

# Board of Supervisors

Meeting Time: 03-03-26 09:00

## eComments Report


Meetings	Meeting Time	Agenda Items	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
Board of Supervisors	03-03-26 09:00	30	6	5	0	1

### Sentiments for All Meetings

The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

#### Overall Sentiment

Support (83%)   Oppose (0%)   Neutral (16%)  
No Response (0%)



# Board of Supervisors

03-03-26 09:00


Agenda Name	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
14. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT NEEDS ASSESSMENT - FISCAL YEARS 2026-27 THROUGH 2030-31	6	5	0	1

## Sentiments for All Agenda Items


The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

### Overall Sentiment

Support (83%)   Oppose (0%)   Neutral (16%)  
No Response (0%)



## Overall Sentiment



### Andrew Meyer

Location: 92117, San Diego  
Submitted At: 4:24pm 03-02-26

I work for the San Diego Bird Alliance, and I am glad that the County of San Diego is allocating \$2m this coming year for land acquisition. That's much better than the \$0 allocated last year during tough and surprising changes at the federal level. But, we call on the County to up it to \$10M, this year and the coming years, so that the important work and grants to acquire and protect land is prioritized at the County level.

### Brandi Sanchez

Location: 92126, San Diego  
Submitted At: 4:08pm 03-02-26

I believe there needs to be more funding towards land acquisition, \$2 million is okay, but it should be \$10 million to help the Parks and Recreation Department. There is a lot of potential for our City of San Diego.

### Donna Hein

Location: 92082, Valley Center  
Submitted At: 4:03pm 03-02-26

We sincerely appreciate of the proposed 3 million dollar allocation for our future parks and would very much like to support this funding request. However, we are concerned that the current wording could be interpreted to suggest that Butterfield Ranch and Star Valley are the only potential locations under consideration for the Intergenerational Center (IGC).

Our community values its parkland deeply and believes that Valley Center should have a meaningful voice in determining the most appropriate location for the IGC. There has been demonstrated community support for the 28214 Lilac Road site, and a dedicated group is actively evaluating that option. It is important to us that the IGC be placed at the location that will best serve the long-term needs of our residents.

Thank you,  
Donna & Robert Hein

**D Rattray**

Location: 92082, Valley Center  
Submitted At: 8:54pm 03-01-26

Respectfully, I would ask that the following sentence be removed: "Both sites are considered for an Intergenerational Center."

I would very much like to support the funding of the proposed 3 million dollar allocation for our future parks. However, I am concerned that the current wording could be interpreted to suggest that Butterfield Ranch and Star Valley are the only potential locations under consideration for the Intergenerational Center (IGC).

Our community values its parklands deeply and believes that Valley Center should have a meaningful voice in determining the most appropriate location for the IGC. I've witnessed community support for a location at 28214 Lilac Road. I'm hopeful that the IGC placement could be at this location that will best serve the long-term needs of our residents.

I respectfully ask that you consider revising the language so that the community may confidently support this important funding request while ensuring thoughtful placement of the IGC.

**Pamela Nelson**

Location: 92086, Warner Spgs  
Submitted At: 3:30pm 02-27-26

Thanks for the County's long-standing support for the MSCP in terms of land acquisition. The Dept. of Parks and Recreation (DPR) should have a realistic amount of funding available to help assemble the preserve. Please increase DPR MSCP land acquisition in the CINA to \$10 million annually if feasible. The MSCP provides recreation, wildlife protection, and permit streamlining. Our County's natural resources need to be bolstered for the sake of wildlife and human quality of health. Let's keep San Diego County thriving!

**Paul Henkin**

Location: 91902, Bonita  
Submitted At: 10:04am 02-25-26

Looking at the Needs Assessment, The proposed pipeline in the Tijuana River Valley would be expensive to maintain. An above ground concrete or hard plastic lining would be better.

Stormwater program sounds unnecessarily expensive. Same with the Multiple Species Conservation Program Land Buy.

Looking at the MMIP, fixing the rapidly aging County Administration Center sounds like something you shouldn't put off.

Tijuana River Valley Regional Park Site Environmental/Remediation is also something that shouldn't be put off. May even need more funding.

Since the State Attorney General is doing an audit, East Mesa Juvenile Detention Facility Site Doors/Locks, Energy/Electrification, Lighting also should be done now.

Some of the other projects are not needed.

For instance, I know that the Bonita Library just got fixed, so maybe transfer this money to the above projects instead.

And delay some or all of this year's \$7.3 million repairs at the County Ops Center.

You can do better!