

Attachment C –
Environmental Documentation (Addendum)



County of San Diego

DAHVIA LYNCH
DIRECTOR
PHONE (858) 694-2962
FAX (858) 694-2555

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT SERVICES
5510 OVERLAND AVENUE, SUITE 310, SAN DIEGO, CA 92123
www.sdcounty.ca.gov/pds

VINCE NICOLETTI
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR
PHONE (858) 694-3075
FAX (858) 694-2555

**AN ADDENDUM TO THE PREVIOUSLY ADOPTED MITIGATED
NEGATIVE DECLARATION
FOR HILLSIDE MEADOWS SUBDIVISION, (TM-5203RPL, LOG NO. 00-14-016)
FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF HILLSIDE MEADOWS OPEN SPACE
EASEMENT VACATION
PDS2023-VAC-23-003, PDS2022-ER-00-10-008A**

February 2, 2024

CEQA Guidelines, Section 15164(b) states that an Addendum to a previously adopted MND may be prepared if some changes or additions are necessary but none of the conditions described in Section 15162 or 15163 calling for the preparation of subsequent or supplemental MND have occurred.

Discussion:

There are some changes and additions, which need to be included in an Addendum to the previously adopted Addendum to accurately cover the new project. The additions are underlined, and deletions are struck out. The changes and additions consist of the following:

1. To the Project Name add Hillside Meadows Open Space Easement Vacation
2. To the Project Numbers add PDS2023-VAC-23-003
3. To the first paragraph add as indicated: "The Mitigated Negative Declaration for this project is comprised of this form along with the Environmental Review Update Checklist Form for Projects with a Previously Approved Environmental Document dated February 24, 2004, which includes the following forms attached."
 - A. An Addendum to the previously adopted Addendum with an Environmental Initial Study Form for Projects with a Previously Approved Environmental Document, dated July 13, 2001, Revised September 13, 2002.
 - B. An Ordinance Compliance Checklist



County of San Diego

DAHVIA LYNCH
DIRECTOR
PHONE (858) 694-2962
FAX (858) 694-2555

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT SERVICES
5510 OVERLAND AVENUE, SUITE 310, SAN DIEGO, CA 92123
www.sdcounty.ca.gov/pds

VINCE NICOLETTI
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR
PHONE (858) 694-3075
FAX (858) 694-2555

Environmental Review Update Checklist Form For projects with Previously Approved Environmental Documents

**FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF HILLSIDE MEADOWS OPEN SPACE
EASEMENT VACATION
PDS2023-VAC-23-003, PDS2022-ER-00-10-008A**

February 2, 2024

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Sections 15162 through 15164 set forth the criteria for determining the appropriate additional environmental documentation, if any, to be completed when there is a previously adopted Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) or a previously certified environmental impact report (EIR) covering the project for which a subsequent discretionary action is required. This Environmental Review Update Checklist Form has been prepared in accordance with CEQA Guidelines Section 15164(e) to explain the rationale for determining whether any additional environmental documentation is needed for the subject discretionary action.

1. Background on the previously *adopted MND, ND, or previously certified EIR*:

An Addendum to the Upper San Diego River Improvement Project (USDRIP) for the Hillside Meadows Subdivision, PDS2002-3200-20571 (TM-5203RPL), Environmental Review No. PDS2000-3910-0010008, LOG NO. 00-14-0016 was adopted on September 12, 2002. The Adopted Addendum found impacts associated with the adjacent Hilliker Chicken Ranch were less than significant and did not require mitigation; however, a Condition of Approval (Condition 15.m.) was included requiring an Open Space Easement over Lots 92, 135 through 141, and 146 unless or until an agreement was reached to pay for paving the adjoining chicken coops.

2. Lead agency name and address:

County of San Diego, Planning & Development Services
5510 Overland Avenue, Suite 110
San Diego, CA 92123

- a. Contact Annica Ly, Project Manager
- b. Phone number: (619) 952-1193
- c. E-mail: Annica.Ly@sdcounty.ca.gov

3. Project applicant's name and address:

Randy Lang, 9370 Sky Park Court Suite 230, San Diego, CA 92123, 858-268-3210

4. Summary of the activities authorized by present permit/entitlement application:

The project is an open space easement vacation to vacate Open Space Easements on nine lots (Lots 92, 135 through 141, and 143) recorded on Final Map 16556. The purpose of this Vacation is to satisfy Condition 15(m) which contemplates that, upon an agreement being reached to provide for paving the chicken coops on the adjacent Hilliker Chicken Ranch, the open space easement is no longer required.

5. Does the project for which a subsequent discretionary action is now proposed differ in any way from the previously approved project?

YES

NO

If yes, describe **ALL** differences.

The original project was a Tentative Map for the Hillside Meadows Subdivision covering approximately 37.05 acres of land into a residential subdivision for 142 lots, without any required Open Space easements. The current proposal requests the vacation of Open Space Easements over Lots 92, 135 through 141, and 143 on Final Map 165556, recorded March 2, 2023, in accordance with Condition of Approval 15.m (TM-5203RPL) and as previously contemplated by LOG NO. 00-14-0016.

6. SUBJECT AREAS DETERMINED TO HAVE NEW OR SUBSTANTIALLY MORE SEVERE SIGNIFICANT ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS COMPARED TO THOSE IDENTIFIED IN THE PREVIOUS ND OR EIR. The subject areas checked below were determined to be new significant environmental effects or to be previously identified effects that have a substantial increase in severity either due to a change in project, change in circumstances or new information of substantial importance, as indicated by the checklist and discussion on the following pages.

NONE

Aesthetics

Agriculture and Forest
Resources

Air Quality

Biological Resources

Cultural Resources

Geology & Soils

Greenhouse Gas
Emissions

Hazards & Haz Materials

Hydrology & Water
Quality

Land Use & Planning

Mineral Resources

Noise

Population & Housing

Public Services

Recreation

Transportation/Traffic

Utilities & Service
Systems

Mandatory Findings of
Significance

DETERMINATION:

On the basis of this analysis, Planning & Development Services has determined that:

- No substantial changes are proposed in the project and there are no substantial changes in the circumstances under which the project will be undertaken that will require major revisions to the previous EIR or ND due to the involvement of significant new environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects. Also, there is no "new information of substantial importance" as that term is used in CEQA Guidelines Section 15162(a)(3). Therefore, the previously adopted ND or previously certified EIR is adequate upon completion of an ADDENDUM.
- No substantial changes are proposed in the project and there are no substantial changes in the circumstances under which the project will be undertaken that will require major revisions to the previous EIR or ND due to the involvement of significant new environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects. Also, there is no "new information of substantial importance" as that term is used in CEQA Guidelines Section 15162(a)(3). Therefore, because the project is a residential project in conformance with, and pursuant to, a Specific Plan with a EIR completed after January 1, 1980, the project is exempt pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15182.
- Substantial changes are proposed in the project or there are substantial changes in the circumstances under which the project will be undertaken that will require major revisions to the previous ND due to the involvement of significant new environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects. Or, there is "new information of substantial importance," as that term is used in CEQA Guidelines Section 15162(a)(3). However all new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in severity of previously identified significant effects are clearly avoidable through the incorporation of mitigation measures agreed to by the project applicant. Therefore, a SUBSEQUENT ND is required.
- Substantial changes are proposed in the project or there are substantial changes in the circumstances under which the project will be undertaken that will require major revisions to the previous ND or EIR due to the involvement of significant new environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects. Or, there is "new information of substantial importance," as that term is used in CEQA Guidelines Section 15162(a)(3). Therefore, a SUBSEQUENT or SUPPLEMENTAL EIR is required.

Signature

Angelica Truong
Printed Name

February 2, 2024

Date

Planning Manager
Title

INTRODUCTION

CEQA Guidelines Sections 15162 through 15164 set forth the criteria for determining the appropriate additional environmental documentation, if any, to be completed when there is a previously adopted ND or a previously certified EIR for the project.

CEQA Guidelines, Section 15162(a) and 15163 state that when an ND has been adopted or an EIR certified for a project, no Subsequent or Supplemental EIR or Subsequent Mitigated Negative Declaration/Negative Declaration shall be prepared for that project unless the lead agency determines, on the basis of substantial evidence in light of the whole public record, one or more of the following:

1. Substantial changes are proposed in the project which will require major revisions of the previous EIR, Mitigated Negative Declaration, or Negative Declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects.
2. Substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken which will require major revisions of the previous EIR, Mitigated Negative Declaration, or Negative Declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects.
3. New information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous EIR was certified as complete or the Negative Declaration was adopted, shows any of the following:
 - a. The project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous EIR, Mitigated Negative Declaration, or Negative Declaration; or
 - b. Significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the previously adopted Mitigated Negative Declaration/Negative Declaration or previously certified EIR; or
 - c. Mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible, and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative; or
 - d. Mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous Mitigated Negative Declaration, Negative Declaration, or EIR would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative.

CEQA Guidelines, Section 15164(a) states that an Addendum to a previously certified EIR may be prepared if some changes or additions are necessary but none of the conditions described in Section 15162 calling for preparation of a Subsequent or Supplemental EIR have occurred.

CEQA Guidelines, Section 15164(b) states that an Addendum to a previously adopted Mitigated Negative Declaration or Negative Declaration may be prepared if only minor technical changes or additions are necessary.

If the factors listed in CEQA Guidelines Sections 15162, 15163, or 15164 have not occurred or are not met, no changes to the previously certified EIR or previously adopted MND/ND are necessary.

The following responses detail any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that may cause one or more effects to environmental resources. The responses support the "Determination," above, as to the type of environmental documentation required, if any.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW UPDATE CHECKLIST

I. AESTHETICS – Since the previous EIR was certified or previous MND/ND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause one or more effects to aesthetic resources including: scenic vistas; scenic resources including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, or historic buildings within a state scenic highway; existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings; or day or nighttime views in the area?

YES

NO

II. AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY RESOURCES -- Since the previous EIR was certified or previous MND/ND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause one or more effects to agriculture or forestry resources including: conversion of Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance to a non-agricultural use, conflicts with existing zoning for agricultural use or Williamson Act contract, or conversion of forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?

YES

NO

III. AIR QUALITY -- Since the previous EIR was certified or previous MND/ND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause one or more effects to air quality including: conflicts with or obstruction of implementation of the San Diego Regional Air Quality Strategy (RAQS) or applicable portions of the State Implementation Plan (SIP); violation of any air quality standard or substantial contribution to an existing or projected air quality violation; a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non- attainment under an applicable

federal or state ambient air quality standard; exposure of sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations; or creation of objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?

YES

NO

The adopted Addendum determined that impacts due to the emission of objectional odors would be Less Than Significant. However, the Addendum determined that future residents of the site could experience objectionable odors and required a disclosure from the California Department of Real Estate be included which stated that “The Subdivision lots are located adjacent within a quarter mile radius of a Commercial Egg Ranch. Occupants of each lot may be exposed to inconvenience or irritation arising from agricultural activities in the form of intermittent odor, insects, dust, rodents, and the use of agricultural chemicals.’ Nonetheless, a Condition of Approval was required to place an Open Space Easement over lots adjacent to the Hilliker Chicken Ranch until such time as an agreement was reached to provide for the installation of paving under the chicken coops. In conformance with Condition 15.m., an agreement has been reached between the property owner and the adjacent Hilliker Egg Ranch to provide for the installation of concrete paving under the chicken coops. Therefore, the proposed Open Space Easement Vacation is requested as contemplated by Condition 15.m. and consistent with the previous environmental analysis which found that impacts were Less Than Significant.

IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES – Since the previous EIR was certified or previous MND/ND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or “new information of substantial importance” that cause one or more effects to biological resources including: adverse effects on any sensitive natural community (including riparian habitat) or species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in a local or regional plan, policy, or regulation, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; adverse effects to federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act; interference with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with wildlife corridors, or impeding the use of native wildlife nursery sites; and/or conflicts with the provisions of any adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Communities Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional or state habitat conservation plan, policies or ordinances?

YES

NO

V. CULTURAL RESOURCES – Since the previous EIR was certified or previous MND/ND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or “new information of substantial importance” that cause one or more effects to cultural resources including: causing a change in the significance of a historical or archaeological resource as defined in State CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5; destroying a unique paleontological resource or site or

unique geologic feature; and/or disturbing any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?

YES

NO

VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS – Since the previous EIR was certified or previous MND/ND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or “new information of substantial importance” that result in one or more effects from geology and soils including: exposure of people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving rupture of a known earthquake fault, seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction, strong seismic ground shaking, or landslides; result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil; produce unstable geological conditions that will result in adverse impacts resulting from landslides, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse; being located on expansive soil creating substantial risks to life or property; and/or having soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of wastewater?

YES

NO

VII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS – Since the previous EIR was certified or previous MND/ND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or “new information of substantial importance” that result in one or more effects related to environmental effects associated with greenhouse gas emissions or compliance with applicable plans, policies or regulations adopted for the purpose of reducing greenhouse gas emissions?

YES

NO

VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS – Since the previous EIR was certified or previous MND/ND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or “new information of substantial importance” that result in one or more effects from hazards and hazardous materials including: creation of a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, storage, use, or disposal of hazardous materials or wastes; creation of a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment; production of hazardous emissions or handling hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school; location on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 creating a hazard to the public or the environment; location within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport; within the

vicinity of a private airstrip resulting in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area; impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan; and/or exposure of people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?

YES

NO

IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY – Since the previous EIR was certified or previous MND/ND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or “new information of substantial importance” that cause one or more effects to hydrology and water quality including: violation of any waste discharge requirements; an increase in any listed pollutant to an impaired water body listed under section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act ; cause or contribute to an exceedance of applicable surface or groundwater receiving water quality objectives or degradation of beneficial uses; substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level; substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area in a manner which would result in substantial erosion, siltation or flooding on- or off-site; create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems; provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff; place housing or other structures which would impede or redirect flood flows within a 100- year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map, including County Floodplain Maps; expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam; and/or inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?

YES

NO

X. LAND USE AND PLANNING – Since the previous EIR was certified or previous MND/ND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or “new information of substantial importance” that cause one or more effects to land use and planning including: physically dividing an established community; and/or conflicts with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?

YES

NO

The adopted Addendum determined that impacts to Land Use and Planning due to the potential to be incompatible with existing or planned land uses or the character of the

community Less Than Significant. The adopted Addendum noted that “[t]o the east is single-family residential development (TM 5155) and the Hilliker Agg Ranch” and that “[b]oth area have residential land use designations in the General Plan.” Accordingly, the Addendum found that the project “[would] be compatible with the existing character of development and planned land use.” The Open Space Easement Vacation would not change the designation of the project site or any adjoining site, including the Hilliker Chicken Farm. Therefore, the project would remain consistent with the underlying land use and zoning and would remain compatible with the existing character of development and planned land use and impacts would remain Less Than Significant.

XI. MINERAL RESOURCES -- Since the previous EIR was certified or previous MND/ND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause one or more effects to mineral resources including: the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state; and/or loss of locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?

YES

NO

XII. NOISE -- Since the previous EIR was certified or previous MND/ND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that result in one or more effects from noise including: exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies; exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels; a substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project; a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project; for projects located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, or for projects within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?

YES

NO

XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING -- Since the previous EIR was certified or previous MND/ND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that result in one or more effects to population and housing including displacing substantial numbers of existing housing or people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?

YES

NO

XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES -- Since the previous EIR was certified or previous MND/ND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that result in one or more substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities or the need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the following public services: fire protection, police protection, schools, parks, or other public facilities?

YES

NO

XV. RECREATION -- Since the previous EIR was certified or previous MND/ND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that result in an increase in the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated; or that include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?

YES

NO

XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC -- Since the previous EIR was certified or previous MND/ND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause effects to transportation/traffic including: an increase in traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system; exceedance, either individually or cumulatively, of a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways; a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks; substantial increase in hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment); inadequate emergency access; inadequate parking capacity; and/or a conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?

YES

NO

XVII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS -- Since the previous EIR was certified or previous MND/ND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause effects to utilities and service systems including: exceedance of wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water

Quality Control Board; require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities, new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects; require new or expanded entitlements to water supplies or new water resources to serve the project; result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider, which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments; be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs; and/or noncompliance with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?

YES

NO

XVIII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE: Since the previous EIR was certified or previous MND/ND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that result in any mandatory finding of significance listed below?

Does the project degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?

Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?

Does the project have environmental effects, which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?

YES

NO

Attachments

- Previous environmental documentation
- Addendum to the previously certified EIR

**XVIII. REFERENCES USED IN THE COMPLETION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL
REVIEW UPDATE CHECKLIST FORM**

California Department of Fish and Wildlife. Fish and Wildlife Code, Section 1600 *et. seq.*

California Environmental Quality Act, CEQA Guidelines

California Environmental Quality Act. 2001. California Code of Regulations, Title 14,
Chapter 3, Section 15382.

California Integrated Waste Management Board, Title 14, Natural Resources, Division 7

California Integrated Waste Management Board, Title 27, Environmental Protection,
Division 2, Solid Waste

California Public Resources Code, CPRC, Sections 40000-41956 County Code of
Regulatory Ordinances, Title 3, Division 5, Chapter 3

County of San Diego Public Facility Conservation/Open Space Element of the General Plan
(Section 6-Solid Waste, XII-6-1 Goal COS-17: Solid Waste Management)

County of San Diego Scenic Highway Conservation/Open Space Element of the General
Plan County of San Diego Zoning Ordinance (Agricultural Use Regulation, Sections
2700-2720)

County of San Diego. Resource Protection Ordinance, Article II (16-17). October 10,
1991

County of San Diego. 1997. Multiple Species Conservation Program, County of San Diego
Biological Mitigation Ordinance

County of San Diego Watershed Protection, Stormwater Management, and Discharge
Control Ordinance (WPO) (Ordinance Nos. 9424 and 9426, County Codes §§ 67801
et seq.)

Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program, California Department of Conservation,
Division of Land Resource Protection

Order No. 2001-01, NPDES No. CAS 0108758, California Regional Water Quality Control
Board, San Diego Region

Ordinance 8334, An Ordinance to amend the San Diego County Code of Regulatory
Ordinances relating to Flood Damage Prevention, Adopted by the Board of
Supervisors on 12/7/93

Public Resources Code Sections 4290 and 4291

San Diego County Light Pollution Code (San Diego County Code Section 59.101)

The Importance of Imperviousness from *Watershed Protection Techniques* Vol. 1, No. 3 -
Fall 1994 by Tom Schueler Center for Watershed Protection

The Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), 1976 Uniform Fire Code, Article 9
and Appendix II-A, Section 16

Water Quality Control Plan for the San Diego Basin (9), California Regional Water
Quality Control Board, San Diego Region

Newsome Open Space Vacation
PDS2022-VAC-22-003
PDS2022-ER-00-10-008A

- 15 -

November 8, 2023