

Board of Supervisors

Meeting Time: 04-21-26 10:00


eComments Report

Meetings	Meeting Time	Agenda Items	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
Board of Supervisors	04-21-26 10:00	37	2	1	0	1

Sentiments for All Meetings

The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

Overall Sentiment




Board of Supervisors
04-21-26 10:00

Agenda Name	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
22. PROMOTING INCLUSIVE ENGAGEMENT IN BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MEETINGS: STRATEGIES ALIGNED WITH SENATE BILL (SB)?707	2	1	0	1

Sentiments for All Agenda Items


The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

Overall Sentiment



Agenda Item: eComments for 22. PROMOTING INCLUSIVE ENGAGEMENT IN BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MEETINGS: STRATEGIES ALIGNED WITH SENATE BILL (SB)?707

Overall Sentiment



Bella F

Location:

Submitted At: 7:21pm 04-20-26

"The County continues to ensure that the diverse voices of the community can be heard in an equitable and civilized manner, and as such, can provide input that is vital to a healthy democratic institution."

Not mentioned, the behavior of supervisors should not: threaten, insult, name call, challenge to meet in the hallway - the public speakers in opposition of the views and personal opinions of the supervisors.

Also, not mentioned: clapping, cheering, interruptions should be addressed equally [or your preferred term: equitably] no matter which "group" is not in accordance with the rules.

Paul Henkin

Location: 91902, Bonita

Submitted At: 10:29am 04-15-26

Great idea!

Option 1, including a comprehensive agenda including links to relevant documents, is a great improvement. By the way, this facilitates transferring agenda items to the next day (or to the proper time spot) when needed. Not doing this causes so many people to wait, or have to leave for their jobs or children, you should even the number of items out on each day. I suggest a limit of 15 on consent, 10 on discussion, per day, scheduled in advance. This would cause the least number of people to be excluded from speaking.

But the best thing would be to stop discrimination in enforcement of speaking rules. Permit clapping between speakers. Prohibit prolonged chants or clapping. Stop calling people off topic for mentioning auto taxi licensing when discussing taxi licensing. Things like that.

And by the way, it's interesting that only 127 people out of a County of 3.3 million participate in the survey.