

**From:** [henkinp@earthlink.net](mailto:henkinp@earthlink.net)  
**To:** [Desmond, Jim](#); [Anderson, Joel](#); [MontgomerySteppe, Monica](#); [Vargas, Nora \(BOS\)](#); [Lawson-Remer, Terra](#)  
**Cc:** [FGG, Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [External] SINGLE SOURCE PROCUREMENT TO DETECT FRAUDULENT INSURANCE ACTIVITY (Please include with docs. for agenda item 2)  
**Date:** Thursday, September 19, 2024 12:19:25 PM

---

Hi Supervisors,

As usual, there are no attachments and nothing in the Board Letter specifically saying why the solo-source is needed, except that “Verisk’s AF1– Gov software provides exclusive access to over 1.7 billion claim records, consisting of approximately 97% of all property and casualty carriers and third-party administrators throughout the United States; a scoring tool to evaluate potential fraud;” and so on.

While a single database would certainly speed up identifying fraudulent claims information, I wonder what might not be identified – the Board Letter mentions that the database is 97% of claims records, which means that it lacks 3%. Does the DA have a mechanism to assure that this 3% is also properly included in the search for fraudulent claims?

For instance, the letter mentions data from Florida and Pennsylvania. I wonder if the DA has checked to see if the California data is complete.

Another area of concern is that the software has a ‘scoring tool.’ I would wonder if it is properly customized to search for California law breaches, which are probably different from laws in other States.

Finally, I would note that the DA has a pretty good prosecution record. But the use of this new software, will probably involve a number of computer errors by DA personnel using it for the first time. I wonder if this need for a mass records search over a perhaps more individualized search is one consequence of cutting the DA’s budget.

Regards,

Paul Henkin