

Board of Supervisors

Meeting Time: 08-26-25 09:00


eComments Report

Meetings	Meeting Time	Agenda Items	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
Board of Supervisors	08-26-25 09:00	41	4	2	0	1

Sentiments for All Meetings

The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

Overall Sentiment




Agenda Name	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
1. CONTINUED ADVOCACY FOR THE REMOVAL AND RELOCATION OF SPENT NUCLEAR FUEL FROM THE SAN ONOFRE NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION (SONGS)	4	2	0	1

Sentiments for All Agenda Items


The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

Overall Sentiment



Agenda Item: eComments for 1. CONTINUED ADVOCACY FOR THE REMOVAL AND RELOCATION OF SPENT NUCLEAR FUEL FROM THE SAN ONOFRE NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION (SONGS)

Overall Sentiment



Patricia Flowers

Location: 91932, Imperial Beach
Submitted At: 12:19pm 08-25-25

I am for continued removal of nuclear waste from San Onofre site.

Tanya F

Location:
Submitted At: 11:18am 08-25-25

Per the equity impact statement: "The County recognizes the potential health and psychological impacts the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS) site may have on the community." The BOS must not let up on this issue - there should be proper "disposal" in whatever form to protect humanity. **Concurrently, please remember "the potential health and psychological impacts" on humanity from battery storage facilities currently located in and pending placement of these storage sites in this county.**

Lisa Garcia

Location:
Submitted At: 1:44pm 08-22-25

Jim Desmond & Terra Lawson-Remer, That nuclear waste at San Onofre is a ticking clock nobody asked for. We all know it has to go, and the sooner the better. Please keep the pressure on D.C. and make sure the community gets straight talk about risks and progress.

Paul Henkin

Location: 91902, Bonita
Submitted At: 3:08pm 08-21-25

The actual resolution says (in the resolved section) says "NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED that the County of San Diego Board of Supervisors hereby approve the County's continued participation in the Spent Fuel Solutions coalition and the continued appointment of Supervisor Desmond to serve as a member of the

Executive Board.” – that is, no actual action, such as recommending the removal or re-purposing of the SONGS nuclear waste, or even a more forceful statement that the Federal Government, in all its bankrupt glory, needs to dispose of the fuel rods.

Is this another way to kick the can down the road and not impress upon the Feds the urgency of this and their duty to get rid of this hazardous waste?