Meeting Date: March 12, 2025

Agenda Item No. 01

Distribution Date: March 6, 2025

Batch No. 01

From: <u>henkinp@earthlink.net</u>

To: <u>Desmond, Jim; Anderson, Joel; MontgomerySteppe, Monica</u>

Cc: FGG, Public Comment; CV Live Data Robert Johnson; Shandel Menezes (NBCUniversal); NBC Jeanette Quezada;

"KGTV 10 Olivia GonzalesBritt; NBC 7 San Diego; CBS News 8 San Diego; KPBS San Diego; KUSI ch 9 San Diego; ABC 10 San Diego; Fox 5 69 San Diego; News Fox Cable Insider; KOGO Carl DeMaio (Reform California KOGO DeMaio Report); sdnews.com; La Prensa San Diego; San Diego UT Senior Editor; San Diego UT Community Op Ed; Times of San Diego; Voice of San Diego; News Encinitas Advocate; Rancho Santa Fe Review; Carlsbad Coast News (also San Marcos Vista & Oceanside; The Paper Weekly San Marcos; The Vista Press; Village News

Subject: [External] VALLEY CENTER ROAD CORRIDOR CONCEPT PLAN (PLEASE INCLUDE WITH DOCS FOR LAND USE

AGENDA #1)

Date: Thursday, March 6, 2025 10:47:56 AM

Hi Supervisors,

That this is only a CONCEPT plan rather than a REAL plan is a major giveaway that it needs work before it is approved. Warning: Words do matter.

A dental clinic 250 feet or so from the proposed corridor wrote to YOU about not knowing how the project would affect the clinic. Another warning that the project does not really care about people it might affect.

The plan seems to be to enhance a few miles along one road in one commercial area while ignoring the others and the need for improved evacuation. The roundabouts and widened sidewalks would only make evacuation harder.

Too many people at the first hearing and elsewhere are really worried about getting out of there is a wildfire with narrowed streets and more traffic congestion.

Another issue is that the funding would be from public and private sources, many of whom you have little control over and may run out of money before you do. You say "The proposed VCRCCP would be implemented through a combination of grant funding, private funding from new private development (through required conditions with discretionary permit approvals and other applicable projects), and through publicly initiated Capital Improvement Program (CIP) projects, when other funding becomes available." This sounds like a hastily concocted plan with weak links.

And the summary of the Community forums phase 1 sounds like SANDAG when they had Community forums for the LOSSAN corridor stuff, where they had a map of half

the county, their suggestions on the sticky notes in bold, while providing cheap blue pens for the non-conforming suggestions kind of like the photo here (which they then photoed and guess which ones stood out?)
Watch out. Someone is pushing this without having the interests of the entire region at heart.
Please reject this dangerous idea.
Regards,
Paul Henkin