pm 04-25-22

I'm all for eliminating discrimination against women (and any other person) but I'm not for changing the definition of a women. This ordinance sets up additional discrimination against women by allowing men to compete with women as a woman.

Ann Davis

Location: 92109, San Diego
Submitted At: 5:52pm 04-25-22

I strongly oppose Agenda item 34. This does not help women in any way. Agenda 34 will tear down all the rights women have fought to be protected equally for centuries in a fair and just manner and kept safe. Women's rights must be upheld for future our generations. This is a travesty. It is totally wrong and goes against all America stands for as "One Nation Under God ... with liberty and justice for all". It is morally and spiritually evil!

Ashley Raveche

Location: 94941, Mill Valley

Submitted At: 5:45pm 04-25-22

The county's commitment to adopting a CEDAW ordinance should be both applauded and modeled by other counties. The ordinance has the potential to accelerate gender justice in economic development, the criminal legal system, voting rights, civic engagement, health care, and act as a barrier to gender-based violence and harassment, as well as a bridge to housing and homelessness. Adopting a CEDAW ordinance creates a roadmap for ending violence against women within San Diego County

Malissa Thomas

Location: 92107, SAN DIEGO

Submitted At: 5:44pm 04-25-22

Trans and gender nonconforming women are women. Period.

All women—including trans and gender nonconforming women—are vulnerable to harm due to a disturbing lack of gender equity in myriad arenas of life. Local efforts to investigate, analyze and resolve those inequities are vital to keeping women and girls safe from exploitation and abuse.

Citlaly Bojorges

Location: 92127, San Diego

Submitted At: 5:26pm 04-25-22

I strongly oppose agenda 34!

Nora Lasalle

Location: 9913, Chula Vista

Submitted At: 5:16pm 04-25-22

Biological females should have the right to have biological female only spaces that do not include biological males. This ordinance will allow biological males into female only spaces. This ordinance strays from science and changes the meaning of woman from biologically female to woman identifying. My biologically female granddaughters deserve their spaces respected and preserved!

Amy Strelic

Location: 92021, El Cajon

Submitted At: 5:07pm 04-25-22

I 100% oppose agenda 34 as a daughter, wife , mother and grandmother and 50 year SD county resident. We are not the United Nations and this does not help women in anyway.

Molly Wilkinson

Location: 95630, Folsom

Submitted At: 5:03pm 04-25-22

Please do not let this change. It is unjust to all won victories for women. Please do not allow this as it gives men the opportunity to compete, abuse and undermine women in the name of being a woman. It is not right.

Jenni Prisk

Location: 92191, San Diego

Submitted At: 4:55pm 04-25-22

A transgender woman who lives as a female has already been through months, possibly years, of severe discrimination and sometimes violence because she adheres to her true identity. This is not an overnight decision she has made lightly. She does not become a threat to other women. The Ordinance includes all "who identify as female." The City and County of San Diego can lead the way to removing implicit bias. I urge the BOS to retain the clause that includes transgender women.

Mark Fitzgerald

Location: 92040, Lakeside

Submitted At: 4:52pm 04-25-22

Completely oppose this. This is going too far.

Martha Spinler

Location: 92115, San Diego
Submitted At: 4:49pm 04-25-22

I STRONGLY oppose Agenda 34. Under no circumstances should the city of San Diego come into agreement with this. It is set to destroy all that has been accomplished in the fairness, safety, and opportunity for women in the workplace, in sports, and anywhere women need to protect themselves from male predators. In addition to that, it is morally and spiritually sick!!!

C S

Location: 92130, san diego
Submitted At: 4:42pm 04-25-22

I oppose item 34. This is not an ordinance to promote equality for women, but to reinforce another agenda that men can be women. This is not promoting equality for women. Has a study been conducted in the city of San Diego to identify the need for this ordinance to be pushed with the inclusion of transgender men? Where is the data to justify the language and ordinance? It is wrong to categorize women and men in the same category. As a woman I do not support this ordinance.

Jean Fort

Location: 92037, La Jolla
Submitted At: 4:41pm 04-25-22

I strongly support this proposed ordinance.

J M

Location: 92111, San Diego
Submitted At: 4:39pm 04-25-22

I disagree. This is anti-feminist and anti-biology.

Kiley Artukovich

Location: 92037, La jolla
Submitted At: 4:39pm 04-25-22

I strongly oppose this agenda item. The language used is not honest and in no way supports true equality for women. This Bill allowing men to classify themselves as women is wrong and unfair. Women are being "disqualified " by this current movement of gender fluidity. Please vote no. There has to be a better way to truly represent women.

Niza Brown

Location: 92107, San Diego
Submitted At: 4:35pm 04-25-22

In regards to Agenda Item 34: I strongly oppose adding men to the description of women. In fact, men are not women and this is backed by science. This lunacy is not for the protection of women at all. Women will be harmed by this nonsense. Men who want to surgically change their bodies to become women will be harmed by this as well. Children who are being taught this at a young age will be most harmed. Stop the nonsense! No politician backing anything like this will ever get my vote.

Louie Gutierrez

Location: 91977, Spring Vly
Submitted At: 4:32pm 04-25-22

This is good

Ashley Clarke

Location: 92056, Oceanside
Submitted At: 4:22pm 04-25-22

I STRONGLY OPPOSE this bill!!!! This is SO dangerous for women and girls, and a total step backwards for equality among women. Your constituents DO NOT want this, do NOT pass this awful, immoral, and unethical bill that places all girls and women in unfair and potentially unsafe situations!

Kimberly Hawkins

Location: 92078, San Marcos
Submitted At: 4:20pm 04-25-22

Under no circumstances should the city of San Diego come into agreement with this nonsense. For the sake of safety, fairness and opportunity of the young female generation, I STRONGLY oppose this bill.

Gwen Beckxom

Location: 92019, El CJon
Submitted At: 4:18pm 04-25-22

I oppose Agenda item 34! This is not for girls/women, it's AGAINST them and is unfair to say the least! It also places girls and women in unsafe and dangerous environments! You KNOW this is wrong. Act with integrity and vote against this ordinance!

Jane LaSalle

Location: 91941, La Mesa
Submitted At: 4:16pm 04-25-22

I STRONGLY oppose.

Daniela LaSalle

Location: 92075, Solana Beach
Submitted At: 4:04pm 04-25-22

This is anti-feminist.

Raquel Mittman

Location: 92065, Ramona
Submitted At: 3:59pm 04-25-22

We must all stand up and OPPOSE these ordinances that LIE to the women and girls in our county, state and nation. Allowing men to be represented as women continues the discrimination against women and their God given bodies. Both sexes have their strengths and weaknesses. Let's not cross the line of what is right and wrong. This ordinance is WRONG and does NOTHING to help girls and women. VOTE NO!!!

Sarah Adams

Location: 92126, San Diego
Submitted At: 3:59pm 04-25-22

I support the adoption of CEDAW in San Diego and urge the Board of Supervisors to adopt this long overdue ordinance that would continue with progress already being made in the County to advance gender equity and eliminate discrimination against women and girls. When women and girls receive equal access to opportunities to thrive and achieve their fullest potential, families, communities, and the economy are all strengthened - everyone benefits!

Lessa Wolfe

Location: 92127, San Diego
Submitted At: 3:58pm 04-25-22

This ordinance is incorrectly titled- if implemented, as currently worded, it would PROMOTE DISCRIMINATION AGAINST WOMEN. The ordinance places women & girls in harmful & dangerous environments. It would effectively reverse 50 years of advancements of women's rights in regards to equal athletic opportunities in education & sports for women afforded under Title IX.

Kelly K-Hamo

Location:
Submitted At: 3:56pm 04-25-22

Strongly OPPOSE...you cannot change the DNA of anyone, born a male or female! Anything else is a choice!

Catherine Taylor

Location: 92054, Oceanside
Submitted At: 3:47pm 04-25-22

I am 1000% opposed to CEDAW. Since when do we give away our rights and sign anything generated by the corrupt United Nations!

Women everyday are being discriminated against by people and agencies who are trying to blur the definition of a woman. A man who tries to look like or physically become a woman...is still a man...period. You are born either a female or male...period. Stop the insanity and protect women...and vote NO on this crazy idiotic "unAmerican" proposal.

Corinna Duncan

Location: 91913, Chula Vista
Submitted At: 3:44pm 04-25-22

I oppose.

Sinead NiGhabhlain

Location: 92007, Cardiff
Submitted At: 3:43pm 04-25-22

I strongly support the adoption and implementation of CEDAW. It is long overdue.

David M Hodges

Location:
Submitted At: 3:40pm 04-25-22

Please vote no on this agenda item. See my comments in opposition at

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1WKo7DoiS4oVHLn_b23yq1Og1qA6uJU2T/view?usp=sharing

Thank you for your time.

Dianne Belk

Location: 92101, SAN DIEGO
Submitted At: 3:36pm 04-25-22

I support passage CEDAW ordinance to help girls achieve equality.

Change Section 3 - CONSISTENTLY identify County Commission on the Status of Women and Girls, especially, 5 Year Countywide Intersectional Gender Equity Action Plan, Section 3D.

Without this modification, the ordinance will not meet UN CEDAW best practices and could risk our being an example NOT TO BE FOLLOWED!

Passing an ordinance that omits consistent external oversight creates a situation akin to the FOX GUARDING THE HENHOUSE!

Susan Miller

Location: 91901, Alpine
Submitted At: 3:32pm 04-25-22

Strongly OPPOSE the adoption of CEDAW. The Board of Supervisors is wrong to even consider adopting CEDAW.

Rebecca Toth

Location: 91945, Lemon Grove
Submitted At: 3:32pm 04-25-22

I absolutely oppose this ordinance! There is man and woman , not a man becoming a woman to then be able to compete in womens sports! You have lost all sanity if this goes through! I ask you vote NO!

Deborah Smith

Location:
Submitted At: 3:29pm 04-25-22

I oppose item 34. It is more discrimination against women. Men and women are biologically different.

Nicole Cosner

Location: 92040, Lakeside

Submitted At: 3:28pm 04-25-22

There are only two genders and that has been scientific fact since the beginning of time. To change the definition of the word "women" to include men is a lie. Language matters. Truth matters. Justice always prevails.

Rhea Kuhlman

Location: 92101, San Diego

Submitted At: 3:26pm 04-25-22

Please support codification of equal rights for women and girls in San Diego County by passing the CEDAW ordinance.

Latoya Ledbetter

Location: 92111, San Diego

Submitted At: 3:25pm 04-25-22

I strongly oppose this ordinance, even as a member of the (L)GBT community.

It is completely regressive.

Females have the right to single-sex spaces.

We will not cede that right for the feelings of males who identify as women.

We will not give up our privacy, dignity and *safety* for the feelings of males who identify as women.

This state is throwing girls and women under the bus left and right, under the claim of "inclusivity".

We won't capitulate.

Aurelie Lien

Location: 91911, Chula Vista

Submitted At: 3:23pm 04-25-22

I am all for Women's rights but not for the redefinition of who a woman is. A woman is defined since the beginning of time as a human being born with female organs. If this definition was to change, so would the meaning of this bill. My rights as a woman would be undermined if you approved this bill. I urge you to oppose this bill for the protection of all women. If we can't agree on a definition of women, you should not vote on such a divisive and critical bill.

Lauren Miles

Location: 92021, El Cajon

Submitted At: 3:22pm 04-25-22

I strongly oppose CEDAW. This is an attack on women and would take away rights, safety, and opportunities from my daughter. For all women!

Gina Randall

Location: 91942, La Mesa

Submitted At: 3:21pm 04-25-22

A man is not a woman or a woman is not a man. Please stop the lies.

Veronica Ord

Location: 919178, Spring Valley

Submitted At: 3:20pm 04-25-22

A better solution needs to be proposed so that all groups feel accommodated. Those born male at birth should not be allowed in bathrooms assigned to those assigned female at birth. The solution is either provide all groups their spaces OR take off "men" and "women" labels from bathrooms and other women places. Transgender women should NOT be lumped in with biological women and make biological women no longer comfortable in their space.

Kathleen Gallafragh

Location: 91906

Submitted At: 3:18pm 04-25-22

I strongly oppose CEDAW. This is a direct affront to women everywhere in all walks of life. We've worked so hard in our fields. A biological male needs to stay in his lane instead of ruining our achievements and endangering our

daughters. Giving permission for these situations has already resulted in a massive rise in rapes and destroying lifelong dreams. If they want to compete fairly, given them their own league, restrooms, etc only for biological males who think they should be female.

Randee Snyder

Location: 92865, Orange
Submitted At: 3:18pm 04-25-22

Please vote no against this radical narrative. You can change the definition of a woman to include a man. BUT, you can not change the sex of a man to become a woman. In this case the words mean nothing. A man is still a man. "In the beginning God created them male and female." Even if you don't believe in God, there has always been a male and female. Sin has corrupted the minds of so many people and leaders, so that so many now believe lies instead of truth.

Ana Cosmo

Location:
Submitted At: 3:15pm 04-25-22

I strongly oppose this! Women fought years for their rights! The biological reality is more important than feelings. Females deserve their privat spaces from males.

Lilla Harris

Location: 92064, Poway
Submitted At: 3:14pm 04-25-22

I am a woman - a female
There is 1 definition of a woman
And that doesn't include someone who was born with a penis and has decided to change their gender.

Judith Bratton

Location:
Submitted At: 3:13pm 04-25-22

Oppose

John Tait

Location: 92119, San Diego
Submitted At: 3:12pm 04-25-22

Strongly oppose this ordinance. I have not the words to describe the lunacy of this. Stop this now!

Kathy Frisbie

Location:
Submitted At: 3:11pm 04-25-22

I oppose ANY consideration of approving a CEDAW ordinance in SD County. Your intention is not to reduce or eliminate discrimination of people who were born as women and girls. It is to change the definition of girls and women to include men and boys who identify as women so they will have full access and all women and girls sports, women and girls locker rooms, womens prisons, and even womens domestic shelters. This is completely unethical, dangerous and discriminatory!

Belinda Rojas

Location: 91913, Chula Vista
Submitted At: 3:10pm 04-25-22

Biological females have the right to not have biological males (transgender women) in our spaces.

Tabitha Stine

Location: 92021, El Cajon
Submitted At: 3:07pm 04-25-22

I oppose this ordinance, particularly the aspects that redefine the definition of "woman."

Tracy Kerchner

Location: 91901, Alpine
Submitted At: 3:07pm 04-25-22

I strongly oppose the adoption of CEDAW!!! Men are NOT women. They can't be. They should not compete against women in sports. It's dangerous. They have no right or reason to use women's restrooms. The same goes for women. They are NOT men and never can be. Follow the science. You can't change chromosomes!!!

R Gomez

Location: 92020
Submitted At: 3:06pm 04-25-22

This is discrimination at its highest.
They are still biologically male
No contest
I oppose

Linda Burton

Location: 92057, Oceanside
Submitted At: 3:05pm 04-25-22

I find it extremely disturbing to hear that you are wasting tax \$ to redefine the definition of a woman to include men!

Our "woke" society has destroyed women's/girl's sports, has allowed men to invade women's bathrooms and sexually harass and abuse women/girls, and now you would like to change the definition of a woman?

You do realize that it is an election year!?!

We are interested in fixing REAL issues that face San Diego-not throwing away our tax dollars to redefine confused individuals!

Alyson Lefebvre

Location:
Submitted At: 3:03pm 04-25-22

Oppose because only biological women should be permitted in women's restrooms.

Susan Brennan

Location: 92109, San Diego
Submitted At: 2:59pm 04-25-22

What a complete WASTE of taxpayer money, time, and resources to even have this on the agenda. God created male and female. Period. Please stop creating further confusion with ludicrous notions like this. You are in office to serve the people (men and women), not demolish the definition of them as you please. Please focus on priorities and actually listen to the people you're supposed to be serving. We heartily OPPOSE this agenda item.

Heiock Kim

Location: 92120, San Diego
Submitted At: 2:56pm 04-25-22

The Kim Center for Social Balance thanks the Board of Supervisors for your leadership in bringing the CEDAW ordinance forward. We fully support the inclusion of a formal commitment to advance the right of all people at every intersection of identity to fair treatment in employment. Elevating the status of women and girls is paramount to any thriving economy and society, and we look forward to San Diego leaping to the national forefront of regional prosperity.

Amy Hayhurst

Location: 92021, El Cajon
Submitted At: 2:54pm 04-25-22

Men should not be included in the definition of women.

Denise Bond

Location: 92040, Lakeside
Submitted At: 2:53pm 04-25-22

The CEDAW is actually a step backward for women. When we are born female/male we are genetically different. Those born male have a muscle/fat ratio different from those born female. Males identifying as female still have advantages over one born as female in most sports as a result of this. Sharing a bathroom with males who "identify" as female can also set us back if we are sexually assaulted by that person. This has already happened. Women advocating for women have to say NO to CEDAW!

Gaynelle Merriwether

Location: 91977, Spring Valley
Submitted At: 2:53pm 04-25-22

I oppose the passing of CEDAW BL 042622

Hello Wakeup

Location:
Submitted At: 2:51pm 04-25-22

If people want to believe they are other than a man or women, fine! But don't force that on the rest of the world!

Women Women

Location:
Submitted At: 2:49pm 04-25-22

Whoever came up with this bill is insane

Angela Kuriger

Location: 92084, Vista
Submitted At: 2:46pm 04-25-22

Please protect women by NOT passing this ordinance.

Man Man

Location:
Submitted At: 2:44pm 04-25-22

Read the Bible!!

Women Women

Location:
Submitted At: 2:43pm 04-25-22

What will you call yourself once you take away women and man!?? This is insane !!

Lane Adams

Location: 91942, La Mesa
Submitted At: 2:41pm 04-25-22

I oppose this ordinance. I believe that all people should be treated kindly & should have opportunities to access activities & services. However, allowing biological males to identify as female and participate in gender based activities and services is not in any way eliminating discrimination against women. It is actually discriminating against women. The County should stay out of redefining what a genetic test would define clearly. Trans community can start additional programs for like minded.

Teryl Peters

Location: 91935, Jamul
Submitted At: 2:39pm 04-25-22

The science has never been MORE clear. The definition of a woman and the science have not changed. Politics and a very small amount of people thinking they can change what they please to fit their agenda has. Let's not confuse gender identity with what your actual sexual autonomy is. Females WOMEN have a uterus, Fallopian tubes and a menstrual cycle, men don't have this, and never will. Waste of time, energy and money to redefine what is already defined by creation.

Cindie Wolf

Location: 92021, El Cajon
Submitted At: 2:38pm 04-25-22

I strongly Oppose this

Jill Buck

Location: 91360, Thousand Oaks
Submitted At: 2:33pm 04-25-22

Oppose! Protect WOMEN and girls! Find solutions not laws that directly harm females and allow people to abuse this power!

Juana Trujillo

Location: 92122, San Diego
Submitted At: 2:29pm 04-25-22

Strongly oppose! Women will only get further discrimination with this ordinance. The city of San Diego needs to protect women not discriminate against them. Women are sacred beings created by God and men should not have the right to ruin that.

Dan Yuko

Location: 91914, Chula Vista
Submitted At: 2:28pm 04-25-22

Oppose! This ordinance seeks to redefine what a woman is from biological female to include biological males. Biological females deserve female only spaces. This is biological female erasure and will negatively impact biological females. If SD County cares about women then respect the biological females who do NOT want biological males in their spaces and sports.

Bibi Luko

Location: 91914, Chula Vista
Submitted At: 2:15pm 04-25-22

Women as defined on this agenda item includes transgender women. Transgender women are not biological women they are biological men and usually have different genitals. The board should not adopt a new definition of the word "women" until a thorough plan for how it will keep biological females safe upon implementation is defined, shared with the public and has buy-in from biological females. What this ordinance does in essence is allow biological males into biologically female only spaces.

Michelle Harris

Location:
Submitted At: 2:13pm 04-25-22

I strongly urge you all to oppose the CEDAW ordinance. This does not protect women and girls, but harms them. This ordinance will give way to change the definition of what a woman is in order to meet the agendas to do harm to women. The title of This ordinance sounds like it will stop discrimination but will actually do the exact opposite. This is an evil ordinance and will lower opportunities for women and will get rid of title 9. Please do not be fooled. Oppose!

Diane Gerken

Location: 91910, Chula Vista
Submitted At: 2:13pm 04-25-22

Oppose - We don't have all the answers now and my heart bleeds for men who believe and identify as women but we need solutions before laws. Protect women, protect women's spaces, protect women's rights. Why does everything for trans rights take away women's rights? Bye bye women's sports, bye bye title 9, bye bye women's jail, bye bye women's shelters, bye bye women's bathrooms. So now, no matter where a 14 year old is, a predator can rape them. Maybe you should just make rape legal too.

Jennifer Iribe

Location: 91915, Chula Vista
Submitted At: 2:09pm 04-25-22

If you value BIOLOGICAL WOMEN and women's rights, vote no. STRONGLY OPPOSE!

Patricia Peterson

Location: 92020, El Cajon
Submitted At: 2:08pm 04-25-22

This is another attempt to destroy women's sports. Men have no business competing with women, no matter how many hormones they have taken, they still have a physical advantage!

Raymond Peterson

Location: 92020, El Cajon
Submitted At: 1:59pm 04-25-22

this will end title 9

Garrett Highhouse

Location: 92078, San Marcos
Submitted At: 1:50pm 04-25-22

Where does the line get drawn if this passes? Strongly oppose and will vote out whoever votes this in.

Cassidy Mencias

Location: 93309, Bakersfield
Submitted At: 1:46pm 04-25-22

Please oppose this!

A biological woman is a biological woman.

Linda Corey

Location: 92029, Escondido
Submitted At: 1:30pm 04-25-22

I support the proposed ordinance on CEDAW. All women and girls deserve this commitment to gender equality.

Laura Douglas

Location: 91910, Chula Vista
Submitted At: 1:25pm 04-25-22

Please do not consider or pass the CEDAW ordinance, it is not a protection or benefit for women but a control mechanism on all people. JUST SAY NO TO CEDAW!!!

Carrie Homegger

Location: 92014, Del Mar
Submitted At: 1:21pm 04-25-22

This is NOT protecting women's rights or safety but taking them away. Strongly oppose

Mckayla Gallagher

Location: 92672, San Clemente
Submitted At: 1:18pm 04-25-22

I strongly oppose this ordinance. It is dangerous and does not protect biological women whatsoever.

Corine Doughty

Location: 02663, Newport Beach
Submitted At: 1:17pm 04-25-22

There are two genders, male and females. Men cannot be women. OPPOSE this ridiculousness and quite the propagandizing of children.

Cristina Ramirez

Location: 92101-6438, San Diego
Submitted At: 1:08pm 04-25-22

Oppose!

Carolina Ramirez

Location: 91913, Chula Vista
Submitted At: 1:06pm 04-25-22

I strongly urge the San Diego County Board of Supervisors to oppose this proposed ordinance. I'm all for gender equality but this takes away from women's rights and all progress women have made in the past. Do not take away from biologically born women by allowing transgender women in the same category. It isn't fair and it isn't safe.

Lisa Fitzgerald

Location: 92026, Escondido
Submitted At: 1:02pm 04-25-22

I respectfully oppose this agenda item. Women DO need to be protected and we need to eliminate all forms of discrimination against women. However, this bill does not support that. It dishonors what a biological woman is and allows biological men to be included as women which is unacceptable and can be dangerous. This is seen when males are allowed in women's sports - it is seen when a female inmate becomes impregnated by males in prison. Please do not pass this.

Lydia Runkle

Location: 92020, El Cajon
Submitted At: 12:52pm 04-25-22

I strongly oppose this ordinance.

Holly Hornung

Location: 92677, Laguna Niguel
Submitted At: 12:50pm 04-25-22

Men who transition are not biological women. Yes, they need to have their own set of rules and protections, but to include them with real, from conception, female women is wrong and discriminatory. Biology 101; Two of the chromosomes (the X and the Y chromosome) determine your sex as male or female when you are born. They are called sex chromosomes: Females have 2 X chromosomes. Males have 1 X and 1 Y chromosome.

Michele Vaineharrison

Location: 92064, Poway
Submitted At: 12:44pm 04-25-22

Stay away from vile UN rules.

Do NOT dishonor, disrespect, and demoralize biological women (the only real women) by pretending that men with psychological disorders are not men. Doing so endangers women and tears down a hundred years of progress.

Ann Saily

Location: 92024, Encinitas
Submitted At: 12:43pm 04-25-22

This ordinance is the opposite of protecting women from discrimination. This erases women one vote at a time. Gender equity is a farce and takes away the meaning of woman. Please vote NO and stop this insanity.

Debbie McGrawBlock

Location: 92007, Cardiff By The Sea
Submitted At: 12:29pm 04-25-22

This proposed action by the Supervisors of San Diego County to approve an ordinance that provides for the implementation of CEDAW is welcome and long overdue. Joining cities and counties across the country to affirmatively work to ensure the equal treatment and rights of women and girls is the right thing to do. We welcome the role of the OERJ as the oversight body to guide the implementation of this ordinance.
Women's Empowerment International

Shelley Bachelder

Location: 93465-8432, Templeton
Submitted At: 12:28pm 04-25-22

This is ludicrous. It absolutely DOES discriminate against women by allowing men (confused men) to be included as women. They are not. Grow a spine and stand for truth.

Sara Traw

Location: 92104, San Diego
Submitted At: 12:26pm 04-25-22

I oppose any redefinition of "woman" to include men. This is unsafe and dangerous for women. This is scientifically and biologically untrue. It weakens "women." Words matter. Everyday, in the news, we see proof of this type of redefinition as dangerous to women: women's sports, women's restrooms, women's prisons. This does not make: our society our culture our women, and our children stronger. This is wrong. I strongly oppose.

Elaine Camara

Location: 92120, San Diego
Submitted At: 12:12pm 04-25-22

strongly OPPOSE!!

Patrick O'Keefe

Location: 92065, Ramona
Submitted At: 11:46am 04-25-22

I urge the San Diego County Board of Supervisors to oppose this proposed ordinance. Current law is sufficient to protect women and girls against discrimination and injustice in our county. The wording of this ordinance seems to be as much about government coercion with the goal of eliminating gender distinction as it is about discrimination against women. Our society would do well to recognize and be thankful for individual God-given gender and strengthen the traditional family structure.

Robin Svalstad

Location: 92020, El Cajon
Submitted At: 11:42am 04-25-22

Since the United States population consists of 51% women and 60% of San Diego County are women, it appears that there needs to be an ordinance to protect the rights of men in the counties employment practices. This proposed ordinance will hurt women, as it allows men to declare they are transgender and actually cause harm to women's rights, as we have seen lately in NCAA swimming and other athletics.

Kim Knox

Location:
Submitted At: 11:14am 04-25-22

Cities and counties throughout the U.S., including SF and LA, which adopted CEDAW Ordinances decades ago, have experienced the benefit of enforcing these rights for half of their residents and workforce. Elected leaders there believe the ordinances have materially improved the lives of women and girls and fostered more transparent/accountable governance. SD women and girls deserve the same. Consider a process by which civil society can be engaged in assuring that the objectives are achieved.

Jennifer Phillips

Location: 92132, San Diego
Submitted At: 9:25am 04-25-22

Biological men shouldn't be competing against biological women in sports. This is not hate. This is not transphobia. It's just plain unfair to allow transwomen to compete against biological women. Also, the UN should NOT be inserting itself in local politics.

Bob Tepedino

Location: 91941, La Mesa
Submitted At: 8:33am 04-25-22

NO MORE MEANINGLESS PAPERWORK FROM USELESS DO-NOTHING BUREAUCRATS!!! You have to have a DEFINITION of "Women" BEFORE you can legislate anything regarding women. Moving forward without a definition makes whatever you produce meaningless, and PROVES that you are simply trying to placate the bigmouth Communists.

Robert Woodard

Location: 91941, La Mesa
Submitted At: 8:12am 04-25-22

I am against anything the United Nations pushes on us. We are a sovereign nation. We set our own policies and laws.

PS - Nathan Fletcher and his terrible wife are communists.

Allyson Smith

Location: 92019, El Cajon
Submitted At: 7:40am 04-25-22

OPPOSE this divisive, unnecessary ordinance. This ordinance, if passed, will exacerbate mental health crises by including male-to-female transgenders in the definition of women, which in turn will increase the anxiety of real women by allowing biological males into domestic violence shelters and other women's spaces. By implementing this proposed version of CEDAW, our county is contributing to, not lessening, mental health crises.

Melody Stevens

Location: 92103, San Diego
Submitted At: 7:14am 04-25-22

This is overreach, completely unnecessary and does not protect women, it opens them up to inappropriate men in women's sports, bathrooms, locker rooms etc. There is no place for anything tied to the UN here in the US or San Diego. OPPOSE.

Chelley Perry

Location: 92082, Valley Center
Submitted At: 7:11am 04-25-22

We're not being discriminated against. Let's stop wasting time creating & elaborating on agenda driven political nonsense. We're fine. In fact, women are often getting jobs they're not qualified for professionally, surpassing men who are qualified, have advanced certifications, degrees, bilinguals, etc. all in the name of equality, when it should be on merit.

Zeb Hutchison

Location: 92120, San Diego
Submitted At: 6:57am 04-25-22

From the proposed legislation: ("Women and girls" shall mean those who identify as women and girls")
What does gender identity have to do with discrimination against women anyway? It COMPLETELY FAILS to add any protection to women's rights - in fact it does the opposite! Women's protections already exist under state and fed laws.

This is overreach by the board and a waste of taxpayer dollars.

Any supervisor supporting this ordinance should be recalled and replaced with responsible leadership

Curtis Grinnell

Location: 92021, El Cajon
Submitted At: 5:56am 04-25-22

My opinion is this is a layer of law that overlays existing laws. And is not needed.

John Moore

Location: San Diego
Submitted At: 2:48am 04-25-22

The proposed ordinance for San Diego County based on the 1970s United Nations General Assembly Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination Against Women further blurs the distinction between men and women as the recent losses in Women's sports demonstrated by discouraging and eliminating opportunities for women in competitive college athletics. It's an old idea that sounded good 50 years ago but in practice did the opposite of its' golden intentions.

Juanita Torres

Location: 92129, San w
Submitted At: 1:24am 04-25-22

This bill does not eliminate discrimination against women. Instead, it eliminates protection against unfair competition by biological males posing as women - thereby ruining women's sports.

Joan Reese

Location: 92131, San Diego
Submitted At: 10:02pm 04-24-22

I urge the Board of Supervisors to vote to approve the CEDAW Ordinance, for local implementation of the United Nations Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women. Please take this long overdue step and support this important measure to codify women's rights.

Rolf Steeve

Location: 92027, Escondido
Submitted At: 9:01pm 04-24-22

Eliminating discrimination against women are protections already in place in existing federal and state laws. This ordinance discriminates against biological females by dehumanizing them through a false definition of a female as one who identifies as female, transgender men identifying female, gender nonconforming females, etc..., Any Supervisor supporting this Ordinance should be recalled and replaced with responsible leadership.

Cyndi Denny

Location: 91941, La Mesa
Submitted At: 8:47pm 04-24-22

This FAILS to stop discrimination against Women and girls if it includes Transgender or gender neutral women. Gender identity is discrimination against biological women and girls so CEDAW is pointless. Cells, Hormones, and muscles don't change to reflect a chosen gender, well proven in Women's Swimming & Track. The United Nations has no say in our County and this is overreach, and wasting taxpayers money. I oppose this.

Richard delPino

Location: 92021, Elcajon
Submitted At: 8:08pm 04-24-22

What does gender identity have to do with discrimination against women? Any UN programs/agendas give me cause for concern.

Clifford Northcote

Location: 91906, Campo
Submitted At: 5:47pm 04-24-22

I believe the Bible says that God created man and woman, period !! I would not trust the United Nation's interpretation or guidance on any subject.

Doreen Harlow

Location: 91916, Descanso
Submitted At: 4:31pm 04-24-22

I think it's long past high time to make women formally equal to men: in law, in pay, in rights and responsibilities. I support the United Nations convention, and I hope the whole Board votes for it.

Sandra Eaton

Location: 92065, Ramona
Submitted At: 4:13pm 04-24-22

Keep the United Nations or any other foreign entities out of the US. All they do is interfere and try to change the way we live. Read Agenda 3030. That's what the United Nations has planned for us.

Dale Couch

Location: 91935, JAMUL
Submitted At: 3:22pm 04-24-22

This is overreach on the part of the board. Totally unnecessary and a waste of taxpayer dollars.

Herbert Kelsey

Location: 92020-7805, El Cajon
Submitted At: 2:37pm 04-24-22

Because of language in this proposed ordinance that codifies "gender neutral" and "transgender" and other classifications of people. At the end of the day we are either male or female, no matter what we do to our bodies or what we 'feel like' being. And anything from the United Nations is anathema to me. They need not be meddling in our affairs.

Ann Rebuffattee

Location: 92119, San Diego
Submitted At: 12:30pm 04-24-22

I support equality for all females that are born female. But this Ordinance is not in the best interests of the majority of San Diego residents and if enacted I believe it to be harmful to women and girls. There are two genders! Determined at birth. Having a man self-identify as female is not good enough for me to allow him entry into female locker rooms, bathrooms, etc. He could use it as an excuse to prey on girls. DO NOT VOTE THIS IN. YOU ARE PLAYING GOD.

Kevin Miller

Location: 92020, El Cajon
Submitted At: 9:54am 04-24-22

I am strongly against the United Nations imposition on the citizens, businesses, and faith based community in San Diego County.

Jeffrey Young

Location: 92040, lakeside
Submitted At: 8:39am 04-24-22

.Anything the UN proposes for "One World" governance and should be opposed at all costs! This is just more leftist ideology being forced upon us, it creates another bloated ineffective bureaucracy costing us millions. There are plenty of laws that protect women/men from discrimination already. As for the homeless, Todd Gloria has been in charge of the homeless problem for years and it has only gotten worse!

Anyone who votes for this pile of garbage, won't be getting my vote.

Jeff Young

Julie Lubisich

Location: 92064, Poway
Submitted At: 8:27am 04-24-22

United States has its OWN LAWS to protect women. Adoption of international laws should remain in the constitutional realm of treaties requiring 2/3 vote of US Senate. We should try to remain a republic and not fall down the slippery slope of world governance. Lastly, I oppose language that equates abortion to healthcare and attacks traditional family values.

Robin Maxson

Location: 92065, RAMONA
Submitted At: 8:09am 04-24-22

I witnessed a young, female soccer player come off the field during practice to see her family. She was talking about how she didn't want to get hit in the face with the ball. A visiting Cal Fire male, in-uniform, fire truck nearby and representing a figure of authority and respect, stated, "Well, you're a girl!" The consequences of these types of comments on the development of this young female are clear. We can do better to support all of our children.

Mike Hunt

Location: 92065, Ramona
Submitted At: 7:09am 04-24-22

Implementation of UN ordinance? Just sounds bad right there. Let's stick to local issues rather than partake in some grand global narrative.

Diana Kutlow

Location: 92007, Cardiff-by-the-Sea
Submitted At: 6:35am 04-24-22

Supporting CEDAW requires one simple calculation -- are women adversely affected by violence? The answer is unfortunately a resounding yes. the passage of CEDAW will help reduce that violence. Especially with our military population, in which both women veterans and spouses suffer from increased rates of abuse and domestic violence, SD County needs to step up and make a public declaration that we support the elimination of violence against women.

Thank you, Diana

Robert Wolfe

Location: 92070, Santa YSabel
Submitted At: 6:35am 04-24-22

While I appreciate that I do not understand the psychological "issues" surrounding gender identify, it would seem to me that an ordinance deigned to advance non-discrimination against "women and girls" would use the biological definition of women and girls and that in order to non-discriminate against those with gender identity "issues" that a second ordinance would address those individuals outside of the biological definition of "women and girls".

Valerie Wayson

Location:
Submitted At: 6:24am 04-24-22

PLEASE DO NOT PASS THIS ORDINANCE. If Congress never ratified this in over 40 YEARS, then why would San Diego County?

We do no need another committee spending our tax dollars on this ordinance. Thank you.

Beth Prinz

Location: 92065, Ramona
Submitted At: 4:40am 04-24-22

This will open a can of worms that should never be opened. Do not succumb to the pressure. Women and girls will lose safety, fair competition on the sports field and off, and can be unfairly characterized with the wording in this ordinance. Stand up to this madness and vote no. Though the title and some wording in this seems equitable it is not. The wording in the last paragraph on page 4 and the first paragraph on page 5 that should not be codified into ordinance, ever.

JoyceJarie Perry

Location: 91977, Spring Valley
Submitted At: 2:09am 04-24-22

I am 100% against the adoption of the United Nations CEDAW convention, as I believe strongly in the sovereignty of our nation and our laws.

Matters of civil rights, reproductive choice & family planning, gender relations, textbooks, school programs & teaching methods are a matter of US FEDERAL, STATE, & LOCAL legislation NOT that of an international entity attempting to undermine and act as a Governing Board over our citizens!

Judy Fabion

Location: 92028, Fallbrook
Submitted At: 12:50am 04-24-22

Trans "women" are NOT women in sports for women. I'm a biological woman. I support only biological women competing against each other.

Hector Chaidez

Location: 92065-5912, Ramona
Submitted At: 11:59pm 04-23-22

Not necessary, we have enough laws on the books that address discrimination and reviving an old UN ordinance is even worse. How about the Board focus on issues that directly effect our lives such as crime, homelessness on our streets, trash piles everywhere on our streets and sidewalks including human defecation and the smell of urine. Come on Board!

Eva Spears

Location: 92028, Fallbrook
Submitted At: 11:21pm 04-23-22

There is no discrimination against women. We do not need this bill. We do not need the United Nations meddling with our affairs.

Norman Kort

Location: 92120, San Diego
Submitted At: 9:18pm 04-23-22

I support the Council's CEDAW Ordinance.

John Neill

Location: 92020, El Cajon, CA
Submitted At: 8:52pm 04-23-22

Support Title 9

Eliminate this atrocity or boys and men competing with girls and Women in Sports.

Let Children be Children not Social Experiments!

The UN as continued to be a dismal failure to its stated Goals in its Charter. No they are trying to Social Engineer our Nation.

NO on 34. AN ORDINANCE TO PROVIDE FOR THE LOCAL IMPLEMENTATION OF THE UNITED NATIONS CONVENTION ON THE ELIMINATION OF ALL FORMS OF DISCRIMINATION AGAINST WOMEN (CEDAW)

Anita H

Location: 92028, Fallbrook
Submitted At: 8:12pm 04-23-22

We are the United States and don't need to follow the United Nations. We already have laws to protect women. Totally unnecessary ordinance.

Steve Geary

Location: 92020, El Cajon
Submitted At: 7:59pm 04-23-22

I read the proposed ordinance and after 8 pages still don't see any specifics of what the proposed ordinance will do. This is another "feel good" proposal with no real meaning. But it does seem to emphasize intersectional and transgender and appears to be another LGBTQ+ related agenda. Doesn't our Board of Supervisors have more pressing issues to work on - like dealing with the homeless situation?

john hoyt

Location: 91941, la mesa
Submitted At: 7:39pm 04-23-22

This is flat out wrong, and it actually hurts women who have worked their entire life to compete, and now they have to do that against a man. Completely unfair! I have nothing against trans people, but make the competition fair.

Shirley Gilbert

Location: 92117, San Diego

Submitted At: 7:29pm 04-23-22

I am against including transgender men as part of this ordinance. They should not be allowed to play against women in sports, as they have the physical body of a male. It is not fair to females to have to compete with men who are stronger, have bigger muscles, longer legs and longer arms.

Deborah Cushman

Location: 92020, El Cajon

Submitted At: 7:15pm 04-23-22

Considering the Agenda Item states that as of 4-1-22, the overall County workforce is comprised of 60% women and 40% men, women hold 59% of all County leadership positions and since 2018, 63% of all promotional hires were women, and of women that comprise the county our workforce, 63% are women of color, it just goes to show we don't have a gender problem. The Ordinance is nothing like what the UN adopted in 1979 - "intersectional" "gender equity" were not contemplated. No money to study this.

JoAnn Almeida

Location: 92130, San Diego

Submitted At: 6:57pm 04-23-22

People can identify however they prefer, however in sports, women have fought too hard for equality of recent note, the USWNT in soccer. They fought for equal pay in courts and won. Any birth male identifying as transgender or woman still has male hormones that women do not have leaving an unfair advantage in sports. Restrooms, locker rooms should be separated for those not identifying with their birth sex so that women are comfortable in said areas. Any creep can dress as a woman.

Robert McCarty

Location: 92131, san diego

Submitted At: 6:31pm 04-23-22

I HOPE you will make the Moral response of 2 sexes: Male & Female !!!

We NEED to return to a "Majority rule" method of law !!! And not cater to EVERY Individuals 'perceived need' ...Because as we gravitate to the Woke desires you can bet the Chinese Communist Party & the Russians are LOVING THE DEMISE OF AMERICA to a country with a bunch of WEAK WEINIES who are WEAK WILLED...& Will be Easy to Subjugate & Intimidate into Submission... Enough of the Woke BS !!!

Robert, San Diego Calif.

Janet Phillips

Location: 92065, Ramona

Submitted At: 6:18pm 04-23-22

I am

Opposed to anything that supersedes the Constitution of the United States. I am opposed to the Woke designations. I am opposed to anti-Christian belief regarding anything other than that God created male and female.

LINDA MURPHY

Location: 92021, EL CAJON

Submitted At: 5:34pm 04-23-22

Truly this is written not to protect women/girls but to advance the trans agenda. Now if the ordinance was written specifically for the gender determined at birth, then this might work. But it looks like this ordinance has little to do with advancing women's rights, that's just the cover. We need to protect our children and not allow this to pass. We can do better than this!

Jerome Markus

Location: 92064, Poway

Submitted At: 5:33pm 04-23-22

I support this and request the Board be suspended permanently due to multiple layers of government spending too much money with poor results.

Janet Peterson

Location: 91962, Pine Valley
Submitted At: 5:11pm 04-23-22

JUST ANOTHER GOOD ORDINANCE TO PROTECT WOMEN'S RIGHTS?? No, this is just another way to promote Genderism (Transgender, cisgender, and gender nonconforming individuals) this will just create more problems for women (Lia Thomas fiasco). I cannot believe the County wants to use the United Nation's ideology to create this ordinance. There are already enough laws and ordinances for equal rights for women. This is just another covert way to promote a very liberal agenda. Shame on you!

Brad Wynne

Location: 91941-, La Mesa
Submitted At: 5:08pm 04-23-22

I fully support the aims of CEDAW. However, I am opposed to restrictive legislation limited to specific groups, classes, special interests, gender, etc. There are many classes of people in our nation that have an equal right as women to be protected. The list goes on to infinity. Our laws are overly complicated and need not be so if politicians take non-partisan views and work cooperatively on legislation that has broad merit. CEDAW appears to be nothing more than a "me to" ordinance.

Carol Hake

Location: 92040, Lakeside
Submitted At: 5:02pm 04-23-22

US current anti-discrimination laws protect women much better than the UNs treaty. This is important for women in countries that do not provide protection against discrimination. For American women, our rights are fully protect by both State and Federal statutes.

"Ratification of the treaty would not impose a single new requirement in our laws—because our Constitution and gender discrimination laws already comply with the treaty requirements." San Francisco Chronicle, June 13, 2002

Craig Williams

Location:
Submitted At: 4:54pm 04-23-22

Not needed. Quit wasting my time and tax dollars on worthless ordinances and concentrate on real problems like homeless and infrastructure. As to the UN, do you suppose the Tin Pot Dictators running this corrupt outfit are actually enforcing actions like this? No, and we all know it.

Annalisa Larm

Location: 91901, Alpine
Submitted At: 4:26pm 04-23-22

I'm deeply disappointed with this ordinance on (CEDAW).It's more than a red herring using discrimination against women and girls only to read on how its about gender, and transgender rights. Where are the women? Men posing as women? Currently, the United States has 8 or more laws that protect women from discrimination. Please do not vote for this crazy ordinance. Please rewrite the ordinance to help Women & Girls out of human trafficking.

Sarah Baldwin

Location: 91916, Descanso
Submitted At: 4:23pm 04-23-22

Women are already equal under the law in this country. Womens' programs meant for biological (XX DNA) women should only include those women, not men who say they are women and have XY DNA.- pretty simple science. Americans don't need the United Nations telling us what resolutions we should follow- they have not been a good example of that themselves. It would be really good if we could just enforce the laws we already have and stop wasting time with these repetitive useless resolutions.

Roni Breite

Location: 92119, San Diego
Submitted At: 4:07pm 04-23-22

It's long overdue -- do whatever is possible to eliminate continuing discrimination against women.

Charles Woodson

Location: 92021, El Cajon
Submitted At: 3:41pm 04-23-22

Two things bother me:

1. Equity is not the same as equality so this bill starts off by opening the door to misappropriation. Please close the door.
2. With today's radical transgender policy, the door should be closed to men posing as women OR women posing as men.

Anyone in their right mind cannot possibly believe the nonsense being forced on the population about people choosing their sex based on feelings. Sex is determined biologically.

Mary Fitzgerald

Location: 92040, Lakeside
Submitted At: 3:27pm 04-23-22

It is NOT ok for biological men to be considered women and given access to all things that solely belong to women. This includes sports competitions, bathrooms, dressing rooms, and many others. It is disrespecting women and putting them at risk when you include someone in that group just because they decide to call themselves a woman. Unbelievable and insulting that this is even being considered.

Lyle Cocking

Location: 92124-1548, San Diego
Submitted At: 3:11pm 04-23-22

The link did not work. I got this error message:

"Whitelabel Error Page

This application has no explicit mapping for /error, so you are seeing this as a fallback.

Sat Apr 23 15:07:04 PDT 2022

There was an unexpected error (type=Internal Server Error, status=500)."

John Ellis

Location:
Submitted At: 2:54pm 04-23-22

If an ordinance is needed the County should not be relying on UN sponsored claptrap. The Board of Supervisors has smart enough people to write such an ordinance if needed and follow California and US guidelines and not rely on foreign nationals to write our laws.

Colleen Garrett

Location: 91977, Spring Valley
Submitted At: 2:26pm 04-23-22

It's an absolute shame that Joel Anderson has even put the support for this bill up for debate. At this point in History it should be absolutely clear that without the full enforceable protection of laws that govern the rights and dignity of women we cannot pretend to call ourselves a free and equal society. Unfortunately, we have not achieved equality, and supporting this ordinance is only a small symbolic step in the right direction. Homer Simpson said it best, "duh".

Fred Jones

Location: 91942, La Mesa
Submitted At: 2:17pm 04-23-22

It is already illegal to discriminate against women. If the goal of this proposal is to get more men or women that

used to be men or biological men that want to be women to compete against biological females than that is immortal and unethical and actually hurts women. It should not be considered.

Louise Holland

Location: 92124, San Diego
Submitted At: 1:51pm 04-23-22

We should not be adopting UN regulations in San Diego. The UN doesn't even follow their resolutions. Trans women aren't the same as those born female. Their bodies are stronger and shouldn't be competing against women.

Pamela Cotta

Location: 92120, San Diego
Submitted At: 1:24pm 04-23-22

Joel, please support this ordinance, it's the moral thing to do. The question shouldn't be whether or not this ordinance passes, but rather how is it not already in place? Thank you.

MaryAnn Fowler

Location: 92159, San Diego
Submitted At: 1:19pm 04-23-22

This does nothing to advance protections on biological women. This is the exact opposite of protecting biological women. There is a reason men and women have their own divisions in sports. We don't expect five year olds to compete against 18 year olds. We shouldn't expect biological females to compete against biological males. What happened to 'follow the science' or do we not recognize BIOLOGY as a science anymore?

J Dyer

Location: 92065, RAMONA, CA
Submitted At: 12:55pm 04-23-22

Reject CEDAW. The UN is not a pro-American organization. Therefore, we should not be implementing their tainted ideas. The UN is corrupt and does not have a great record on human rights issues. Our involvement in the UN should be limited to protecting traditional American Christian values around the world. The UN has no moral authority to be dictating social morality to our nation. Reject CEDAW.

Gail Ovian

Location: 92130, San Diego
Submitted At: 12:44pm 04-23-22

Please do not approve this ordinance. It is so unfair to allow a trans man to compete on a women's sports team. They have such an unfair advantage. It takes opportunities away from so many women. I am all for equal rights. But this is not equal. Thank you

Judi Putnam

Location: 92065, Ramona
Submitted At: 12:42pm 04-23-22

There are no reasons that anybody of any race, ethnicity, sex, sexual identity, physical or mental disability, or religious preference should be discriminated against. It is sad that we need to go through each variant to eliminate discrimination. However that being the case, I strongly support the passage of the CEDAW Ordinance.

Karen Cupp

Location: 92040, Lakeside
Submitted At: 12:32pm 04-23-22

I think it should be enacted.

Clarke Stillwagen

Location: 91942, La Mesa
Submitted At: 12:25pm 04-23-22

We have our own court system we do not need to adopt an international law. If we need a to address an issue we

have legislature to make any necessary laws.

Martin Collins

Location: 91941, La Mesa
Submitted At: 12:19pm 04-23-22

This ordinance appears to be a solution seeking a problem. I oppose this ordinance because it includes vaguwording that could (and will) be used to allow transgender men who identify as women to compete in women's sports.. Seeking equality between biological men and women may seem noble but is more complex than putting a few words on paper. There is enough laws and regulations already on the books to address equality (not equity). Please oppose this unnecessary and potentially harmful ordinance.

Pat Holden

Location:
Submitted At: 12:16pm 04-23-22

Keep the word "WOMEN" with the strict understanding "born with female anatomy" . NO MORE want-to- be-women, born with the male anatomy to be accepted in women's sports or restrooms. If we really care about "discrimination against women" we need an ordinance prohibiting biological men from competing in women's sports.

Anthony Lautzenheiser

Location: 91934, Jacumba
Submitted At: 12:05pm 04-23-22

Biological men are not women. This hurts every biological woman that is claims to "protect".

Kristen Sikute

Location: 92019, El Cajon
Submitted At: 12:05pm 04-23-22

I am a woman, and I strongly oppose the San Diego County Board of Supervisors implementing CEDAW. We have much greater issues to solve first: Homelessness, crime. Resolve those two, and you will help the women of San Diego much more!

Jim Christison

Location: 92071, Santee
Submitted At: 12:04pm 04-23-22

Why did it take so long to do this?

Mary D

Location: 91901
Submitted At: 12:02pm 04-23-22

This ordinance is a wolf in sheep's clothing -- essentially a dog pill packaged in a feel-good word marshmallow.

Disguising the redefinition of 'women and girls' to include transgenders is disingenuous. At some point there will be no real women atop the podium -- or even in the top 16 finalists - for sporting competitions.

This ordinance is just plain wrong. It sends women's rights backwards, not forward, and furthers a political agenda camouflaged as 'equality' for women. NO to CEDAW!!!

Craig Ryder

Location: 92071, Santee
Submitted At: 11:59am 04-23-22

- 1)The U.S. Constitution and Bill of Rights addresses this issue.
- 2)This is basically a 'treaty' related article. For it to be enforceable it must be ratified by the U,S. Senate. It has not been ratified.
- 3)Finally the United Nations cannot and will not have dominion over the United States and our Constitution and Bill of Rights. The United Nations is currently the most corrupt UNELECTED body on this planet. From Peace keeping forces RAPING women in countries they are there to help, LOT MORE.NFW!

Karen Axall

Location: 92019, El Cajon
Submitted At: 11:58am 04-23-22

What about the discrimination of the Muslim countries? "There is not "one of the Muslim nations" that doesn't have "discrimination against women, discrimination against gays, subjugation of other religious beliefs." What about the Uyghur population and other mostly-Muslim ethnic groups in the north-western region of Xinjiang. What about the high rate of "femicide" in Mexico. This is just more empty rhetoric from the UN, because they will not hold anyone accountable.

Michael Barletta

Location: 91942, La Mesa
Submitted At: 11:52am 04-23-22

I would have to oppose anything the UN says or does at this time. They are one of the biggest waists of money the US gives to.

larry kennard

Location: 92020, El Cajon
Submitted At: 11:07am 04-23-22

I could not view the ordinance, but we don't need the UN telling us what we should or shouldn't do! If we really care about "discrimination against women" we need an ordinance prohibiting biological men from competing in women's sports!!

Diane Chapman

Location: 92065, Ramona
Submitted At: 10:41am 04-23-22

What is a UN document doing in San Diego? All women, all people are covered under the law and are equally protected, so this must have suspect motives. Nathan and Nora take this up a notch and one up the UN. They redefine women and girls and slip the transgender verbiage into this. Which means they erase women and girls. They erase all the rights we have fought for. Look no further than what is happening in our county when confused men enter into girls bathrooms, sports, etc. .Criminal !

Ken Furutani

Location: 92128, San Diego
Submitted At: 10:39am 04-23-22

Huge camps of homeless and drug addicts. Rising crime. Businesses fleeing downtown. Historic inflation and gas prices. Unaffordable water and home energy prices. San Diegans have huge problems right now. And you're going to make up problems that don't exist like discrimination against women and bicycle paths! Here's an idea: do your jobs or resign so someone else can!

Leza Hutchinson

Location: 92071, Santee
Submitted At: 10:01am 04-23-22

Strongly oppose. UN is no friend of ours!

Steve Gross

Location: 91941, La Mesa
Submitted At: 9:44am 04-23-22

Treating men and women with dignity, justice and respect should be a given. Sadly it is not. Thanks to the Board for helping us move toward the goal of no discrimination because of gender.

Gary List

Location: 92119, San Diego
Submitted At: 9:44am 04-23-22

"S.D. County Ordinance to Redefine Women and Girls."

This Ordinance redefines "women and girls" to include males and will mandate that males who self- identify as women must be allowed full access to girls and women's sports, girl's locker rooms, women's prisons, domestic

violence shelters, etc. Mr. Anderson this Ordinance is not in the best interest of the majority of San Diego residents and if enacted I believe it to be harmful to women and girls. There are two genders! Determined at birth.

Christoher Jones

Location: 92020, EL Cajon
Submitted At: 9:41am 04-23-22

ordinance that would locally implement the United Nation's Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW). I am in favor of passing this ordinance !

Karen Vogue

Location: 92128, San Diego
Submitted At: 9:35am 04-23-22

I am strongly opposed to implementing anything by the United Nations. We need to develop laws for ourselves - not from outside entities that don't have our local interests at heart. I see the County already has the Office of Equity and Racial Justice and many state and local laws for gender equality.

MaryBeth Difley

Location: 92019-3877, El Cajon
Submitted At: 9:32am 04-23-22

Oppose CEDAW.

Larry Shriver

Location: 92036, Julian
Submitted At: 9:19am 04-23-22

I'm against discrimination against women or any other group. BUT I'm REALLY AGAINST THE UNITED NATIONS!!! Please vote AGAINST ANYTHING related to the UN!!!

Ronald Fay

Location: 93065, Ramona
Submitted At: 9:01am 04-23-22

The United Nations is a organization that is suppose to facilitate cooperation and actions between the world's countries for the purpose of promoting peace and goodwill between those countries. They have no place pushing their ideas onto local areas of the USA. Policies that affect the local areas should be created by local govt according to the desires of local people. The U.N. has no place in local affairs.

Yvonne Paris

Location: 92071-3157, Santee
Submitted At: 8:41am 04-23-22

The only real area of discrimination is in the workplace. This is a 'feel good' project We are bending over backwards to give the appearance of non-discrimination and its further dividing us. Let the existing laws be enforced. Why would such a minute population expect and be given special privileges? Yep, all part of the New World Order to divide the peoples of our country into 'categories' of people. We are strong only when we are united. Leave well enough alone!

Kenneth Kostedt

Location: 92084, Vista
Submitted At: 8:21am 04-23-22

Hello Sir,

Discrimination can go either way. When you hire someone, you determine who best fits your needs, based on their merits. Unfair discrimination is wrong. I have no qualms with that.

Without reading the document, I have misgivings already. America is a sovereign nation and should adopt her own fair policies. She should not adopt the policies of an outside global identity. That in my opinion is chipping away at the sovereignty of our nation.

Sincerely,
Ken

Franchesca Wetter

Location: 92128, SAN DIEGO
Submitted At: 8:00am 04-23-22

Supervisor Anderson,

I oppose this. It is all smoke and mirrors for the nonsense of fluid gender. There are 2 sexes, and they are decided not just at birth but before birth. Enabling people who think otherwise is a waste of county resources.

Thank you for your service, sir.

Sincerely,

Franchesca Wetter

Ian Lockwood

Location: 92071, Santee
Submitted At: 8:00am 04-23-22

This vote is a waste of the board's time and any implementation of u.n. cedaw would be a shameful waste of county funds. If you're judgement is so poor that you cannot deal with the issues of San Diego County in a unique and tailored way to specifically fit the needs of our county, but rather feel the need to look to the u.n. for blanket guidance, then I would ask you to please resign your board seat and let someone more competent fill the role of county supervisor.

Deborah Landstedt

Location: 91903, Alpine
Submitted At: 7:48am 04-23-22

Against abortion and transgender

Kurt Worden

Location: 919507748, Lincoln Acres
Submitted At: 7:37am 04-23-22

I support full equality for all citizens regardless of gender, religion or political view. However, this ordinance seems to be an unnecessary feel good ordinance that by the boards own analysis does not provide for any change to the existing US and California law. The result is bureaucratic bloat, with the potential for the county to expend funding on additional study and enforcement of items that are covered under other laws and ordinances. There is no need to restate responsibility.

John Italiano

Location: 92126, San Diego
Submitted At: 7:24am 04-23-22

This has nothing to do with women. It's all about transgender people. Trannys have a mental disorder that needs to be dealt with in the mental hospital. There are 2 sexes and that's it. Stop letting a few mentally ill people have a platform and erode family values, women's sports, and child innocence. The United Nations has no business in the US anyway. They have a hidden agenda of stripping the constitution from us and make us conform to the one world order they want.

Teresa Edgerton

Location: 91942, LA MESA
Submitted At: 7:23am 04-23-22

Please anyone can simply read this and know that this is not to protect me..... It is everyone else other than me a woman.....

Chris RIZZUTI

Location: 92127, San Diego
Submitted At: 7:22am 04-23-22

I am against this ordinance because it diminishes the notion of equal protection under the law. As do all ordinances that identify a specific group or class. By definition, it elevates one group over another and I am opposed to that on principle. It is un-American to the core. Please stop segregating the American people. The long term objective of all such ordinances is to destroy the idea of America; that all people are created equal under the law. People's rights pre-exist govt!

Karen Grube

Location: 92027, Escondido
Submitted At: 8:06pm 04-22-22

This ordinance DOES NOT protect women You say you want women to "achieve full, substantive equality with men," yet you intentionally put them at a disadvantage against men pretending to be women. By "enabling all persons identifying as transgender, nonbinary, an/or gender-nonconforming to achieve full equality and equity" you make it more difficult for women to achieve full equality. It isn't REAL women you care about; it's your agenda that intends to erase the differences between men and women.

shelli mathieu

Location: 92102, San Diego
Submitted At: 6:50pm 04-22-22

Why are the rights of women eroding every day. Women are losing the right to a safe abortion and now we are losing the right to even be women. Why are you taking this away from us. Do not change the language because of this new movement that is harmful to women. Do not let us lose our right to be pregnant women and mothers. Transgender people can have their own category and there are times where transgender "women" have harmed or raped women. Let women keep our safe spaces and categories.

Kelly Donovan

Location:
Submitted At: 11:37am 04-22-22

All this will do is further degrade, demean and discriminate against REAL women. This will endanger REAL women and make life harder for women than it already is. There are TWO (2) sexes and those living with their delusions are such small minority, that it the egregious behavior this board to take action to harm REAL women. The UN is an un-American organization and this act on the part of this board is also un-American. This board is a blight and its action are a BLIGHT on San Diego County.

Diane Ake

Location: 92120, San Diego
Submitted At: 8:54am 04-22-22

Ironically under the guise of strengthening women's rights, this will weaken them because biological males identifying as females will be allowed to compete in women's sports and will endanger women by allowing biological men to enter shelters, prisons and more.

Diane Munoz

Location: 92142, San Diego
Submitted At: 8:44pm 04-21-22

This ordinance will not eradicate discrimination against girls/women but take away rights & opportunities from girls/women and put them at risk including an unsafe environment!! This ordinance radically allows biological men to self-identify as women more easily and will endanger biological girls/women by granting pedophiles or other bad actors access to women only spaces.