

Board of Supervisors

Meeting Time: 02-10-26 09:00


eComments Report

| Meetings | Meeting Time | Agenda Items | Comments | Support | Oppose | Neutral |
|----------------------|----------------|--------------|----------|---------|--------|---------|
| Board of Supervisors | 02-10-26 09:00 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Sentiments for All Meetings

The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

Overall Sentiment



Board of Supervisors

02-10-26 09:00


| Agenda Name | Comments | Support | Oppose | Neutral |
|--|----------|---------|--------|---------|
| 5. APPOINTMENTS OF BOARD MEMBERS TO BOARDS, COMMISSIONS AND COMMITTEES | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Sentiments for All Agenda Items

The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.


Overall Sentiment

Support (0%) Oppose (100%) Neutral (0%)
No Response (0%)



Overall Sentiment

Support (0%) Oppose (100%) Neutral (0%)
No Response (0%)



Paul Henkin

Location: 91902, Bonita

Submitted At: 9:33am 02-03-26

I do not think that a Supervisor from a single district is in a position to represent the entire County as well to a State Board or one representing the entire County such as SANDAG or MTS. This is essentially a conflict of interest since you are supposedly representing the interests of a certain section of the County. Someone who could represent the entire County impartially, no strings attached, would be a better choice.

You Supervisors do not need to be on these Boards, Commissions, and Committees with your somewhat intimidating presence and influence. They are there to advise you, not to echo your program or political position in any way.

Keep partisan politics out of it.