

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Desmond, Jim](#); [Supervisor Joel Anderson District 2](#); [MontgomerySteppe, Monica](#); [BOS, District1Community](#); [Lawson-Remer, Terra](#)
Cc: [FGG, Public Comment](#)
Subject: [External] REVISED RESOLUTION PROPOSING CHARTER AMENDMENTS (Please include with documents for agenda #25)
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2026 10:58:50 AM

Good Morning, Supervisors,

Thanks to Joel for introducing a much more reasonable alternative. There are some good additions and changes, and while not perfect, it is far better than the changes in agenda item 24. I hope it goes to the ballot.

Sec. 107 - The first major change is that having an elected County Auditor does mean that this would be an independent position. Hopefully, this would help prevent political crimes like hiring a firm with a known embezzler in charge, or which flunked an LA County audit, or even things like unreported political contributions to a semi-secret fund or using County resources to mis-assign children and tear families apart or endanger the children.

Sec. 401.5 –Restricting current supervisors to two terms is the way it should be.

Sec. 401.6 - No reason to expand term limits for Elected Officials. I still favor a limit to two consecutive terms and, after a few years 'breathing space you can be re-elected, once we've had the experience of a different person. This would allow supervisors to run for three four-year terms, instead of the current limit of two four-year terms. I wish that the term limits were there for the sheriff, district attorney and other county elected officials who currently have none, but it won't happen now since it is against State law.

Moreover, Democratic state Sen. Catherine Blakespear has urged similar tweaks.

Sec. 500.3 – Thank you for replacing the wording 'infamous crime.' with better language.

Sec. 501 – Good addition to Appointed Officers to have a budget analyst, although as

a a political appointee, this is in no way independent.

Sec. 501.9 (b) allowing the requesting and receiving of assistance in preparing Supervisor-led initiatives sounds like you are authorizing staff to do quasi-political work for you instead of preparing your own initiatives. Many of these seem to be last minute political quick fixes, especially the letters recommending legislative action on behalf of the County but unsupported by poll data to confirm that the County agrees.

Sec. 700 – A list which you want to remove of appointive officers is useful and restrains the Board from overburdening us with expensive political or ad hoc appointees.

Sec. 711 to 711.6 – No to another political County Commission. If you do this, the public will go elsewhere to complain about your ethics or lack thereof. Might be worth the money if it were elective.

Sec. 914 – Thank you for adding in Regulation of politicking that ‘This section shall not prohibit elected officials or their staff from engaging in political activities not otherwise prohibited by State or federal law outside of working hours.’

Sec. 915 - Prohibiting relatives ‘up to the fourth degree of consanguinity’ from working in the County probably excludes half of the County from working for it.

Regards,

Paul Henkin

From: [Mary Davis](#)
To: [FGG, Public Comment](#)
Subject: [External] YES to Item 25: Non-Partisan Charter Reform
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2026 1:31:19 PM
Attachments: [image.png](#)

I support this version of Charter reform - one that takes partisan politics and self-dealings out of the equation.

Supervisor Anderson's pragmatic reform proposals offer balance and neutrality.

Yes to Item 25 and its non-partisan reform measures that are truly for We the People ... not self-serving aspirations or special interests.

★— RIGHT REFORMS. —★
REAL ACCOUNTABILITY.
NEUTRAL. PRAGMATIC. NONPARTISAN.

ITEM 25
THE ANDERSON PLAN

- NO THIRD TERM**
Prevents a third term for current Supervisors.
- NEUTRAL REFORMS**
Removes politics from oversight and process.
- STRONG, INDEPENDENT OVERSIGHT**
Empowers the Oversight Board to follow facts, not politics.
- FOCUSED ON RESULTS**
Better decisions. Better services. Better for all San Diegans.

BETTER OVERSIGHT. BETTER GOVERNMENT. BETTER SAN DIEGO.

SUPERVISOR JOEL ANDERSON
★ FIGHTING FOR YOU. ★

YES ON ITEM 25
PUTTING SAN DIEGANS FIRST.

COMMON SENSE REFORMS. ★ LASTING IMPACT. ★ ACCOUNTABLE TODAY. ★ STRONG TOMORROW. ★ SAN DIEGANS DESERVE BETTER.

Respectfully,

Mary Davis