

Board of Supervisors

Meeting Time: 01-13-26 09:00


eComments Report

Meetings	Meeting Time	Agenda Items	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
Board of Supervisors	01-13-26 09:00	30	1	0	1	0

Sentiments for All Meetings

The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

Overall Sentiment




Board of Supervisors
01-13-26 09:00

Agenda Name	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
5. APPOINTMENTS: VARIOUS	1	0	1	0

Sentiments for All Agenda Items

The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.


Overall Sentiment



Agenda Item: eComments for 5. APPOINTMENTS: VARIOUS

Overall Sentiment

Support (0%) Oppose (100%) Neutral (0%)
No Response (0%)



Paul Henkin

Location: 91902, Bonita

Submitted At: 3:16pm 01-07-26

These are matter of Public interest. Sole-Source Contract, with their potential for fraud, Ordinances (both 1st and 2nd readings) and appointments should be in the DISCUSSION section, not buried in consent with a number of other items competing for time and attention. And the reason for including 2nd readings in discussion is that in a true democracy, it's important to include everyone's reactions, even after the first reading in order to get every potential solution or idea to make things better.

This is not a one way street where your opinion is automatically the best. In fact, history tells us otherwise.

In addition, all applicants or appointees should be tested from previous experience, and the results published, as to how much they support open dialog and how ready they are to consider alternative suggestions. There are too many people in government, local on up, who do not give a hoot what the people who bear the brunt of their decisions think.