

ATTACHMENT

For Item

#25

Tuesday,
August 27,
2024

PUBLIC COMMUNICATION RECEIVED BY THE CLERK
OF THE BOARD



July 18, 2024

County of San Diego Planning Commission
County Operations Center
5520 Overland
San Diego, CA 92123

[DELIVERED VIA EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE]

RE: Comments Regarding Draft Climate Action Plan

Dear Members of the County of San Diego Planning Commission,

On behalf of the undersigned coalition, we'd like to thank you for your decision to hold a workshop regarding the draft County of San Diego Climate Action Plan (CAP). We believe this is an appropriate venue for Commissioners to ask questions and learn more about the details of the CAP.

As we mentioned previously, our coalition's members have been leaders in reducing greenhouse gas emissions from the built environment. Our members see themselves as part of the solution to addressing climate change. Our members, and for those who have business commercial or residential property, their tenants also recognize and support reasonable measures to increase energy efficiency.

It's important to note, and as the 2019 greenhouse gas inventory demonstrates, 45 percent of climate emissions are from "on-road transportation." Our coalition believes the best way to control transportation emissions is to increase jobs creation adjacent to where people live. This live-work balance is even more important in the numerous unincorporated communities in the County of San Diego.

On June 6th, the Board of Supervisors supported County staff's efforts to begin processing development projects consistent with the General Plan under Section 15183, without the need to further environmental analysis. The CAP Checklist is an important element to allow for the buildout of the General Plan, and as proposed, elements of the CAP and the CAP Checklist make development within the unincorporated areas of the county prohibitive.

Below are specific areas in which we have concern and believe more information is needed prior to adoption of draft CAP and/or the CAP Checklist:

1. T-3.1: While we support the movement toward electric vehicles and understand the need to provide the necessary charging infrastructure, we believe that any mandate to construct this equipment should be aligned with demand, rather than an arbitrary requirement to build the infrastructure. This is an extremely expensive requirement that is often unfeasible in commercial

settings. Serving those additional loads on a property-by-property basis can be challenging, and expensive, particularly in the unincorporated county.

Question:

Has an analysis been completed to understand the cost for non-county property owners to implement these actions?

2. T-6.2a: Transportation demand management (TDM) is a cautionary tale. In some cases, mandates under a TDM program that drive either operational changes or impact employees from a cost or convenience standpoint could harm economic development efforts, as well as conflicts with the greatest means of reducing emissions, which is having jobs adjacent to homes. Voluntary programs, coupled with financial incentives to pay for ride sharing are something to be used and expanded, as outlined in Actions T-6.1 and T-6.3.

Questions:

Has staff developed a working outline of what the TDM ordinance would include, and what options and/or requirements would be placed on new development in the unincorporated areas? Has staff reviewed other jurisdictions and analyzed the cost to implement the options to be included in the ordinance?

3. E-2.1: Our coalition opposes building electrification mandates. Numerous examples exist of the need for a variety of clean energy production methods that cannot meet this standard. Whether its life sciences, restaurants, or other manufacturers, including the provision of services in larger buildings, some processes or equipment require natural gas. It's a relatively small amount, by comparison to other emission sources, and shouldn't be immediately discarded given the implications. It is important to note that a recent decision by the full federal 9th Circuit Court of Appeals to uphold the denial of the City of Berkeley's electrification ordinance suggests that electrification mandates are not legal and should not be pursued.

Question:

Has staff reviewed the *Berkeley* decision and the impact on this action?

4. E-2.2 and W-2.1/2.2: The State of California already has some of the most stringent energy efficiency requirements in the world. Some jurisdictions like the public relations narrative of going beyond state requirements using "reach" or "stretch" codes. Even though the State has offered additional tiers beyond the CalGreen code, they are strictly voluntary, and in our member's experience, it has been a challenge to implement those more stringent "tiers" in other jurisdictions. Reaching a 30% electrification in residential will likely not be able to be accomplished through incentives alone. The County should consider the total cost of energy retrofits when evaluating incentive programs as building retrofits far exceed the cost of replacing gas appliances.

Questions:

Has staff analyzed the cost for existing residential and non-residential developments to implement the required codes outlined in this section?

To what extent are projects currently required to achieve any of the proposed codes?

What “qualifying improvements” would trigger the requirement to make these additional upgrades?

Would existing buildings fall under a Building Energy Performance Standard? How would such a standard be developed?

What is the breakdown of energy reductions among the three actions outlined in E-22?

In closing, the undersigned coalition would also like to note that the implementation of the County’s CAP and the specific measures to be utilized are critically important to ensuring a reasonable, practical, and feasible program in order to achieve the goals set forth in the County’s General Plan.

Thank you for your time and consideration of our questions.


Sincerely,



Jerry Sanders
President & CEO
San Diego Regional Chamber of Commerce



Lori Holt Pfeiler
President & CEO
Building Industry Association of San Diego
County



Craig Benedetto
Legislative Advocate
NAIOP San Diego



Melanie Woods
Vice President, Local Public Affairs
California Apartment Association



Chris Duggan
Director, Local Government Affairs
California Restaurant Association



Molly Kirkland
Director of Public Affairs
Southern California Rental Housing
Association

From: henkinp@earthlink.net
To: [MontgomerySteppe, Monica](#); [Vargas, Nora \(BOS\)](#); [Lawson-Remer, Terra](#); [Desmond, Jim](#); [Anderson, Joel](#)
Cc: [FGG, Public Comment](#)
Subject: [External] BOARD REFERRAL - STATUS
Date: Friday, July 19, 2024 12:09:12 PM

Hi Monica, Supervisors,

At the 4/9 regular meeting, Perita and Cesar Javier mentioned that a pottery kiln, apparently releasing noxious substances affecting their health, was located in their neighborhood, possibly in violation of zoning or environmental rules. You gave them a referral. What was the outcome?

The outcomes of referrals to County Staff, made at public meetings, should be reported at the next meeting.

In fact, at the 7/16 meeting, Perita Javier again mentioned her health problems possibly coming from the pottery kiln. This would be an excellent time to use hand-held air pollution monitors, to measure (zero in on) air pollution and its components coming from a specific source (an accidental leak, maybe?) in the immediate area.

I am also a bit surprised that there was no referral at that meeting.

Please, though, let me know the status of the referral on 4/9.

Regards,

Paul Henkin

From: [David Xu](#)
To: [FGG, BCC](#)
Cc: [FGG, Public Comment](#); [Potter, Andrew](#)
Subject: [External] Proclamation of Childhood Cancer Awareness Month
Date: Thursday, July 18, 2024 12:25:47 PM
Attachments: [clear logo.png](#)
[sample proclamation.pdf](#)

Dear San Diego County Board of Directors,

My name is David Xu, and I founded YouthvsCancer (www.youthvscancer.org), a 501c3 non-profit in San Diego empowering youth leadership to raise awareness and funds for childhood cancer research. I currently go to Pacific Trails Middle School. I will be in the 8th grade in the fall.

I started YouthvsCancer after my older brother passed away from a rare type of kidney cancer. Since then, my family has been trying their best to raise money for children's cancer so no other child will have the same fate as my brother. We are currently trying to let it be known that September is childhood cancer awareness month. Although it will be a small act, it will make a huge difference! However, we cannot make this wish a reality unless you take action.

September is National Childhood Cancer Awareness Month. This month provides an excellent opportunity for San Diego County to demonstrate its support in recognizing that childhood cancer touches the lives of those who live in our community. Of those diagnosed, approximately 20% of our children will die and 60% will survive challenged by life-altering conditions. Childhood cancer does not discriminate by race, economic level, or region. We cannot ignore the #1 disease killer of our children. Recognition of this month is a wonderful opportunity to educate the public of the reality of childhood cancer and its impact on our children, our families, our community.

YouthvsCancer would be honored if San Diego county would sponsor an official proclamation to recognize September as San Diego County Childhood Cancer Awareness Month, just like a lot of other counties in California did.

Your proclamation would lend official recognition to the important work of educating the public, as well as emphasize your personal commitment to help support your constituency. I have enclosed a sample proclamation which may help your office compose the appropriate proclamation for San Diego.

If the board has any questions concerning the request, the sample proclamation, or National Childhood Cancer Awareness Month, please email me at info@youthvscancer.org. I will follow-up with your office on this request in the next few days. As always, we appreciate your support of National Childhood Cancer Awareness Month and helping care for our children in need, to help them to survive, and help them to thrive throughout their lives.

Thank you for consideration on this special request!

Sincerely,
David Xu
Founder & President



SAMPLE LOCAL PROCLAMATION:

PROCLAMATION CHILDHOOD CANCER AWARENESS MONTH SEPTEMBER 20XX

- Whereas Childhood Cancer is the #1 disease related killer of kids and teenagers in the U.S. One in five children diagnosed with cancer will die within five years of diagnosis. In addition, 1 in 285 American children will be diagnosed with cancer before the age of 20. Cancer alone represents nearly half of the top seven causes of death by disease in children aged 0-19 yrs. The average age of death for a child with cancer is 8, causing a child to lose 70 years of expected life.
- Whereas Childhood cancer is on the rise, with an estimated 17,293 children diagnosed every year (47 per day) in the United States alone. Childhood cancers and adult cancers are different, yet we continue to use the downsized adult cancer protocols on kids with many times devastating effects. The lack of childhood cancer research has tremendous impact on kids and significant costs to society.
- Whereas 1,190 children (aged 0 -14) and 540 adolescents (aged 15-19) are expected to die from cancer in 2022.
- Whereas The overall incidence of childhood cancer is on the increase, averaging 0.8% increase per year since 1975.
- Whereas Children who survive the five years after cancer diagnosis experience a 15-fold increased risk of developing Congestive Heart Failure and 7-fold higher risk of premature death due to cardiac causes. Survivors are also at increased risk for reoccurrence of the original cancer or of a secondary cancer. More than 95% of childhood cancer survivors will have a significant health related issue by the time they are 45 years of age; these health-related issues are side-effects of either the cancer or more commonly, the result of its treatment. 1/3 will suffer severe and chronic side effects; 1/3 will suffer moderate to severe health problems; and 1/3 will suffer slight to moderate side effects.
- Whereas The five-year survival rate for DIPG (Diffuse Intrinsic Pontine Gliomas) remains almost 0%. The median survival for a child diagnosed with DIPG is 8-11 months.
- Whereas The financial toll that a childhood cancer diagnosis and treatment can take on a family is devastating. The average cost associated with childhood cancer for a single child is \$833,000 in medical costs and lost parental wages (based upon 2018 figures).

- Whereas Many adult cancers can be diagnosed early, yet in 80% of kids, cancer has already spread to other areas of the body by the time it is diagnosed.
- Whereas It is now understood by the scientific and research community that hazardous exposures in the environment are powerful causes of cancer in children and such exposures can be reduced or eliminated to decrease the rising number of children diagnosed with cancer.
- Whereas Despite these facts, childhood cancer research is vastly and consistently underfunded. A total of 34 drugs have been approved by the FDA for use in the treatment of childhood cancers. 28 of these drugs were originally approved only for adult use. Today we have only six drugs that were approved in the first instance for use in cancer treatment for children.

Now therefore, I, (name of elected official, title), by the authority vested in this office do hereby proclaim September 2022 as Childhood Cancer Awareness Month in (city/county/state). I encourage our community including all citizens, government agencies, private businesses, nonprofit organizations, the media, and other interested groups to increase awareness of what Americans can do to support the fight against childhood cancer.

From: [Zurita, Teresa](#)
To: [Valdivia, Janely](#); [Vizcarra, Nancy](#)
Subject: RE: Referral Request: Web Feedback
Date: Thursday, July 25, 2024 10:13:04 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: COB, Records <COBRecords@sdcounty.ca.gov>
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2024 10:09 AM
To: Zurita, Teresa <Teresa.Zurita@sdcounty.ca.gov>; Valdivia, Janely <Janely.Valdivia@sdcounty.ca.gov>; Vizcarra, Nancy <Nancy.Vizcarra@sdcounty.ca.gov>; Miller, Elizabeth <Elizabeth.Miller2@sdcounty.ca.gov>
Subject: Referral Request: Web Feedback

Good morning Board Ops Team,

Please see below referral for your processing.

Thank you and please let us know if you have any questions.

KATHERINE ILAGAN|Admin Analyst II
Public Records Act Unit, Public Records Request Center Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
1600 Pacific Highway Rm 402, San Diego, CA 92101
1 (619) 531-5368 |Telework: Tues-Fri 830-5pm
Pronouns: She/Her/Hers

-----Original Message-----

From: AEMAAdmin@sdcounty.ca.gov <AEMAAdmin@sdcounty.ca.gov>
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2024 9:44 AM
To: COB, Records <COBRecords@sdcounty.ca.gov>
Subject: Web Feedback

You've received a new form based mail from <https://www.sandiegocounty.gov/content/sdc/cob/feedback.html>.

Values:

COMMENT :

Dear Sir/madam: We have been voicing potential impact of Ceramics Kiln emitting poison gas/toxicity situated in a Residential Zone neighborhood at County D-4 , City D9 specifically at 5238 Streamview Dr SD West Chollas Creek (within the Environment Justice blue spot / APCD emission area). Is LUEG of APCD and County the same group crafting, revising Mun Code/ ordinance? The City of SD copied Code Ruling 11 and 10 revised it(particularly Rule 11 merely deleting wood fuel for ceramics kiln) the City implemented this and permitting it in a Residential Zone, violation of CA Land Use & Planning Laws on variance. We reported it to Environment & Health and so with Land Use and pointed/advised us to APCD(LUEG).. WE BELIEVE THE COUNTY CAN RESOLVE THE ISSUE

BECAUSE THE RULING IS VAGUE , SUBJECT TO INTERPRETATION..ITS NOT ONLY IN RESIDENTIAL BUT CLOSE TO WALDORF & MARSHALL ELEM. SCHOOL..CHILDREN & VULNERABLE RESIDENTS ARE AT THE MERCY OF HONEST & WISE RULING. HELP

NAME :

Cesar & Purita Javier(consistent attendees of BD Supervisor Meeting)

PHONE :

[REDACTED]

Submit :

Submit

From: Jett888 Jett888 <jettart@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 24, 2024 4:13 PM
To: District1@chulavistaca.gov; Vargas, Nora; Potter, Andrew; FGG, Public Comment; Lue, Askparks; Matt Little; Councilmember Jill Galvez; assemblymember.alvarez@assembly.ca.gov; marco.verdugo@sdcca.org; Board of Ethics [External] Preservation of Public Access to Equestrian Facilities in District 1
Subject: Contract_compliance_access_contradictions_th.jpg; UNIONTRIBarticMARKUP!.jpg; Not_APPROVED_contract_Number90_028.jpg; COPSJULy4dominiquez702.jpg; locked out.jpg; LAWS_Comply_Adhere_SSC.jpg; TractorMay15_2024_No_warning.jpg
Attachments:
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Stacy Jett
[Jettart@gmail.com](mailto:jettart@gmail.com)
July 24, 2024

Subject: Preservation of Public Access to Equestrian Facilities in District 1
Parks and Recreation. Rohr Park, City Chula Vista and county Public Lands/Facilities.

San Diego County Board of Supervisors, District 1
Attn: Nora Vargas
Email: nvargas@sdcounty.ca.gov
Andrew.Potter@sdcounty.ca.gov
PublicComment@sdcounty.ca.gov
askparks.lue@sdcounty.ca.gov

District 1 councilmember City of Chula Vista, Carolina Chavez

District1@chulavistaca.gov

276 Fourth Avenue
Chula Vista, CA 91910
(619) 585-5717

Chula Vista Parks and Recreation
Martin Calvo

San Diego County Parks and Recreation Department
Attn: Brian Albright
Email: balbright@sdcounty.ca.gov

Dear Supervisors. County Board of Supervisors, City councils and Parks and Recreation Department, Board of Ethics and to whom it concerns. San Diego County officials, /District 1/Chula Vista City officials,

I am writing to express my deep concern regarding the developments at Rohr Park, specifically concerning the locking of the public equestrian arena by the Sunnyside Saddle Club (SSC), which began as long ago as April 2023. (Title 2. Public Nuisances CA Civil Code 3490. No lapse of time can legalize a public nuisance, amounting to an actual obstruction of public rights.) As a resident of Bonita and a longtime user of this public facility, I believe it is imperative to remind San Diego County of its obligation to provide essential amenities, including public access to equestrian facilities, to the community. I have frequented and relied upon this arena for decades to manage my horses. The city officials of Chula Vista, also within District 1, approved a 5 year, 24/7 lockout of the public from accessing this public park facility.

In addition to this, Judy Tieber, the president of the Sweetwater Valley Civic Association (located in Bonita, in San Diego County) publicly approved the 'exclusive use' contract between Sunnyside Saddle Club and Chula Vista at the October 17, 2023 City Council meeting. Civic centers are intended to uphold and support the public's ability to access their Public parks and facilities, not privatize them. This decision reflects a profound breach of public trust.

The required notifications of this important community matter were not met, as even the local Bonita Valley Horsemen president and members were unaware of the meeting. However, Judy Tieber, the president of the Sweetwater Valley Civic Association, was coincidentally informed and publicly supported the 'exclusive use' contract between Sunnyside Saddle Club and Chula Vista on October 17, 2023 during a Chula Vista City Council. According to her LinkedIn page As of July 24, 2024, Tieber owns the Mt. Miguel Equestrian Stables, a boarding facility used by many Sunnyside Saddle Club members. This lack of transparency is concerning, especially considering the impact on our community.

As a result, members of the community, including myself, are being unjustly kicked out of the entire park and the facility when attempting to utilize this vital asset as well as being harrassed and physically intimidated by the Sunnyside Saddle Club affiliates. My husband, today, was just served a restraining order by Richelle Farley, for "workplace violence" which wasn't approved but he still now needs to appear in court to defend himself. He has seen Richelle Farley one time when she approached us. Richelle had approached me and my horses in the round pen and began video taping me. My husband began video taping her. All interactions are documented. Richelle's "workplace" is listed as 4548 Sweetwater Road, Bonita CA, 91902, this is the Rohr Park location. Is Richelle employed by the City of Chula Vista? Labeling it as 'workplace violence,' seems like an extremely desperate attempt to keep a member from accessing public park facilities and practicing their Constitutionally protected rights. This is just one more addition to the harassmnet tactics we continue to face." How much longer will both San Diego County and the City of Chula Vista support this type of harassment and treatment of a member of the public?

I am deeply concerned about the fairness of the legal process in light of recent events. My husband has been served with a temporary restraining order under the guise of 'workplace violence,' despite there being no substantiated evidence or prior incidents to support such a claim. This action appears to be a desperate attempt to prevent our access to public park facilities, further exacerbated by documented instances of harassment and intimidation by affiliates of the Sunnyside Saddle Club. Given the ongoing challenges with city officials regarding the application of laws and codes, I worry about the impartiality and fairness of the judgment process. It is crucial that the legal system upholds transparency and adheres to due process, ensuring that all individuals are treated fairly and their rights are fully protected.

The actions taken by Sunnyside Saddle Clubs president, Richelle Farley, with apparent support from local officials, to deny public access through the implementation of locks on the arena gates, are alarming and in violation of California Resource Code Sections 5400-5409. These sections clearly stipulate that if public park facilities are repurposed or taken, comparable facilities must be provided to the same community in the same location. Locking a historically accessible facility and granting exclusive use to a private entity directly contradicts this mandate.

Government Code section 25123 is relevant as the locking of the public equestrian arena at Rohr Park by Sunnyside Saddle Club contravenes the conditions under which the park was accepted for public use.

In light of California Civil Code section 3334, which addresses the detriment caused by the wrongful occupation of real property, the ongoing restriction of public access to the equestrian facilities at Rohr Park by Sunnyside Saddle Club represents a clear violation of public trust and legal obligations. The public arena and surrounding facilities were historically utilized by the community, including backyard horse owners like myself, for recreational and equestrian activities. By granting exclusive use and allowing locks to be placed on these public facilities, Chula Vista and San Diego County is effectively depriving the public of their rightful use of public resources without proper legal justification. This not only disregards the intent of the original acceptance of the parkland but also undermines the principles of fairness and equitable access to public amenities as mandated by California law.

The fiduciary duty of San Diego County extends beyond mere oversight; it encompasses the responsibility to safeguard public assets for the benefit of all residents, including backyard horse owners like myself. The decision by County Supervisor Nora Vargas to grant \$25,000 of public funds to Sunnyside Saddle Club exclusively for their 'show seasons' represents a significant misuse of taxpayer money. This grant, intended for neighborhood reinvestment, was allocated without proper consideration for equitable community access or public benefit. By denying access to the equestrian facilities at Rohr Park and requiring membership for participation in their shows, as well as mandating restricted use, Sunnyside Saddle Club effectively excludes the broader public from enjoying these amenities, which were historically accessible to all. Furthermore, the promised improvements funded by this grant, such as new fencing and arena maintenance, have not materialized after nine months, demonstrating a lack of accountability in the use of public funds. This action undermines the principles of fairness and responsible stewardship of public resources, highlighting the need for transparency and equitable

distribution of county resources.

District 1, which includes Bonita and Chula Vista, relies on shared amenities like the Rohr Park equestrian arena to foster community engagement and support recreational activities. As a resident and taxpayer, I urge the County to reconsider its position and take immediate steps to restore public access to the arena. Furthermore, I call upon Supervisor Nora Vargas and the Board of Supervisors to uphold their commitment to transparency and accountability in matters affecting public lands.

There is an alarming trend in San Diego County where public equestrian facilities are being dilapidated, stolen, shut down, privatized, and taken over. San Diego County has allowed this troubling trend of handing over public facilities to private entities for private profit, alongside the closure of public arenas despite acknowledging a thriving horse community in areas like Bonita, Lakeside, Dehesa/Crest. This practice extends beyond the monopolization of the Rohr Park arena by Sunnyside Saddle Club. For example, some facilities charge exorbitant fees for public use, up to \$125-\$175 per hour, despite being developed through community contributions. This pattern not only restricts public access but also undermines the county's responsibility to manage public assets in the best interest of all residents. It raises serious concerns about equity and fairness in the allocation and use of public resources. Additionally, round pens, vital amenities for horse owners, have been removed from San Diego park lands without replacement by the county. The shutting down or blocking public access to vital riding arenas is unacceptable. As such, I am exploring legal avenues to address these violations and seek appropriate remedies to uphold community rights and access to public amenities.

This is what disease is made from—these unexpected shocks and injustices. The unwarranted actions taken against us, including the unjustified restraining order and the denial of our constitutional right to use a public park facility, have caused immense stress and disruption to our lives. It is disheartening to see public officials turning a blind eye to legal violations and allowing such harassment tactics to persist. The contract approval ignored legal standards and violated our community's trust. We are left questioning how such unfair treatment can continue unchecked. This must be addressed, not just for our sake but for the integrity of our community and the principles of justice that should guide our governance.

In conclusion, I respectfully request a prompt review of the current situation at Rohr Park and urge the County to take proactive measures to ensure that public assets remain accessible to all residents. Please let this serve as a reminder of the County's obligation to represent the interests of the entire community and uphold the principles of fairness and inclusivity in land use and resource allocation.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I look forward to your response and actions in support of preserving public access to essential equestrian facilities in District 1.

Sincerely,

Stacy Jett

I've enclosed images which show or illustrate what I have mentioned.

I have video documentation as well.

Also troubling is the harassment when being in the Park by large tractors, or SSC affiliates actions.

: GRANT OF LICENSE.
lity. **October 18, 2023**
("Effective Date")

FACILITIES USE LICENSE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF CHULA VISTA AND SUNNYSIDE SADDLE CLUB

Property Right. This Agreement is a limited License for the use of the Facility for those particular purposes identified in section 1.2. Nothing in this Agreement shall be interpreted to grant any interest in the Facility other than that specified in this Agreement. At no time shall the rights granted by this License ripen into a leasehold or fee interest with a claim or right to exclusive possession thereof.

Intended Uses. It is expressly agreed that the Facility shall be used by Licensee solely and exclusively for the purposes of non-professional equestrian activities, shows, and competitions during the times and dates specified in section 6.1 to be scheduled by Licensee, and with City approval on dates and times other than those specified in section 6.1. Licensee covenants and agrees to use the Facility only for the specified purposes. Licensee shall not use or permit any use of the Facility in any manner which obstructs the use and quiet enjoyment by City, any City-authorized parties (including members of the Club), making use of the Facility or Park, or any adjacent property owners or tenants. In the event that Licensee uses the Facility for purposes not expressly authorized herein, Licensee shall be deemed in violation of this Agreement.

: TERM

a. The term of the License shall begin on October 18, 2023 and end on October 17, 2028.

Options to Extend. The City, at its sole discretion, has the option to extend this License for an additional year term. The City Manager shall be authorized to exercise the extensions on behalf of the City. If the City exercises an option to extend, such extension shall be on the same terms and conditions contained in this Agreement.

LICENSEE OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENTS.

Compliance with Laws. During the Term, Licensee shall comply, at its sole cost and expense, with all applicable laws, ordinances, codes, rules, regulations, orders, and other lawful requirements of the governmental bodies having jurisdiction, which are applicable to, or by reason of, Licensee's particular use of the Facility.

Access Control. Licensee shall provide access control to the Facility in a manner approved by City to prevent unauthorized access to the Facility consistent with the terms of the License for the term of this Agreement.

USE, SCHEDULING, AND FEES

Primary Use of the Facility.

Primary Facility. Licensee is licensed to use the Primary Facility for equestrian activities every day of the calendar year during Park's normal hours of operation. No other activities shall be permitted.

**24/7 for 5 years to Block out the Public from
Public Park Facilities is NOT "REASONABLE"**

2010 California Code Public Resources Code Chapter 2.5. Preservation Of Public Park

PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE

SECTION 5400-5409

5400. This chapter shall be known as the Public Park Preservation Act of 1971.

5401. (a) No city, city and county, county, public district, or agency of the state, including any division, department or agency of the state government, or public utility, shall acquire (by purchase, exchange, condemnation, or otherwise) any real property, which property is in use as a public park at the time of such acquisition, for the purpose of utilizing such property for any nonpark purpose, unless the acquiring entity pays or transfers to the legislative body of the entity operating the park sufficient compensation or land, or both, as required by the provisions of this chapter to enable the operating entity to replace the park land and the facilities thereon.

(b) Where the operating entity and the acquiring entity are one and the same, the entity is subject to the provisions of this chapter pertaining to both operating and acquiring entities, and the entity is, as acquiring entity, required to make funds or land, or both,

CHULA VISTA MUNICIPAL CODES:


2.66.010 Purpose and intent of provisions.



It is the purpose of the City Council, in establishing rules and regulations governing the use of public parks and recreational areas in the City, to ensure the public in general, and the inhabitants of the community in particular, full and equal rights to the use and enjoyment of said public facilities without regard to the status or condition of the users of the facilities. It is recognized that generally and the inhabitants of any community have a right to have publicly owned premises maintained in a sanitary and orderly condition. Further, the City Council recognizes the right of individuals to speak, write and freely disseminate their views and to make full use of public facilities so long as said rights are exercised in the manner which is not inconsistent with the rights of others and violative of said rights. It is the intent of the City Council, being aware that rights are absolute, to regulate and prohibit certain activities in public parks and other places of public recreation within the City, in the interest of protecting the enjoyment and safety of the public in the use of the facilities. To further this purpose and intent, the Director of Recreation and the Director of Public Works and the City Council are authorized to both promulgate reasonable rules and regulations and post signage as may be necessary and appropriate to carry out the purpose of this chapter. (Ord. 3322 § 1, 2014; Ord. 2651 § 1, 1995; Ord. 1557 § 1, 1974; Ord. 1179 § 1 Art. 3)

Who owns Rohr Park's horse arena? Equestrians speak up in defense of preserving a public amenity

A private club claimed ownership of the Chula Vista facility The city said it belongs to the public

 Chula Vista, CA - May 25: Janeen Reed rides her horse Amber at Rohr Park on Thursday, May 25, 2023 in Chula Vista, CA. (Meg McLaughlin / The San Diego Union-Tribune)

The San Diego Union-Tribune

Chula Vista, CA - May 25: Janeen Reed rides her horse Amber at Rohr Park on Thursday, May 25, 2023 in Chula Vista, CA. (Meg McLaughlin / The San Diego Union-Tribune)



By **TAMMY MURGA** | tammy.murga@sduniontribune.com | The San Diego Union-Tribune

UPDATED: June 4, 2023 at 12:01 p.m.

**City officials
acknowledged the
arena had been
WRONGLY LOCKED
for a week in May.**

(TRY SINCE APRIL)

Janeen Reed has been riding her horse from her home in Bonita to the nearby Rohr Park's outdoor arena for 20 years. So when she heard last month that a private saddle club had cut off access to the public arena, she and several other riders went to the park's owners: the city of Chula Vista.

It appears their voices were heard.

City officials acknowledged the arena had been wrongly locked for a week in May, and said it will remain accessible to the public.

According to several riders, the Sunnyside Saddle Club locked the gated ring on May 8. The lock was removed May 15 after the city's Parks and Recreation Department learned about the closure.

Sunnyside did not return multiple requests for comment.

The Bonita Valley Horsemen had four city-approved permits for events between March and November. A week before their scheduled May 20 event, Sunnyside told the club it could not host its event because Sunnyside owned the arena and could refuse access, Reed said.

"I didn't understand," she said. "We had a valid permit."

In a May 11 Facebook post, Sunnyside announced the ring's closure.

NOT APPROVED

RESOLUTION NO. 15542

RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CHULA VISTA APPROVING FACILITY USE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF CHULA VISTA AND THE SUNNYSIDE SADDLE CLUB, AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE SAID AGREEMENT ON BEHALF OF THE CITY

The City Council of the City of Chula Vista does hereby resolve as follows:



WHEREAS, a Facility Use Agreement has been negotiated with the Sunnyside Saddle Club for the continual use of City property at Rohr Park.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the City Council of the City of Chula Vista does hereby approve a Facility Use Agreement with the Sunnyside Saddle Club for continual use of City property at Rohr Park designated as city document, Contract Number 90-028.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Mayor of the City of Chula Vista be, and he is hereby authorized and directed to execute said agreement for and on behalf of the City of Chula Vista.

Presented by:


Approved as to form by:





<https://chulavista.municipal.codes/CVMC>

2.66.010 Purpose and intent of provisions.

SunnySide in Rohr P

It is the purpose of the City Council, in establishing rules and regulation and recreational areas in the City, to ensure the public in general, and the community in particular, full and equal rights to the use and enjoyment without regard to the status or condition of the users of the facilities. It generally and the inhabitants of any community have a right to have pu maintained in a sanitary and orderly condition. Further, the City Council individuals to speak, write and freely disseminate their views and to ma facilities so long as said rights are exercised in the manner which is no rights of others and violative of said rights. It is the intent of the City C rights are absolute, to regulate and prohibit certain activities in public p public recreation within the City, in the interest of protecting the enjoym in the use of the facilities. To further this purpose and intent, the Directo Director of Public Works and the City Council are authorized to both pro and regulations and post signage as may be necessary and appropriate of this chapter. (Ord. 3322 § 1, 2014; Ord. 2651 § 1, 1995; Ord. 1557 §



**FACILITIES USE LICENSE AGREEMENT
BETWEEN THE CITY OF CHULA VISTA
AND
SUNNYSIDE SADDLE CLUB**

3. LICENSEE OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENTS.

Compliance with Laws. During the Term, Licensee shall comply, at its sole cost and expense, with all applicable laws, ordinances, codes, rules, regulations, orders, and other lawful requirements of governmental bodies having jurisdiction, which are applicable to, or by reason of, Licensee's use of the Facility.

Compliance with Controlling Law. Licensee shall comply with all laws, ordinances, regulations, and rules of the federal, state, and local governments applicable to this Agreement. In addition, Licensee shall comply immediately with all directives issued by the City or its authorized representatives with respect to any laws, statutes, ordinances, rules, or regulations, with respect to its use of the Facility under this Agreement. The laws of the State of California shall govern and control the terms and conditions of this Agreement.



111

3 4 5

TO: San Diego County Board of Supervisors (BOS)

FROM: Ronald F. Owens Jr., Retired Information Officer 2 (IO2),
California Department of Public Health (CDPH) <ronald@muzzledtruth.com>

SUBJECT: “[COVID-19] *Vaccines Are Killing People...*,” Federal Health Chief Said

DATE: July 29, 2024

United States Department of Health and Human Services Secretary Xavier Becerra said on April 14, 2022: “*We know that [COVID-19] vaccines are killing people of color, Blacks, Latinos, Indigenous People at about two times the rate of White Americans.*”

Secretary Becerra’s “*Secondly, by having better data we can do a couple things—vaccines, a year ago today, by the way we know that vaccines are killing people...*” quote appears on the White House’s official YouTube channel ([source 1](#)), and begins at the 45:50 mark. To listen to Becerra’s quote in context, scroll back to the 42:53 mark to hear the question asked of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Secretary Marcia Fudge, as well as Secretary Becerra.

Hello, I was an Information Officer 2 with CDPH’s Office of Public Affairs—now Office of Communications— from March 2, 2009 to December 31, 2023. During my last eighteen months at CDPH, I shared with CDPH leadership on multiple occasions what Secretary Becerra said about the risks associated with COVID-19 vaccines. I also emailed Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System (VAERS) data ([source 2](#)) to CDPH management. This data indicated thousands of deaths, hospitalizations, urgent care visitations and doctor office visits. This data also displayed the number of people who developed serious injuries —i.e., anaphylaxis, Bell’s Palsy, miscarriages, heart attacks, myocarditis/pericarditis, permanently disabled, thrombocytopenia/low platelet, life threatening, severe allergic reaction and shingles— as a result of being injected with COVID-19 vaccines. I noted that small pox vaccine program for healthcare workers back in 2003 was halted just after two deaths, according to an August 22, 2003, *New Scientist* article ([source 3](#)). I also noted some medical subject matter experts indicate VAERS data reflects only a small number of actual injuries and deaths. I didn’t know, until developing this memo, that *LifeSite News* reported some of this same data on June 7, 2022 ([source 4](#)).

I do not know whether my management apprised CDPH senior leadership, informed California Health and Human Services Secretary Dr. Mark Ghaly, or alerted California Gov. Gavin Newsom, as I recommended ([source 5](#).)

CDPH management told me it appears that Secretary Becerra misspoke. They said he was actually discussing deaths associated with COVID-19, not the vaccines. During my nearly thirty year California state civil service career, I have served as a Public Information Officer at the Governor’s Office of Emergency Services, the California Department of Motor Vehicles, the

California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office and CDPH. I have been involved with formulating, drafting, formatting, disseminating and posting hundreds of news releases. Anytime there's a misstatement or missing word or typographical error committed by me or by my PIO colleagues or by our subject matter experts—it's just common practice to correct the record. If he misspoke why did we not hear HUD Secretary Fudge, Domestic Policy Advisor Susan Rice and/or Office of Management and Budget Director Shalanda Young immediately ask Becerra to correct his misstatement? And why is that video still on the White House's official YouTube Channel for millions to see and hear?

Unfortunately, CDPH management did not take this alarming information I provided to them seriously. They made it about me, and not about forty million Californians. Initially I was politely ignored, threatened disciplinary action and then muzzled. I was issued a counseling memorandum for sharing with them this alarming information, which they should have shared with Californians way back in April, 2022.

Seeing there was nothing more that I could have done to alert Californians about COVID-19 vaccine health risk warnings as a CDPH IO2, I placed Californians interest over my personal pecuniary interest and retired—immediately incurring a 55 percent pay cut—so state public health officials would not muzzle this information.

Close to my December 31, 2023 retirement date, I learned that in May, 2021, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the American Academy of Pediatricians and others knew that COVID-19 vaccines were injuring and killing people. But they—particularly Dr. Anthony Fauci and CDC Director Dr. Rochelle Walensky—continued to declare “vaccines are safe and effective!” (Note [source 6](#)). Now we know why Secretary Becerra said what he said nearly a year later (April 14, 2022).

After analyzing 325 autopsies ([source 7](#)) medical researchers concluded there's a 73 percent of deaths related to COVID-19 vaccinations, according to a study initially published in *The Lancet*, which was pulled. I note these three other recent other studies:

- **A February, 2024 study conducted in Germany ([source 8](#)), concluded,** “contrary to what would be expected with an effective vaccination, positive instead of negative correlations were observed: the more vaccinations were administered in a federal state, the greater the increase in excess mortality.”
- **An April, 2024 study conducted in Japan ([source 9](#)) concluded,** “statistically significant increases in age-adjusted mortality rates of all cancer and some specific types of cancer, namely, ovarian cancer, leukemia, prostate, lip/oral/pharyngeal, pancreatic, and breast cancers, were observed in 2022 after two-thirds of the Japanese population had received the third or later dose of SARS-CoV-2 mRNA-LNP vaccine. These particularly marked increases in mortality rates of these ERα-sensitive cancers may be attributable to several mechanisms of the mRNA-LNP vaccination rather than COVID-19 infection itself...”
- **A June, 2024 study conducted in Italy ([source 10](#)) concluded,** “The correlation of ITB has allowed us to eliminate remarkable distortions. Due to this bias from the original study about

the effectiveness of COVID 19 vaccines carried out in the province of Pescara, Italy. Moreover, the original study showed that the group who received at least a booster dose had an unlikely significantly lower risk of all cause death versus the unvaccinated. Unlike those vaccinated with one or two doses, who had significantly higher risk than the unvaccinated. We found all cause death risks to be even higher for those vaccinated with one and two doses compared to the unvaccinated and that the lower and that the booster doses were ineffective. We also found a slight statistically significant loss of life expectancy for those vaccinated with 2 3/4 doses.”

I also note that the COVID-19 vaccine injured are being heard.

The Army acknowledged ([source 11](#)) that research has shown that a 24-year-old soldier’s debilitating heart condition (postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome) “was linked to a lesser degree to COVID-19 [mRNA vaccine from Moderna] vaccination.” This soldier’s life-threatening and life-altering condition is representative of hundreds, possibly thousands of other COVID-19 vaccine injury cases, according to this *RealClear Politics* report. Two COVID-19 vaccine injured people sued their respective local governments and won. An Australian man who developed pericarditis after receiving his third dose of the Pfizer mRNA COVID-19 vaccine will be paid weekly compensation and medical bills ([source 12](#)). And a Tennessee woman will be paid \$148,000 for her COVID-19 vaccine injury ([source 13](#)).

Furthermore, the states of Kansas ([source 14](#)) and Texas ([source 15](#)) are suing Pfizer for knowing and concealing that COVID-19 vaccine causes myocarditis, pericarditis, failed pregnancies and deaths. It has been reported that three other states have also sued Pfizer, but at the date of this memo I have not been able to independently confirm the existence of those lawsuits.

California Health & Safety Code (H&SC) 101025 states, “The board of supervisors of each county shall take measures as may be necessary to preserve and protect the public health.”

Therefore given the fact that COVID-19 vaccines pose a serious risk to the public, according to Secretary Becerra’s statement, VAERS data, federal health officials knowledge that COVID-19 vaccines are linked to myocarditis, several studies, plus a plethora of anecdotal reports of people dying suddenly (note African-American filmmaker Jennifer Sharp’s “ANECDOTALS” documentary, [source 16](#)); I strongly urge the Board of Supervisors to warn residents, and pursuant to and in accordance with H&SC 101025, direct San Diego County Health Officer to stop promoting, administering and distributing COVID-19 vaccine “to preserve and protect the public health.”

Please include this document as part of the County public record. I am sending this document to California’s fifty seven other BOS’ and am blind copying residents of some counties.

Thank you.

SOURCES:

Source 1: White House “Convening on Equity Summit,” April 14, 2022, <https://youtu.be/_VhHn06REHM>;

Source 2: Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System, <[https://urldefense.com/v3/_https://openvaers.com/covid-data_!!AvL6XA!iOObqOTEgrhdTJ0pmLiXk3SFpgn-q7suqrAzZ83GX7ILTflhh5N3DuOKaRRKzK_HBNH-dA\\$](https://urldefense.com/v3/_https://openvaers.com/covid-data_!!AvL6XA!iOObqOTEgrhdTJ0pmLiXk3SFpgn-q7suqrAzZ83GX7ILTflhh5N3DuOKaRRKzK_HBNH-dA$)>;

Source 3: *New Scientist*, August 22, 2003, <<https://www.newscientist.com/article/dn4074-us-smallpox-vaccination-plan-grinds-to-a->>;

Source 4: 1,287,595 injuries reported after COVID shots, vaccine injury compensation programs ‘overwhelmed,’ *LifeSite News*, June 7, 2022, <<https://www.lifesitenews.com/news/1287595-injuries-reported-after-covid-shots-vaccine-injury-compensation-programs-overwhelmed>>;

Source 5: Ronald Owens’ CDPH Rejected COVID-19 Treatment and Vaccine Warnings emails, Page 12, <<https://muzzledtruth.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/01/Ronald-F.-Owens-Jr.s-CDPH-Email-Documents.pdf>>;

Source 6: “FOIA’d Emails Reveal Highest-Level Leaders at White House, HHS, CDC, NIAID, AAP All Knew COVID Vaccines Linked to Myocarditis, Yet Publicly Covered Up Findings,” by Amy Kelly, *DailyClout*, October 18, 2023, <<https://dailyclout.io/foiad-emails-reveal-highest-level-leaders-at-white-house-hhs-cdc-niaid-aap-all-knew-covid-vaccines-linked-to-myocarditis-yet-publicly-covered-up-findings>>;

Source 7: A Systematic REVIEW of Autopsy findings in deaths after covid-19 vaccination, <<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0379073824001968>>;

Source 8: Differential Increases in Excess Mortality in the German Federal States During the COVID-19 Pandemic, February, 2024, <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/378124684_Differential_Increases_in_Excess_Mortality_in_the_German_Federal_States_During_the_COVID-19_Pandemic>;

Source 9: Retracted: Increased Age-Adjusted Cancer Mortality After the Third mRNA-Lipid Nanoparticle Vaccine Dose During the COVID-19 Pandemic in Japan, *Cureus*, April 8, 2024, <<https://www.cureus.com/articles/196275-increased-age-adjusted-cancer-mortality-after-the-third-mrna-lipid-nanoparticle-vaccine-dose-during-the-covid-19-pandemic-in-japan?authors-tab=true#!/>>>;

Source 10: A Critical Analysis of All-Cause Deaths during COVID-19 Vaccination in an Italian Province, Submission received: 30 May 2024 / Revised: 25 June 2024 / Accepted: 27 June 2024 /

Published: 30 June 2024, <https://mdpi-res.com/d_attachment/microorganisms/microorganisms-12-01343/article_deploy/microorganisms-12-01343.pdf?version=1719738375>;

Source 11: Catherine Herridge: Army Accused Of Abandoning 24-Year-Old Soldier With Debilitating Heart Condition Caused By Covid Vaccine, *RealClear Politics*, June 24, 2024, <https://www.realclearpolitics.com/video/2024/06/24/catherine_herridge_army_accused_of_abandoning_24-year-old_soldier_with_debilitating_heart_condition_caused_by_covid_vaccine.html>;

Source 12: South Australia State Government employer ordered to pay compensation to public servant for Covid-19 vaccine injury, News.Com.Au, February 1, 2024, <<https://www.news.com.au/finance/work/at-work/south-australia-state-government-employer-ordered-to-pay-compensation-to-public-servant-for-covid19-vaccine-injury/news-story/df4368a86fa40d1e786963ffccc25f97>>;

Source 13: Woman Receives \$148K Vaccine Injury Compensation; Explanation of USA's Vaccine Compensation Program | Facts Matter, EPOCH TV, July 6, 2022, <https://www.theepochtimes.com/epochtv/woman-receives-148k-vaccine-injury-compensation-explanation-of-usas-vaccine-compensation-program-facts-matter-4581829?utm_medium=FactsMatter&utm_source=SocialM&utm_campaign=VaccineCompensation&utm_content=07-06-2022>;

Source 14: Kansas v. Pfizer, <[https://ag.ks.gov/docs/default-source/documents/2024-06-15-pfizer-complaint-\(002\).pdf?sfvrsn=eb8bbe1a_8](https://ag.ks.gov/docs/default-source/documents/2024-06-15-pfizer-complaint-(002).pdf?sfvrsn=eb8bbe1a_8)>;

Source 15: Texas v. Pfizer, <<https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/sites/default/files/images/press/Pfizer%20Vaccine%20Petition%20Filed.pdf>>;

Source 16: "ANECDOTALS" documentary, by Jennifer Sharp, <<https://www.anecdotalsmovie.com>>.

From: henkinp@earthlink.net
Sent: Thursday, August 1, 2024 8:32 AM
To: Desmond, Jim; Anderson, Joel; MontgomerySteppe, Monica; Vargas, Nora (BOS);
Lawson-Remer, Terra
Cc: FGG, Public Comment; Council District 1 - Joe LaCava; Council District 2 - Jennifer
Campbell; stephenwhitburn@sandiego.gov; Council District 5 - Marni von Wilpert;
Council District 6 - Kent Lee; Council District 7 - Raul Campillo; Council District 8 - Vivian
Moreno; Council District 9 - Sean Elo-Rivera; SD Mayor Todd Gloria;
henryfoster@sandiego.gov
Subject: [External] FUTURE RECALL SYNDROME?
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

From: Shannon Levinger <smb.1972@me.com>
Sent: Monday, August 5, 2024 6:25 PM
To: FGG, Public Comment
Cc: decision.makers@change.org
Subject: [External] San Diego County Board of Supervisors, did you hear us?

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear San Diego County Board of Supervisors,

I am supporting a petition called "Halt the Construction of Homeless Cabins Near Our Schools" started by Craig Levinger:
https://www.change.org/p/halt-the-construction-of-homeless-cabins-near-our-schools?utm_source=supporter_emails_dm

You have been identified as a decision maker on this petition so I am reaching out to you for a response. What is your opinion about this petition? You can respond by replying to this email.

Thanks for reading,

P.S. Check out services for decision makers: https://www.change.org/decision-makers?utm_source=supporter_emails_dm

Sent from my iPhone

From: Keke Jones <kekejonesd@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 6, 2024 7:36 AM
To: FGG, Public Comment
Cc: decision.makers@change.org
Subject: [External] San Diego County Board of Supervisors, did you hear us?

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear San Diego County Board of Supervisors,

I am supporting a petition called "Halt the Construction of Homeless Cabins Near Our Schools" started by Craig Levinger:

https://www.change.org/p/halt-the-construction-of-homeless-cabins-near-our-schools?utm_source=supporter_emails_dm

You have been identified as a decision maker on this petition so I am reaching out to you for a response. What is your opinion about this petition? You can respond by replying to this email.

Thanks for reading,

P.S. Check out services for decision makers: https://www.change.org/decision-makers?utm_source=supporter_emails_dm

Keke Jones



From: keke jones <ilovethewater@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 6, 2024 7:37 AM
To: FGG, Public Comment
Cc: decision.makers@change.org
Subject: [External] San Diego County Board of Supervisors, did you hear us?

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear San Diego County Board of Supervisors,

I am supporting a petition called "Halt the Construction of Homeless Cabins Near Our Schools" started by Craig Levinger:

https://www.change.org/p/halt-the-construction-of-homeless-cabins-near-our-schools?utm_source=supporter_emails_dm

You have been identified as a decision maker on this petition so I am reaching out to you for a response. What is your opinion about this petition? You can respond by replying to this email.

Thanks for reading,

P.S. Check out services for decision makers: https://www.change.org/decision-makers?utm_source=supporter_emails_dm

Arturo Manriquez
Lemon Grove resident

From: Sandy Gomez <loveneverfailth@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 6, 2024 8:48 AM
To: FGG, Public Comment
Cc: decision.makers@change.org
Subject: [External] Did you hear us?

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear San Diego County Board of Supervisors,

I am supporting a petition called "Halt the Construction of Homeless Cabins Near Our Schools" started by Craig Levinger:
https://www.change.org/p/halt-the-construction-of-homeless-cabins-near-our-schools?utm_source=campaign_actions_voice_supporter_emails_dm

Here is why I care about this petition:

"We have compassion for the homeless, but no need to be next-door to school. They can be out in the field where there's no children around to see the craziness that usually follows the homelessness."

You have been identified as a decision maker on this petition so I am reaching out to you for a response. What is your opinion about this petition? You can respond by replying to this email.

Thanks for reading,

P.S. Check out services for decision makers such as yourself: https://www.change.org/decision-makers?utm_source=campaign_actions_voice_supporter_emails_dm

Sent from my iPhone

. . .

From: Sandy Gomez <loveneverfaith@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 6, 2024 8:50 AM
To: FGG, Public Comment
Cc: decision.makers@change.org
Subject: [External] Did you hear us?

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear San Diego County Board of Supervisors,

I am supporting a petition called "Halt the Construction of Homeless Cabins Near Our Schools" started by Craig Levinger:
https://www.change.org/p/halt-the-construction-of-homeless-cabins-near-our-schools?utm_source=campaign_actions_voice_supporter_emails_dm

Here is why I care about this petition:

"The homeless cabins should not be nowhere near school, where they are children to see abnormal behavior"

You have been identified as a decision maker on this petition so I am reaching out to you for a response. What is your opinion about this petition? You can respond by replying to this email.

Thanks for reading,

P.S. Check out services for decision makers such as yourself: https://www.change.org/decision-makers?utm_source=campaign_actions_voice_supporter_emails_dm

Sent from my iPhone

From: Belinda Smith <cadmium33@cox.net>
Sent: Wednesday, August 7, 2024 2:50 PM
To: FGG, Public Comment
Subject: [External] SUGGESTED SITE FOR TINY HOMES

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Have you thought of placing the tiny homes near a business park? There are normally very few residences nearby. If you give them temporary work permits, this will give them a hand up instead of a handout. They establish their pride, help the companies fill the low paying jobs, and get the residents off your back because it's not in their neighborhood.

I feel for the illegals, but I definitely understand the taxpayers also. I recently sold my home in La Mesa off Fletcher Parkway and three year ago, I had a homeless man on my back patio until my neighbors saw him.

I am an elderly woman living alone. Because of that incident, I never felt safe. That was one of the reasons I sold my home. I recently moved into a senior living community that is fenced in and has a guard at the entrance. Unfortunately I'm still living with that fear.

I hope you consider my suggestion. Thank you for doing such a good job that all of you do to help us.

Belinda Smith



From: Matt Atlas <saltattam@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 8, 2024 9:50 PM
To: FGG, Public Comment
Cc: decision.makers@change.org
Subject: [External] San Diego County Board of Supervisors, did you hear us?

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear San Diego County Board of Supervisors,

I am supporting a petition called "Halt the Construction of Homeless Cabins Near Our Schools" started by Craig Levinger:

https://www.change.org/p/halt-the-construction-of-homeless-cabins-near-our-schools?utm_source=supporter_emails_dm

This is an incredibly bad decision and will not make the homeless problem any better, but much worse. This will be a prime example of "if you build it, they will come". And to where? A quarter mile from a daycare and an elementary school.

This was all done without the residents' input. This needs to be stopped now!

You have been identified as a decision maker on this petition so I am reaching out to you for a response. What is your opinion about this petition? You can respond by replying to this email.

Thanks for reading,
Matt Atlas
Resident of Lemon Grove

P.S. Check out services for decision makers: https://www.change.org/decision-makers?utm_source=supporter_emails_dm

IMMEDIATE RELEASE

CONTACT INFORMATION:

A1 Properties
Vincent Paul Tolliver



RELEASE DATE:
August 12, 2024

UNAUTHORIZED CONSTRUCTION

North end of Putting Green Ct, east of 3397 Tournament Dr, and south side of Rancho del Oro Rd in Oceanside California

[Oceanside, California, August 3, 2024] — Around April 2024, an UNAUTHORIZED building is being constructed at North end of Putting Green Ct, east of 3397 Tournament Dr, and south side of Rancho del Oro Rd in Oceanside California. I am owner of these properties. I am Vincent Paul Tolliver, owner of A1 Properties, and being Paul Tolliver Trust and Vincent Paul. I do not want this building here. I have not authorized such building to be built. This land is worth 4 \$9,494,585. I have not been paid anything.

UNAUTHORIZED building constructed on Rancho del Oro Rd, east of Tournament Dr, Oceanside CA behind Calle Asuna, Oceanside CA. I am Vincent Paul Tolliver, Paul Tolliver Trust, owner of homes on Calle Asuna and this land bordered by Rancho del Oro as Paul Tolliver and Tolliver Trust.

I am the land and homes owner and being robbed of my property. Persons working on building are Anthony Clark, Robert White, and Benjamin Rodriguez have all went to prison.

May 13, 2024, Aaron Clark, Benjamin Rodriguez, Eric Schmidt, Todd Holden, Nathaniel Wiley and Randall Bailey stole main heating core frok 3115 Buena Hills Dr, Oceanside CA. I own this property. Buena Hills still is without heat.

April 20, 2024, persons named Amel Johnston and Norma Mendoza stole around 90 sterilizing poles from 30 homes around Buena Hills Dr, Oceanside area. Persons wanted sterilization poles used for regarding unauthorized building.

This unauthorized construction has displaced water well and masthead from here. Water flowed daily giving earth here fortified, wildlife in numbers, and harvest.

I own this land. I never consented or have an agreement for City of Oceanside Fire to conduct business there. I have not been paid for it.

Around July 2024, City of Oceanside Fire seemed to have had an opening ceremony at building constructed on Rancho del Oro Rd, east of Tournament Dr, Oceanside CA behind Calle Asuna, Oceanside CA.

I own this land. I never consented or have an agreement for City of Oceanside Fire to conduct business there. I have not been paid for it.

Around July 2024, City of Oceanside Police department were preparing to move into an UNAUTHORIZED building is being constructed on my property at North end of Putting Green Ct, east of 3397 Tournament Dr, and south side of Rancho del Oro Rd in Oceanside California.

I own this land. I never consented or have an agreement for City of Oceanside Police to use my property and conduct business there. I have not been paid for it. At this time, Oceanside Police department are inhabiting and migrating streets around this unfinished building, and conducting business there.

I sent this issue titled "Unauthorized Construction" in writing for immediate release to City of Oceanside, to be heard at August 7, 2024, as new item of business to the agenda for the upcoming Joint City Council/CDC/Harbor/OPFA Regular Meeting. "Unauthorized Construction" was not itemized for agenda business. However, persons commented opposing the construction.

I am asking City of Oceanside to add "Unauthorized construction" as a new item of business to the agenda for the upcoming Joint City Council/CDC/Harbor/OPFA Regular Meeting, and Board of Supervisors Meeting on August 28, 2024

I am asking City of Oceanside or relevant party to pay Vincent Paul Tolliver \$12,136,377 for these properties and make good with me and my family, and not to rob me of my land.

RECORD

Record date 6/13/1979
Official Records
Document number 1979-0245124
001 - DEED
Vincent Paul
Reel# 6791 image# 2204
Record date 11/22/1985
Official Records

Document number 1985-0444202
091 - DEED
Paul Tolliver
Reel# 9270 Image# 549

[Pay Vincent Paul]

###

From: Jp Nevins <jpnevins@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 13, 2024 6:48 AM
To: Encinitas City Council; Carlsbad City Council; Council Vista; council@ci.oceanside.ca.us; FGG, Public Comment; Council San Diego; Council San Marcos; Brandon.Tobias@dot.ca.gov; clerkoftheboard@sandag.org; Karen Jewel; Pete Penseyres; Senator Blakespear; Seth Cutter; Tasha Boerner Horvath; Tom Frank; Tom Lichterman
Subject: [External] Webinar Registration - Zoom, reducing speed related crashes
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

A valuable resource for achieving a reduction in speed related incidents on our streets.

https://bikeleague-org.zoom.us/webinar/register/WN_Jy3qk731ScSWNxX29j7ixg#/registration

From: [Morgan West](#)
To: [FGG, Public Comment](#)
Subject: [External] Remove Renner
Date: Thursday, August 22, 2024 10:08:34 AM

San Diego County Board of Supervisors
1600 Pacific Highway
San Diego, CA 92101

Subject: Request for Immediate Removal of Supervisor Terra Lawson-Remer Due to Lewd, Unprofessional, and Unpunctual Conduct

Dear Members of the San Diego County Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my deep concern regarding the recent behavior exhibited by Supervisor Terra Lawson-Remer. Her actions and conduct have been consistently lewd, unprofessional, unpunctual, and highly disrespectful, tarnishing the reputation of your esteemed office and undermining the confidence of San Diego County residents in our local government.

As public servants, members of the Board of Supervisors hold a critical responsibility to represent their constituents with integrity, respect, and professionalism. Unfortunately, Supervisor Lawson-Remer's conduct, including a pattern of lateness and disregard for timely obligations, has not met these expectations. Her behavior reflects poorly on both the County and the Board of Supervisors. The inappropriate nature of her actions has caused significant discomfort among community members and has led to widespread calls for her immediate removal.

In light of these concerns, I respectfully request that you take the necessary steps to remove Supervisor Lawson-Remer from her position. It is crucial that our public representatives adhere to the highest standards of behavior, and when those standards are breached, swift action must be taken to restore public trust.

I trust that the Board will carefully consider this matter and take appropriate action to ensure that the integrity of San Diego's leadership is upheld.

Thank you for your attention to this important issue. I look forward to your prompt response.

Sincerely,

Concerned Citizen

From: [Shiva Mosch](#)
To: [FGG, CLERB](#); [Vargas, Nora \(BOS\)](#); [Anderson, Joel](#); [Lawson-Remer, Terra](#); [Desmond, Jim](#); [MontgomerySteppe, Monica](#); [Potter, Andrew](#); [FGG, Public Comment action@endjewhatred.com](#)
Cc: [action@endjewhatred.com](#)
Subject: [External] Commending Law Enforcement for Actions at UCSD Encampment
Date: Tuesday, August 20, 2024 1:29:51 PM

To: San Diego County Board of Supervisors, Citizen's Law Enforcement Review Board (CLERB):

First, I want to thank the San Diego County Sheriffs for dismantling and clearing the illegal encampment at UCSD. It is unfortunate that others are slandering the Sheriff's Department for doing their job as peace officers performing their duties and following policy and procedure.

The illegal encampment at UCSD was anything but peaceful. Not only were weapons found during the clearing of the illegal encampment, but the participants vandalized campus, and wore keffiyehs (a symbolic scarf supportive of Hamas and their "cause") and face masks to hide their identities while shouting antisemitic genocidal chants such as "there is only one solution - intifada revolution," "we are the intifada," and "globalize the intifada" as well as screaming "murder the Jews" to an identifiably Jewish student.

Actions by participants in the illegal encampment at UCSD included innumerable cases of antisemitism and calls for violence. Stickers found include those espousing age-old antisemitic tropes and glorifying gun violence in the name of resistance. Brochures being handed out included images celebrating the terrorists who perpetrated the attack on Israel on October 7th as "martyrs" with others that discussed how to "organize the intifada" in addition to a lot of anti-American vitriol. Chants and chalkings included phrases such as: "Glory to the martyrs," "We don't want two states, we want '48," "Death to America," and "End Israel."

The phrase "From the river to the sea, Palestine will be free" was heard from the illegal encampment as well as seen on sidewalk chalk, on stickers, and in pamphlets. In April, Congress passed a bipartisan resolution condemning the chant as antisemitic.

<https://gottheimer.house.gov/posts/release-house-passes-gottheimer-led-bipartisan-resolution-condemning-antisemitic-from-the-river-to-the-sea-chants>

They used intimidation tactics to prevent Jewish students from crossing campus and made campus such a dangerous place that classes were disrupted and had to be canceled for the safety of all students and staff. In fact, police cautioned Jewish students to avoid the area and only travel in groups for their safety.

While the First Amendment guarantees the right to freedom of speech and expression, it is essential to understand that this right does not grant individuals the license to break the law or put others in danger. Protesters engaging in illegal encampments, wielding weapons, carrying dangerous substances, and promoting hateful rhetoric cannot use free speech as a shield to justify their actions. With rights come responsibilities, and those who abuse their rights through unlawful behavior must be held accountable for their actions.

Let us uphold the principles of free speech while recognizing the limitations of that right when it comes to engaging in illegal and harmful behaviors. It is crucial for us as a society to condemn such actions and ensure that individuals cannot misuse free speech to evade accountability for their illegal conduct.

The illegal encampment at UCSD, similar to others across the country, was created specifically to foment hate against the Jewish people. Whilst they wrongly accuse Israel of genocide, they call for the genocide of the Jewish people.

Encampment members had the choice to stop their illegal, racist conduct and leave. On Monday, May 6th, they were clearly advised through both email and verbal warnings that they were part of an illegal encampment and failed to disperse. Instead, they chose to resort to violence against the Sheriff's department and other law enforcement personnel and are now playing victim once again.

Resisting arrest and physically pushing law enforcement officers is against the law and there are consequences for breaking the law. It is as simple as that.

Sheriffs on scene conducted themselves professionally in the face of unruly protestors and appropriately handled and arrested individuals who attempted to violently corner, push, and resist arrest. Thankfully, and similar to Hamas recording their terror attack on Israeli civilians on October 7th, the protestors themselves recorded the event which clearly shows their aggression towards law enforcement.

The Sheriff's Department accomplished their mission to enforce law and order when it was needed -- and for that we need to commend law enforcement, not appease criminal bullies. I stand with the San Diego County Sheriff's Department against any complaints of unjustified actions and also ask that the charges against the violent protestors do NOT get dropped.

Sincerely,

Best regards
Shiva

From: [Nina Russell](#)
To: [FGG, Public Comment](#)
Subject: [External] housing and landlord protections
Date: Saturday, August 17, 2024 11:40:07 AM

Hello,

I am a housing provider in Oceanside CA and I would like to propose some reasonable protections for landlords in the county. Currently the county enacts new rules and laws for tenants but fails to consider the devastating impacts of those laws when it comes to individual housing providers. I realize that the city wants to protect tenants from abuse and help facilitate affordable and fair housing, however the laws are so one sided that they have opened the door for rampant abuse and far-reaching disastrous consequences for us. For example, rent caps. I understand the value of rent caps, however this law doesn't protect homeowners when a transfer of property occurs such as in my case when my mother died and our property tax on a rental property increased from approximately \$3,000 a year to approximately \$13,000 a year and the rent collected was only \$1,600 a month. The rent cap did not allow me to raise the rent enough to pay the property taxes and other expenses while paying for maintenance and management of the property. I propose a condition that rent can legally be raised at a percentage commensurate with the percentage of raised costs of fixed expenses such as property tax, insurance, utilities or major structural repairs. Without such considerations, fair housing isn't really fair to property owners and genuinely puts us at risk of losing our properties and facing financial ruin. Rent caps should not be used as a tool to try and solve homelessness, housing shortages, poverty or any other of the world's housing problems when the only ones supporting it are private homeowners. I am asking you to add language in the rent cap law that gives homeowners some protection and rights so that we are not harmed when our fixed expenses rise at a higher percentage than the rent cap. I am asking that this new change be retroactive to provide such consideration to any rental owner who has been affected by this cap since it first began.

Thank You,
Concerned housing provider

From: henkinp@earthlink.net
To: [Vargas, Nora \(BOS\)](#); [Desmond, Jim](#); [Anderson, Joel](#); [MontgomerySteppe, Monica](#); [Lawson-Remer, Terra](#); [Asmbly Boerner-Horvath \(77\)](#); [Asmbly David Alvarez \(80 CV\)](#); [Asmbly Laurie Davis \(74\)](#); [CA Asmbly Akilah Weber \(79-La Mesa\)](#); [CA Asmbly Maienschein \(76\)](#); [CA Asmbly Marie Waldron \(75\)](#); [CA Asmbly Ward \(78\)](#); [CA Senator Blakespear](#); [CA Senator Brian Jones \(40\)](#); [CA Senator Kelly Seyarto \(32\)](#); [CA Senator Steve Padilla \(18 CV\)](#); [CA Senator Toni Atkins](#)
Cc: [FGG, Public Comment](#); [SD Mayor Todd Gloria](#); [City Clerk City of La Mesa](#); [Mayor Bill Wells El Cajon](#); [Mayor Dane White Escondido](#); [Mayor Esther Sanchez Oceanside CA](#); [Mayor John Franklin City of Vista](#); [Mayor John McCann](#); [Mayor John Minto City of Santee](#); [Mayor Keith Blackburn Carlsbad](#); [Mayor Lesa Heebner Solana Beach](#); [Mayor Mark A. La Mesa](#); [Mayor Paloma Aguirre Imperial Beach](#); [Mayor Racquel Vasquez Lemon Grove](#); [Mayor Rebecca Jones San Marcos](#); [Mayor Richard Bailey Coronado](#); [Mayor Ron Morrison National City](#); [Mayor Steve Vaus Poway.](#); [Mayor Tony Kranz Encinitas](#); [Mayor Tracy Martinez Del Mar](#)
Subject: [External] TJ SEWAGE ON THE WAY TO BEING FIXED?
Date: Thursday, August 22, 2024 10:46:55 PM

Hi All,

Great News and a big thank you for all who worked on the sewage problem. Now let's clean the air!

Regards, Paul

[NBC San Diego](#)

Border Water Authority awards \$400M contract to expand South Bay plant

[8-22-2024]

California's elected leaders Wednesday applauded the International Boundary and Water Commission's announcement that it has awarded the contract for a project to expand the South Bay International Wastewater Treatment Plant.

Over the course of the project, the IBWC will use the more than \$400 million in federal funding secured by the Congressional delegation to repair and expand the plant.

"Today's announcement for the South Bay International Wastewater Treatment Plant is a step in the right direction in beginning to seriously address toxic waste and raw sewage

flowing from the Tijuana River," said Sen. Alex Padilla, D-California.

"The health and environmental hazards created by this pollution has contaminated Southern California's air and water for too long, and I'm committed to fighting for more federal resources to address this crisis with the urgency it demands."

In March, the San Diego Congressional delegation secured over \$156 million in funding toward critical repairs to the South Bay International Wastewater Treatment Plant.

In 2019, the delegation secured \$300 million to expand the South Bay plant from 25 million gallons per day to 50 million gallons per day. In 2022, the delegation passed legislation to clear the path for the IBWC to use the funds to address harmful pollutants in the Tijuana River Valley.

"Our communities can't wait. I'm glad to see the IBWC take this important step, which will allow them to put the more than \$400 million my colleagues and I secured for the South Bay International Wastewater Treatment Plant to work for repairs and upgrades," said Rep. Juan Vargas, D-San Diego. "This project will help double the plant's capacity, prevent up to 90% of untreated wastewater from reaching the coast, and provide cleaner and safer water.

"I'll keep fighting alongside the San Diego Congressional delegation to secure the resources needed to combat pollution in the Tijuana River Valley, make sure Mexico does its part, and ensure our water, land, and air is safe for all," he said.

In 2019, the delegation secured \$300 million to expand the South Bay plant from 25 million gallons per day to 50 million gallons per day. In 2022, the delegation passed legislation to clear the path for the IBWC to use the funds to address harmful pollutants in the Tijuana River Valley. In May 2024, the elected officials called on the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention to look into the contaminants in the water, soil, and air from the sewage and the connection to reported increases in illnesses and other symptoms. The CDC has agreed to begin an investigation into the public health impacts of the Tijuana River sewage pollution.

"This announcement has been a long time coming -- and I'm so excited to see the \$400 million that our congressional delegation secured result in big, meaningful progress to end the Tijuana River Valley crisis," said Rep. Sara Jacobs, D-San Diego. "Our work isn't over yet, and we will continue to review the progress of the IBWC construction, collaborate with our Mexican counterparts, and work together to ensure that communities on both sides of the border can live safely."



FINANCE & GENERAL GOVERNMENT GROUP

1600 PACIFIC HIGHWAY, ROOM 298, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101-2422
(619) 531-5413

JOAN BRACCI
INTERIM DEPUTY CHIEF
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER / CHIEF
FINANCIAL OFFICER

JUDY YING
INTERIM CHIEF OPERATIONS
OFFICER

August 23, 2024

TO: Supervisor Nora Vargas, Chairwoman
Supervisor Terra Lawson-Remer, Vice Chair
Supervisor Joel Anderson
Supervisor Monica Montgomery Steppe
Supervisor Jim Desmond

FROM: Joan Bracci,
Interim Deputy Chief Administrative Officer/Chief Financial Officer
Finance & General Government Group

GREEN OAK RANCH ACQUISITION NEGOTIATION UPDATE

On April 30, 2024 (24) the Board of Supervisors (Board) approved an agenda item that directed the interim Chief Administrative Officer to enter into good faith negotiations with the owners/trustees of Green Oak Ranch for the purchase of the property identified as Assessor Parcel Numbers 217-011-45, 217-011-47 and 217-011-15 (Green Oak Ranch Property). Additionally, upon successful negotiations, the Board authorized payment of an Option Deposit, addition of the Green Oak Ranch Property to the FY 2025-26 Capital Improvement Needs Assessment (CINA), and development of a master plan for a long-term buildout of the property.

Department of General Services (DGS) staff contacted the trustees and made an initial offer to purchase the property based on the appraised value. After several follow up attempts, staff were advised by Tina Woods, Green Oak Ranch Trustee, that the Green Oak Ranch Land Board had entered into an agreement with Solutions for Change and the property was no longer available for acquisition.

Should you have any questions regarding this issue, please contact Marko Medved, Director of General Services, at Marko.Medved@sdcounty.ca.gov.

Respectfully,

JOAN BRACCI
INTERIM DEPUTY CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER/CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

cc: Ebony N. Shelton, Chief Administrative Officer
Caroline Smith, Interim Deputy Chief Administrative Officer, Health and Human Services Agency
Marko Medved, Director, Department of General Services

V

From: henkinp@earthlink.net
Sent: Tuesday, August 27, 2024 3:12 PM
To: Vargas, Nora (BOS)
Cc: FGG, Public Comment; Desmond, Jim; Anderson, Joel; MontgomerySteppe, Monica; Lawson-Remer, Terra; CA Asmbly David Alvarez (80 CV); CA Senator Steve Padilla (18); CV Live Data Robert Johnson; ABC 10 San Diego; Fox 5 69 San Diego; News Fox Cable Insider; KOGO Carl DeMaio (Reform California KOGO DeMaio Report); KPBS San Diego; KUSI 51 San Diego; La Prensa San Diego; News Mark Larsen Radio San Diego; News Mike Slater KFMB; NBC 7 San Diego; News San Diego News Desk; News San Diego reader; News Encinitas Advocate; Escondido Times-Advocate; Rancho Santa Fe Review; Del Mar Times; San Diego UT Senior Editor; San Diego UT Community Op Ed; Times of San Diego; Voice of San Diego; Advocate; Coronado Times; News Coronado Eagle Journal; La Jolla Light Editor; Chula Vista Star News; News Chula Vista Live Data; News Chula Vista Today; Dra. Audra Morgan
Subject: [External] AUDRA's CLAPPING
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hi again,

I will let you know that momentary clapping between speakers is not an actual interruption of the conduct of the meeting. See Federal Court decisions and Govt, Code 54957.95.

Regards, Paul

From: henkinp@earthlink.net
Sent: Tuesday, August 27, 2024 1:05 PM
To: Vargas, Nora (BOS); Vargas, Nora (BOS)
Cc: Desmond, Jim; Anderson, Joel; MontgomerySteppe, Monica; Lawson-Remer, Terra; FGG, Public Comment; Kevin Faulconer for Super; Asmbly David Alvarez (80 CV); CA Senator Steve Padilla (18 CV); CV Live Data Robert Johnson; ABC 10 San Diego; Fox 5 69 San Diego; KOGO Carl DeMaio (Reform California KOGO DeMaio Report); KPBS San Diego; KUSI 51 San Diego; Union Trib. main
Subject: [External] ALLOWING CRITICISM OF BOARD ACTIONS
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hi Nora,

The Brown Act allows "public criticism of the policies, procedures, programs, or services of the agency, or of the acts or omissions of the legislative body." You don't understand how important this is to the democratic process.

There was some shouting in the chambers (8/27, item 5.) Several people were making noise. I do not know why you disciplined only Consuelo.

You warned Michael Brocato for mentioning this. You need, as I just wrote, to obey the Brow Act, not your silly Rules of Procedure.

You also had me thrown out on another occasion for non-disruptive clapping (between speakers) when the Clerk called the next group, also against the Brown Act.

You have called people 'off topic' when they are not, even on non-agenda.

You have also ruled against people arbitrarily, apparently on the basis of their political views.

Perhaps you do not want to be Supervisor. Not sure if Terra needs to be either after her legal-mind games against due process and mind games.

Regards, Paul Henkin

From: henkinp@earthlink.net
Sent: Wednesday, August 28, 2024 11:03 AM
To: Desmond, Jim; Anderson, Joel; MontgomerySteppe, Monica; Vargas, Nora (BOS);
Lawson-Remer, Terra
Cc: FGG, Public Comment; CV Live Data Robert Johnson; ABC 10 San Diego; Fox 5 69 San
Diego; KPBS San Diego; KUSI 51 San Diego; Escondido Times-Advocate; Rancho Santa
Fe Review; Del Mar Times; San Diego UT Senior Editor; San Diego UT Community Op Ed;
Times of San Diego; Voice of San Diego; Voice Of San Diego Lisa Halverstadt; Voice Of
San Diego Will Huntsberry; Advocate; Coronado Times; News Coronado Eagle Journal;
La Jolla Light Editor; Chula Vista Star News; News Chula Vista Live Data; News Chula
Vista Today; Carlsbad Coast News (also San Marcos Vista & Oceanside
Subject: [External] UPDATING THE ORDER OF BUSINESS
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hi Supervisors,

If you have to carry over a meeting to the next day, please publish the revised agenda order for the next day in the notices section on your website as well as in the agenda for the next day. I do not think that callers interested in participating in the democratic process should have to wait until the next day to find out the order of business for that day. It is kind of confusing. In fact, I heard several versions of what would happen today.

Regards,

Paul Henkin

From: henkinp@earthlink.net
Sent: Wednesday, August 28, 2024 9:33 AM
To: paul
Cc: Desmond, Jim; Anderson, Joel; Vargas, Nora (BOS); MontgomerySteppe, Monica; Lawson-Remer, Terra; FGG, Public Comment; SD Mayor Todd Gloria; City Clerk City of La Mesa; Mayor Bill Wells El Cajon; Mayor Dane White Escondido; Mayor Esther Sanchez Oceanside CA; Mayor John Franklin City of Vista; Mayor John McCann; Mayor John Minto City of Santee; Mayor Keith Blackburn Carlsbad; Mayor Lesa Heebner Solana Beach; Mayor Mark A. La Mesa; Mayor Paloma Aguirre Imperial Beach; Mayor Racquel Vasquez Lemon Grove; Mayor Rebecca Jones San Marcos; Mayor Richard Bailey Coronado; Mayor Ron Morrison National City; Mayor Steve Vaus Poway.; Mayor Tony Kranz Encinitas; Mayor Tracy Martinez Del Mar
Subject: [External] DEMOCRACY
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

From: Jonathan Rodriguez <jjrodriguez3240@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 27, 2024 9:01 AM
To: FGG, Public Comment
Subject: [External] Common welfare of the general population

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

I have a progressive plan and idea to stabilize the well human resources department through existing structures in the community. It's not an idea that starts from nothing. My idea will stentlify mental health, self worth, dignity and economic stability as a nonprofit structure threw a employment opportunity. Mental health and addiction recovery has changed in the community and judicial point of view. To provide a all around community outreach program incompassing small business owners, independent contractors, agriculture, ranching, and farming to stimulate San Diego county economics is my goal. This will establish a revenue back into the welfare department, so you can provide more assistance for the unhoused individuals in San Diego county. For in the preamble of the United States Constitution says the common welfare of the people.

This idea can begin with the creation of a cost efficient medical health insurance and workman's Comp. Threw technology the quality of medical services is up to standards to evolved into it's own structure of revenue. After COVID many professionals have gone bankrupt. Some business owners have gone under do to cost of doing business. Here we are years later dealing with the same issue cleare across the board with inflation.

The structure of rehabilitation within addiction and mental health has evolved but one thing that is missing. Employment placement. I understand that in rehabilitation carries a statical rate of failure. There will be some individuals that will end up back where they started. With hope there are those individuals that will become productive members of society. This also includes all the reentry programs within the judicial program.

Under Donald Trump presidency the was a bill passed indicating all business are required to provide healthcare. In agriculture ranching and farming it's not feasible. The profit in business and cost of business is very low. As well as pay. People in this line of work deserve a hard up, and foremost, we shouldn't forget where our food comes from. All corporate business in this line of work have pushed local agriculture, ranchers, and farmers out of business, so if we invest in our local structure we would insure the revenues stay within San Diego county.

As for small business owners you can create a bracket of qualification according to a slide scale before bankruptcy, so they can balance out in business. When they stabilize their cost of business and profit then they will be able to return to traditional mens comp and health insurance. This idea can also provide a opportunity for growth and explanation with in our local economic stability for San Diego county.

This is were the independent contractors coming into play. First thing first building our medical offices. To establish this county infutructer. In doing so will create more jobs for within community. Since most individuals coming out of the reentry programs from the judicial department and mental health wouldn't pass a background check for employment this can be a start. With proper case management you can integrate education opportunities in the long run.

In conclusion the establishment of a community outreach program that will touch base on many aspects of our community can also provide a sense of security for the elderly on SSI and SSDI. In time this progressive plan and idea can emancipate social security from the political economic budget. This can create a self sufficient structure for the common welfare of the general population. The every day people are the back bone of government economic structure. Why should the Rich get richer at the cost of the general population. The poor are getting poorer. The underprivileged communities will take the hit with Donald Trump. Kamala Harris has the general idea the way forward. As San Diego we can prosper together and will change the perspective of law enforcement in regards to the poverty in the eyes of the San Diego county government.

I am one of the individuals that needs this help I have been homeless,an addict, and have been in the judicial system.This idea comes from experience. The flaws of the system has to change. We are human beings not a number or dollar sign. It's time we show some humanity to all fellow humans regardless of beliefs or identity.

So I humbly pray..... God give me the wisdom to see the path. The courage to follow, and the strength to endure. My heart belongs to you let your will be done. You are my sword, my shield and my armor. In the name of Christ. "AMEN"

SINCERELY,
Jonathan Rodriguez.

From: Jonathan Rodriguez <jjrodriguez3240@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 27, 2024 9:03 AM
To: FGG, Public Comment
Subject: [External] Re: Common welfare of the general population

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

I also have an idea to provide a resolution to over loading problems electrical gride, and reducing the price of electricity in San Diego county. Reducing the cost of electricity will stimulate the world of commerce in the community in San Diego as a whole. With the help of sdge It's actually quite simple. With solar and the investment in a energy storage site. We can provide sustainable energy by intergrading low Heading micro hydro electric turbines in a closed system. If engineered properly this will send us forward in sustainable energy for the future. These turbines can be adapted to existing water tanks throughout the country. With the goal of self sufficiency. Engineered in a closed system powered by gravity we can have miniature hoover dam. With the energy storage site I believe this idea can benefit all of us in San Diego county. Just think of the automobile power supply. This idea can also provides water treatment facilities a system for various water usage. Especially for agriculture, and maybe for drinking water. without the need for electric machinery.

Thank you , Jonathan Rodriguez

On Tue, Aug 27, 2024, 9:01 AM Jonathan Rodriguez <jjrodriguez3240@gmail.com> wrote:

I have a progressive plan and idea to stabilize the well human resources department through existing structures in the community. It's not an idea that starts from nothing. My idea will stenthify mental health, self worth, dignity and economic stability as a nonprofit structure threw a employment opportunity. Mental health and addiction recovery has changed in the community and judicial point of view. To provide a all around community outreach program incompassing small business owners, independent contractors, agriculture, ranching, and farming to stimulate San Diego county economics is my goal. This will establish a revenue back into the welfare department, so you can provide more assistance for the unhoused individuals in San Diego county. For in the preamble of the United States Constitution says the common welfare of the people.

This idea can begin with the creation of a cost efficient medical health insurance and workman's Comp. Threw technology the quality of medical services is up to standards to evolved into it's own structure of revenue. After COVID many professionals have gone bankrupt. Some business owners have gone under do to cost of doing business. Here we are years later dealing with the same issue cleare across the board with inflation.

The structure of rehabilitation within addiction and mental health has evolved but one thing that is missing. Employment placement. I understand that in rehabilitation carries a statical rate of failure. There will be some individuals that will end up back where they started. With hope there are those individuals that will become productive members of society. This also includes all the reentry programs within the judicial program.

Under Donald Trump presidency the was a bill passed indicating all business are required to provide healthcare. In agriculture ranching and farming it's not feasible. The profit in business and cost of business is very low. As well as pay. People in this line of work deserve a hard up, and foremost, we shouldn't forget where our food comes from. All corporate business in this line of work have pushed local agriculture, ranchers, and farmers out of business, so if we invest in our local structure we would insure the revenues stay within San Diego county.

As for small business owners you can create a bracket of qualification according to a slide scale before bankruptcy, so they can balance out in business. When they stabilize their cost of business and profit then they will be able to return to traditional mens comp and health insurance. This idea can also provide a opportunity for growth and explanation with in our local economic stability for San Diego county.

This is were the independent contractors coming into play. First thing first building our medical offices. To establish this county infutructer. In doing so will create more jobs for within community. Since most individuals coming out of the reentry programs from the judicial department and mental health wouldn't pass a background check for employment this can be a start. With proper case management you can integrate education opportunities in the long run.

In conclusion the establishment of a community outreach program that will touch base on many aspects of our community can also provide a sense of security for the elderly on SSI and SSDI. In time this progressive plan and idea can emancipate social security from the political economic budget. This can create a self sufficient structure for the common welfare of the general population. The every day people are the back bone of government economic structure. Why should the Rich get richer at the cost of the general population. The poor are getting poorer. The underprivileged communities will take the hit with Donald Trump.

Kamala Harris has the general idea the way forward. As San Diego we can prosper together and will change the perspective of law enforcement in regards to the poverty in the eyes of the San Diego county government.

I am one of the individuals that needs this help I have been homeless,an addict, and have been in the judicial system.This idea comes from experience. The flaws of the system has to change. We are human beings not a number or dollar sign. It's time we show some humanity to all fellow humans regardless of beliefs or identity.

So I humbly pray..... God give me the wisdom to see the path. The courage to follow, and the strength to endure. My heart belongs to you let your will be done. You are my sword, my shield and my armor. In the name of Christ. "AMEN"

SINCERELY,
Jonathan Rodríguez.

From: henkinp@earthlink.net
Sent: Tuesday, August 27, 2024 10:21 AM
To: Desmond, Jim; Anderson, Joel; MontgomerySteppe, Monica; Vargas, Nora (BOS);
Lawson-Remer, Terra
Cc: FGG, Public Comment
Subject: [External] PAUL THE BOLD's Non-Agenda

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hi Supervisors, and Welcome back Nora,

I'm not sure if my comments came through clearly, so here they are:

Common Cause of CA says "...remote public comment...increases public participation and strengthens local democracies." It's a win-win. Taking virtual participation away will tempt more people to use 'the street' or other 'in your face' means such as the media.

I know you're concerned about 'hate speech' over the remote lines, but it can happen anywhere and, the cure is simpler if remote: the mute button. It's cheaper than \$41thou/mo. Security.

Without virtual, you'd need a new process for quickly settling Disability Act requests for reasonable accommodation. Providing personal transportation instead of a phone line could be expensive and lead to complaints of special treatment.

You seem to want to limit our access bit by bit with your rules. The CA Constitution says "A statute, court rule, or other authority...,shall be broadly construed if it furthers the people's right of access, and narrowly construed if it limits the right of access." (Art. 1 Sec. 3,) same section which says you need to comply with the Brown Act.

Brown Act allows teleconferencing, which greatly facilitates communication from people far away, single moms who can't just take off at 9 am, caretakers , or those who have other duties, like work, travel, or who can't get to the meeting easily. (sec. 54953)

It adds "Watching or listening to a meeting...that does not permit members to interactively hear, discuss, or deliberate on matters, does not constitute remote participation."

It adds that you "shall not prohibit public criticism of the policies, procedures, programs, or services of the agency, or of the acts or omissions of the legislative body." Some people seem to interpret criticism as threats. If they can't see it for what it is, they shouldn't be there.

It also allows for non-disruptive clapping or other behavior such as between speakers momentarily (sec. 54957.95)

Oh yes, you could be kicked out, not recalled, judicially kicked out, for violating your oath to uphold the Constitution. See Article 7, SEC. 8. This would include perjury to your oath of office.

You should know the Brown Act, not stick to your silly rules of procedure.

Regards, Paul