

Board of Supervisors

Meeting Time: 06-09-26 09:00


eComments Report

Meetings	Meeting Time	Agenda Items	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
Board of Supervisors	06-09-26 09:00	33	2	0	2	0

Sentiments for All Meetings

The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

Overall Sentiment




Agenda Name	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
11. APPROVING THE ISSUANCE OF CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL FINANCE AUTHORITY REVENUE BONDS IN AN AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$109,200,000 FOR THE PURPOSE OF FINANCING COST OF THE ACQUISITION, DEVELOPMENT, CONSTRUCTION, EQUIPPING AND FURNISHING OF MODERATE INCOME HOUSING FACILITIES IN SAN DIEGO	2	0	2	0

Sentiments for All Agenda Items

The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.


Overall Sentiment



Agenda Item: eComments for 11. APPROVING THE ISSUANCE OF CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL FINANCE AUTHORITY REVENUE BONDS IN AN AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$109,200,000 FOR THE PURPOSE OF FINANCING COST OF THE ACQUISITION, DEVELOPMENT, CONSTRUCTION, EQUIPPING AND FURNISHING OF MODERATE INCOME HOUSING FACILITIES IN SAN DIEGO

Overall Sentiment

Support (0%) Oppose (100%) Neutral (0%)
No Response (0%)



F L

Location:

Submitted At: 2:59pm 06-08-26

Gaslighting Americans. Stifling American citizens to improve their lives and financial status. Wasting taxpayer dollars.

Per the agenda letter: The facilities listed above are or will be owned and operated by the Borrowers. In addition to rent, what will each tenant "owe"? And to which rules abide, thinking they are getting a deal?

Paul Henkin

Location: 91902, Bonita

Submitted At: 11:36am 06-03-26

This time, it's \$424,903 per unit to renovate 257 units. You could do better from scratch with 3-D printed, shipping crate, or adobe homes. And per attachment 4, the box is checked for nonprofit rather than affordable housing. The Board letter mentions that these will be for moderate income household although later says 3 of the developments are 80% AMI. We need more for low income people. Do you want to solve the housing crisis or just virtue signal?

Again, why are we approving so much of our tax dollars for solving the housing crisis if this sort of thing won't?