

From: henkinp@earthlink.net
To: [Desmond, Jim](#); [Anderson, Joel](#); [MontgomerySteppe, Monica](#)
Cc: [FGG, Public Comment](#)
Subject: [External] PREDATORY PRACTICES AFTER THE DECLARATION OF AN EMERGENCY (PLEASE INCLUDE WITH DOCS FOR AGENDA #12)
Date: Thursday, March 6, 2025 10:33:46 AM

Hi Supervisors,

A good start, but victims of a disaster need protection throughout the emergency.

An unconscionably excessive price at section 31.501 (p) should be 10%, not 50% over the cost to produce that good or service.

Sections 31.502 (a)(1) and (4) to (8) on price increases do not go far enough. A substantial number of people were still homeless months after emergencies such as the Jan. 2024 floods. It would be clearer if the language included not only 30 days following that proclamation or declaration of a state of emergency but should include 30 days after any continuance of the emergency. And 10% is too high. 5% or the cost of living index is better.

Sections 31.503 (a) on evictions without just cause also does not go far enough. A substantial number of people were still homeless months after emergencies such as the Jan. 2024 floods. The language should include not only 5 days following the proclamation or declaration of a state of emergency but should include 30 days after the proclamation, declaration, or continuance of the emergency.

And 5 day term in subsection (h) should simply be term.

Regards,

Paul Henkin