

Meeting Date: February 26, 2025
Agenda Item: 01
Distribution Date: February 20, 2025
Batch No.: 01

From: henkinp@earthlink.net
To: [Desmond, Jim](#); [Anderson, Joel](#); [MontgomerySteppe, Monica](#); [Lawson-Remer, Terra](#)
Cc: [FGG, Public Comment](#)
Subject: [External] TRAFFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE ORDINANCE (PLEASE INCLUDE WITH DOCS FOR LAND USE AGENDA #1)
Date: Thursday, February 20, 2025 10:21:02 AM

Hi Supervisors,

Agree with the 4-way stop intersection inspected visibility issues, due to configuration of intersection and fair amount of public support.

Resolution 3319 amending resolution 305 needs attention. It says "Item No. 30 under the heading LAKESIDE-GRANTVILLE of the list of Through Highways established by said resolution is hereby amended as follows: 30. LAKESIDE CCSF, 84.20, 2/76 Marilla Drive/Riverview Avenue, from the south line of Woodside Avenue southerly to the west line of Westhill Road, from the east line of Westhill Road to the west line of Emerald Grove Avenue, from the east line of Emerald Grove Avenue to the south line of Lemon Crest Drive, and from the north line of Lemon Crest Drive to the south line of Woodside Avenue.

So how is it amended? If the amendment is the addition of an address, the resolution should say so. If there is something else amended, it should be in the resolution.

Community input for sub-items 2B to 2D is not presented. These items seem to be based solely on rounding down from the average observed speed which I think needs to be supported by community input. Why do some drivers go faster? Maybe there is a good reason.

Sight approval standards seem well thought out but should include an exception for individual circumstances, such as emergencies and fire evacuations.

So I approve of the Sight Approval Standards with amendment and sub-item 2A, although the resolutions need work. Disapprove of sub-items 2B to 2D as too one-sided and arbitrary. Please revise.

Regards,

Paul Henkin